

CPG Comparison With and Without City Recognition*				
		CPG w/City Recognition	CPG w/o City Recognition	Comments
1	Organizationally independent from the City	Yes	Yes	
2	Applicant required to present project to CPG	No	No	
3	DSD refer project applicants to CPG for community review	Yes	Yes/TBD	Current CPG has requisite knowledge/ expertise to review new projects. Alternative organization unknown. Private project applicants are not required by this policy to present their application before CPGs, although the City encourages applicants to conduct robust engagement with CPGs, the community, and project neighbors. (ref newCP 6002-4
4	City refers policy initiatives (e.g., CIP priorities, Community Plan/LUC updates, etc.) to CPG for community review.	Yes	Yes/TBD	Current CPG has requisite knowledge/ expertise to review City initiatives. Alternative organization unknown.
5	CPG can send recommendations to DSD	Yes	Yes	Any citizen/group can comment on a project.
6	CPG ability to appeal DSD decision	Yes	Yes	
7	CPG cost to appeal DSD decision	\$1000	\$1000	Appeal fee waiver eliminated under new Policy 600-24.
8	CPG can request records/assistance from DSD in evaluating a project.	Yes	Yes	
9	City reimbursement to CPG	\$ 500/yr.	\$ 0	City reimbursement guaranteed only for FY23.
10	On-going costs to sustain the CPG, incl. website/Zoom maintenance, PO Box, etc.	Yes	Yes	
11	CPG meetings online now	Yes	Yes	

CPG Comparison With and Without City Recognition*				
		CPG w/City Recognition	CPG w/o City Recognition	Comments
12	CPG meetings allowed online going forward	TBD—CA meeting emergency cancelled—must have in-person meetings.	Yes	Online presentations more convenient/flexible for applicants and the public. Online meetings avoid agenda/other printing costs. City has declared on-going “emergency” allowing continuing monthly renewals of exemption to Brown Act for electronic meetings
13	Free CPG use of City facilities for meetings if available.	Yes, at City discretion.	No	If CPG meetings are online, City facility availability irrelevant. LJ Library allows monthly meetings of non-profit organizations at no cost and has hybrid meeting facilities
14	Actions/meetings subject to the Brown Act	Yes, City mandated	CPG voluntary option	The Brown Act does not apply to private community associations or to organizations independent of City control.
15	CPG subject to Policy 600-24	Yes	No	
16	Meetings governed by Roberts Rules of Order	Yes	Yes	
17	City Indemnification	Yes, except for Brown Act violations	No	Risk TBD – Independent CPG has no connection with the City and applicants voluntarily present their projects. CPG no longer subject to the Brown Act.
18	Membership eligibility based on own, rent, do business or non-profit in La Jolla Planning Area	Yes	Yes	
19	Membership/Trustee eligibility requirements based on minimum meeting attendance prior to elections.	No	Yes	

CPG Comparison With and Without City Recognition*				
		CPG w/City Recognition	CPG w/o City Recognition	Comments
20	City approval required for adequacy of CPG community outreach efforts to retain City recognition	Yes	No	Approval criteria for “adequacy” of CPG outreach efforts undefined. Outreach effort\reporting requirements complex, extensive, time-consuming. Privacy protection of community’s members required. The CPG may not request this information as part of an application with personal identifying information.
21	Break-in-service requirement for termed-out Trustees.	2 years	1 year	
22	Rewrite CPG By-Laws	Yes	No	
23	Rewrite CPG election procedures.	Yes	No	Feasibility of elections with no list of eligible voters and complicated list of voter id options with privacy protection and data security complications.
24	Collection of demographic data from community members	Yes	No	CPG must gather certain demographic data of the community at large, at the time of elections or other regular periods, to measure the CPG’s inclusion and diversity.

*Analysis by Jim Fitzgerald & Kathleen Neill, (Former LJ CPA Trustees), September 2022