



L64A-003A

**Project Information**

**Project Nbr:** 699238 **Title:** DIG-Fairway Views SCR  
**Project Mgr:** Galvez III, Oscar (619) 533-3683 GalvezO@sandiego.gov



**Review Information**

**Cycle Type:** 2 Substantial Conformance Review **Submitted:** 06/13/2022 Deemed Complete on 06/13/2022  
**Reviewing Discipline:** LDR-Planning Review **Cycle Distributed:** 06/13/2022  
**Reviewer:** Mancía, Marlene **Assigned:** 06/14/2022  
(619) 446-5174 **Started:** 07/22/2022  
MMancia@sandiego.gov **Review Due:** 07/05/2022  
**Hours of Review:** 2.00 **Completed:** 07/22/2022 **COMPLETED LATE**  
**Next Review Method:** Substantial Conformance Review **Closed:** 07/27/2022

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: Partial Response to Cmnts/Regs.
- . We request a 3rd complete submittal for LDR-Planning Review on this project as: Substantial Conformance Review.
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 14 outstanding review issues with LDR-Planning Review (9 of which are new issues).
- . Last month LDR-Planning Review performed 61 reviews, 23.0% were on-time, and 44.7% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

**1st review 4/7/22**

**General Information and Projec**

**Issue**  
**Cleared? Num Issue Text**

1 Informational Only

These comments are draft and subject to change until presented by the City's assigned Development Project Manager in conjunction with the project Assessment Letter. Staff is unable to process formal, intermediate plan changes and updates outside the full submitted cycle. A formal response to these comments must be made through the resubmittal process in response to the full Assessment Letter. Your DSD Development Project Manager can assist with further questions. (Information Only - No Action Required)  
(From Cycle 1)

2 Project Description:

LA JOLLA - (Process 2) Substantial Conformance Review for changes to CDP No. 8182 for Parcel 1 and CDP No. 11492 for Parcel 2 (PTS# 3188) located at 1456 Nautilus St. The 2.78-acre site is in the RS-1-4 Base Zone and Coastal (Non-Appealable) Overlay Zone, Parking Impact Overlay Zone (Coastal) of the La Jolla Community Plan area and Council District 1.  
(From Cycle 1)

3 Permit history.

99-0501 CDP for a 2 story SFD basment and garage.

PTS# 3188 was an amend CDP 99-0501 for the development of 3 single family dwelling units on 3 separate parcels, including a 13,929 SF single family residence with a 4-car garage on Parcel 1 and an 11,400 SF single family residence with an attached 4 car garage and swimming pool on Parcel 2.

PTS# 291493 SCR for proposed modifications to the previously approved CDP No. 11492 for Parcel 2 to construct a two-story with basement, 9,615 square foot, gross floor area dwelling unit at 1456 Nautilus Street.  
(From Cycle 1)

4 Proposed Changes:

PTS 291493 (SCR for Parcel 2) was approved to construct a 9,615 SF single family residence with an attached 4 car garage.

This SCR proposes changes to the square footage of the SFR's, driveways, and height. (From Cycle 1)

**Issues**

**Issue**  
**Cleared? Num Issue Text**

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Planning Review' review, please call Marlene Mancía at (619) 446-5174. Project Nbr: 699238 / Cycle: 2





L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5	Update property lines to reflect Map 19910 #1&2.  Specifically, indicated interior side property line between lot 1 & 2.  Update setbacks.  RS-1-4 (From Cycle 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	Missing easements, Parcel 1.  Please update plans to reflect all easements illustrated in Map 19910 or call out easements to be vacated per preliminary review plans/ presentation. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	7	Note on sheet 204 indicates a carport for 2 parking space, please call out carport as reviewer was only able to located 4 garage spaces. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	8	Overall Height.  Please provide low and high elevation points of existing grade or proposed grade within 5 feet of the structure's perimeter (building wall, balcony, bay window, or similar architectural projection) or at the property line, whichever is closer. (To determine grade differential).  The overall structure height shall not exceed the maximum permitted structure height of the applicable zone plus an amount equal to either the maximum grade differential within the structures' footprint or 10 feet, whichever is less.  (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9	The overall structure height is measured from the lowest point of existing grade or proposed grade within 5 feet of the structure's perimeter (building wall, balcony, bay window, or similar architectural projection) or at the property line, whichever is closer, to the highest point of the structure, projected horizontally to directly above this lowest point of grade.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	10	Low Point + (Maximum permitted height of zone + grade differential) = Max Structure Height (From Cycle 1) Please provide a response letter along with updated plans.  Additional comments may follow new information. (From Cycle 1)

📁 7/22/22

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	11	Currently the proposed location of the dwelling on lot 2 does not substantially conform to the exhibit nor base zone.  Dwelling shall not cross property lines and shall have similar setbacks as illustrated in exhibit.  The proposed setbacks do not match the information provided on sheet TS1. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	12	On sheet TS1 the proposed square footages for lot 1 indicates 2,689 sf of accessory structures, please clarify if this includes the garage. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	13	Site plan sheet A101  Site Keynotes indicate proposed trellises (#10) but are not called out on plans.
<input type="checkbox"/>	14	Trellis in FYSB shall conform to 131.0461(a)(7). (New Issue) 131.0461(a)(7) Entry arbors may encroach into required front and street side yards, as shown in Diagram 131-04V, subject to the following requirements (A) The height of the arbor shall not exceed 10 feet for flat-topped structures or 12 feet for sloping structures; (B) The width of the arbor shall not exceed 6 feet, measured to the centerlines of the supports. A maximum 2-foot overhang is permitted on each side of the center of the supports, as long as the overhang does not project into the public right-of-way; (New Issue)





L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	15	(C) The horizontal surface of the arbor, including overhang, must provide a minimum 6-foot, 8-inch clearance above proposed grade; (D) Supports shall not exceed a maximum dimension of 12 inches by 12 inches; (E) There shall be at least 6 feet between supports along the length of the arbor; (F) In plan view, the arbor shall be at least 40 percent open. Fencing between posts is subject to Chapter 14, Article 2, Division 3 (Fence Regulations); (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	16	(G) The arbor shall not be enclosed on any side other than the side attached to the building, if attached; and (H) Arbors may encroach the entire width of the required yard but may not project beyond the property line. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	17	Issue 6
<input type="checkbox"/>	18	Provide documentation confirming easement relocation. Call out new location. (New Issue) Note (no action required)  Water meter in ROW shall require a EMRA.  (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	19	Please provide a response letter along with updated plans.  Additional comments may follow new information. (New Issue)





# Cycle Issues

L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 2 Substantial Conformance Review	<b>Submitted:</b> 06/13/2022	Deemed Complete on 06/13/2022
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> LDR-Engineering Review	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 06/13/2022	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Huynh, Khanh (619) 446-5299 KHuynh@sandiego.gov	<b>Assigned:</b> 06/14/2022	
	<b>Started:</b> 07/14/2022	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 0.50	<b>Review Due:</b> 07/05/2022	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Substantial Conformance Review	<b>Completed:</b> 07/15/2022	<b>COMPLETED LATE</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 07/27/2022	

- . We request a 3rd complete submittal for LDR-Engineering Review on this project as: Substantial Conformance Review.
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Last month LDR-Engineering Review performed 50 reviews, 32.0% were on-time, and 23.7% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## 1St Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	The proposed modifications to the development are not acceptable to the Engineering Review section until these following issues are satisfied:  (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2	Add a note that states: "The driveway shall be constructed per current City Standard, adjacent to the site on Nautilus Street."  (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3	Add a note that states: "No obstruction including solid walls in the visibility area shall exceed 3 feet in height. Per SDMC Section 142.0409 (b)(2), Plant material, other than trees, located within visibility areas or the adjacent public right-of-way shall not exceed 36 inches in height, measured from the lowest grade abutting the plant.  (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4	Add a note that states "Encroachment Maintenance Removal Agreement will be required for the landscape and irrigation in the ROW". (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5	Add a note that states: "The proposed project will comply with all the requirements of the current City of San Diego Storm Water Standards Manual, Drainage Design Manual, City Drawing Standards before a Grading or Building permit is issued. It is the responsibility of the owner/designer/applicant to ensure that the current storm water permanent BMP design standards are incorporated into the project."  (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6	These comments are draft and subject to change until presented by the City's assigned Development Project Manager in conjunction with the project Assessment Letter. Staff is unable to process formal, intermediate plan changes and updates outside the full submitted cycle. A formal response to these comments must be made through the resubmittal process in response to the full Assessment Letter. Your DSD Development Project Manager can assist with further questions. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	7	Additional comments may be recommended pending further review of any redesign of this project. These comments are not exclusive. Should you have any questions or comments, please contact Khanh Huynh at KHuynh@sandiego.gov  (From Cycle 1)





L64A-003A

Review Information

**Cycle Type:** 2 Substantial Conformance Review      **Submitted:** 06/13/2022      Deemed Complete on 06/13/2022  
**Reviewing Discipline:** LDR-Transportation Dev      **Cycle Distributed:** 06/13/2022  
**Reviewer:** Santos, Mary Rose      **Assigned:** 06/16/2022  
(619) 446-5367      **Started:** 07/05/2022  
MCSantos@sandiego.gov      **Review Due:** 07/05/2022  
**Hours of Review:** 2.50      **Completed:** 07/05/2022      **COMPLETED ON TIME**  
**Next Review Method:** Substantial Conformance Review      **Closed:** 07/27/2022

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: Partial Response to Cmnts/Regs.
- . We request a 3rd complete submittal for LDR-Transportation Dev on this project as: Substantial Conformance Review.
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 15 outstanding review issues with LDR-Transportation Dev (6 of which are new issues).
- . Last month LDR-Transportation Dev performed 40 reviews, 75.0% were on-time, and 24.1% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

1st Review, 3/15/2022

Cleared?	Issue Num	Issue Text
----------	-----------	------------

- |                                     |   |   |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 1 | General:<br><br>These comments are draft and subject to change until presented by the City's assigned Development Project Manager in conjunction with the project Assessment Letter. Staff is unable to process formal, intermediate plan changes and updates outside the full submitted cycle. A formal response to these comments must be made through the resubmittal process in response to the full Assessment Letter. Your DSD Development Project Manager can assist with further questions. (Information Only - No Action Required)<br>(From Cycle 1)   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 2 | Project Description:<br><br>LA JOLLA - (Process 2) Substantial Conformance Review for changes to CDP No. 8182 for Parcel 1 and CDP No. 11492 for Parcel 2 (PTS# 3188) located at 1456 Nautilus St. The 2.78-acre site is in the RS-1-4 Base Zone and Coastal (Non-Appealable) Overlay Zone, Parking Impact Overlay Zone (Coastal) of the La Jolla Community Plan area and Council District 1.<br>(From Cycle 1)   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 3 | Other Reviews:<br><br>A ministerial review for a building permit was completed and approved on 6/17/2007 under PTS# 106181 - Fairway House for a single-family residence on Parcel 3 (CDP No. 11493). This house was constructed.<br><br>A SCR was reviewed and approved under PTS# 291493 - Fairway Views SCR with proposed modifications to the previously approved CDP No. 11492 for Parcel 2 to construct a two-story with basement, 9,615 square foot, gross floor area dwelling unit at 1456 Nautilus Street.<br>(From Cycle 1)   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 4 | Proposed Changes:<br><br>The approval under PTS# 3188 - Fairway Views was to amend CDP 99-0501 for the development of 3 single family dwelling units on 3 separate parcels, including a 13,929 SF single family residence with a 4-car garage on Parcel 1 and an 11,400 SF single family residence with an attached 4 car garage and swimming pool on Parcel 2. Subsequently, a SCR for Parcel 2 was approved (PTS# 291493) to construct a 9,615 SF single family residence with an attached 4 car garage. This SCR proposes the construction of a 9,427 SF single family residence on Parcel 1 and a 6,826 SF single... (From Cycle 1) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 5 | Proposed Changes, continued:<br><br>...family residence on Parcel 2.<br>(From Cycle 1)  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 6 | Square Footages:<br><br>The approved square footages shown under Project Data on Sheet TS1 do not match the approved square footages per CDP No. 8182 and CDP No. 11492. Please correct these discrepancies.<br>(From Cycle 1)  |

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Transportation Dev' review, please call Mary Rose Santos at (619) 446-5367. Project Nbr: 699238 / Cycle: 2





# Cycle Issues

L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	SCR Determination:  Transportation Development staff cannot determine whether the currently proposed project substantially conforms to the previously approved CDP No. 8182, and CDP No. 11492 (PTS# 3188 - Fairway Views) with the information provided. Please provide the following information and corrections to the exhibits: (From Cycle 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	Permit Conditions:  Please demonstrate how Transportation conditions 48 of CDP No. 8182 and CDP No. 11492 (PTS# 3188) have been satisfied or have yet to be satisfied (and why). (From Cycle 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	9	Sight Distance Analysis:  Plans show that the proposed driveway along Nautilus Street has been relocated further east from the location shown in the approved 'Exhibit A' for PTS# 3188. In addition to showing the visibility triangles, please demonstrate provision of adequate sight distance (including vertical and horizontal as appropriate) at the proposed project driveway along Nautilus Street per City of San Diego Street Design Manual Section 6.1.3(8). Per City records, the 85th percentile speed along this segment of Nautilus Street is 47 MPH. Please use the 85th percentile speed for the... (From Cycle 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	10	Sight Distance Analysis, continued:  ...sight distance analysis. (From Cycle 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	11	Driveway:  It appears that there are existing above ground utilities at the proposed driveway location. Please show their location accurately on the plans and clarify whether these above ground utilities will be relocated, as well as show where they will be relocated on the site plan, Sheet A101. (From Cycle 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	12	Gates:  Please show and label all proposed gates on the plans. Please also dimension the distance from the proposed gate shown at the driveway entrance. A minimum 20-foot distance from the proposed gate to the property line should be provided in order to ensure no vehicles stack into the public right-of-way. Additionally, the distance from the gate to property line must be long enough that a vehicle rejected at the gate can back into the turnaround area in order to exit. (From Cycle 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	13	Driveway:  It appears that the driveway width shown on the approved Exhibit 'A' of PTS# 3188 is wider than the proposed 12-foot wide driveway along Nautilus Street. (From Cycle 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	14	Public Improvements:  Does the current SCR propose to bring the frontage on Nautilus Street to current City standards? (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	15	Visibility Triangles:  In addition to Site Keynote #2 for 10' x 10' visibility triangles, please show and dimension the visibility triangles at the proposed driveway along Nautilus Street on Sheet A101. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	16	Parking - Lot 2:  Per note on Sheet A204, the project is providing 4 garage spaces and 2 carport spaces for Parcel 2. Plans show 4 garage spaces. Please also show the location of the 2 carport parking spaces on the plans. (From Cycle 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	17	Turnaround Area:  Site Keynote #9 is for a turnaround area; however, plans show and label this area as a parking space. Please relabel the space parking space as a turnaround space and clearly mark that parking is prohibited. See also comment #12. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	18	Plan Revision:  Per Exhibit 'A' for PTS# 3188, there is a 56-foot wide IOD for road purposes north of Parcel 2. Please show and dimension this IOD on Sheets A101 and A102 to demonstrate that the proposed revegetation area and building footprint on Parcel 2 is outside the IOD. (From Cycle 1)

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Transportation Dev' review, please call Mary Rose Santos at (619) 446-5367. Project Nbr: 699238 / Cycle: 2





# Cycle Issues

L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	19	Property Line:  Please clearly show and label the property line between lots on the plans. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	20	Curb to Property Line:  Please dimension the curb to property line distance on the plans. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	21	Plan Revision:  Please revise Sheet A102 so that the red outline of the approved exhibit A is on the site legend, and not partially within the fire notes. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	22	Plan Revision:  Please relocate the red text shown on Sheets A201, and A204 to not overlap with the floor plans. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	23	Additional Comments:  Pending a redesign and/or comments from other reviewing disciplines, LDR-Transportation staff reserves the right to provide additional comments on subsequent review cycles. (Info only - no action required) (From Cycle 1)

## 📁 2nd Review, 7/5/2022

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	24	Permit Condition:  Following up on comment #8, although both Parcels 1 and 2 have the same owner, Condition 48 of CDP No. 8182 and CDP No. 11492 still applies. An access easement to allow Parcel 1 to take access from the proposed driveway fronting Parcel 2 will be required. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	25	Sight Distance Analysis:  Following up on comment #9, the sight distance drawing should be prepared by a registered civil engineer or registered traffic engineer and should account for both vertical and horizontal curvature as noted in comment #9. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	26	Driveway:  Per applicant's response to comment #11, the existing above ground AT&T utility at the proposed driveway location will be relocated, which is labeled and noted as Site Keynote #21 on Sheet A101. Sheet A101 must also indicate where this utility box will be relocated to. All four existing utility boxes in this area appear to be close to, or over, 36 inches in height so must all be labeled and shown on the plans. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	27	Gates:  Following up on comment #12, the proposed gate that was previously shown at the driveway entrance has been removed. A gate is proposed for each single-family residence, further into the site. It appears that the proposed gate to access Parcel 1 is located within Parcel 2. Please relocate this gate to be within Parcel 1. Also, in addition to showing the proposed gates, please label them on Sheet A101. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	28	Driveway:  Per applicant's response to comment #13, the proposed driveway along Nautilus Street has been widened to 15 feet (previous submittal showed 12 feet) as shown on Sheet A101. Sheets A104, and A204 show a 12-foot wide driveway. Please correct these discrepancies and also consider making the driveway wide enough to accommodate two cars since it will serve two separate properties. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	29	Plan Revision:  Please label Parcels 1 and 2 on the plans per Parcel Map 19910. (New Issue)





# Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services Department  
1222 1st Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

## Review Information

**Cycle Type:** 2 Substantial Conformance Review      **Submitted:** 06/13/2022      Deemed Complete on 06/13/2022  
**Reviewing Discipline:** Community Planning Group      **Cycle Distributed:** 06/13/2022  
**Reviewer:** Galvez III, Oscar      **Assigned:** 07/08/2022  
(619) 533-3683      **Started:** 07/08/2022  
GalvezO@sandiego.gov      **Review Due:** 07/05/2022  
**Hours of Review:** 0.50      **Completed:** 07/08/2022      **COMPLETED LATE**  
**Next Review Method:** Substantial Conformance Review      **Closed:** 07/27/2022

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 3rd complete submittal for Community Planning Group on this project as: Substantial Conformance Review.
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 1 outstanding review issues with Community Planning Group (None of which are new)
- . Last month Community Planning Group performed 32 reviews, 31.3% were on-time, and 37.5% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## 03-15-22

### La Jolla Community Plan Area

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	Submit the IB 620 Community Planning Committee Distribution Form online and/or provide minutes from the meeting which includes the vote count. (From Cycle 1)







L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 2 Substantial Conformance Review	<b>Submitted:</b> 06/13/2022	Deemed Complete on 06/13/2022
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> PUD-Water & Sewer Dev	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 06/13/2022	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Jimenez, Meryl (619) 446-5098 MBJimenez@sandiego.gov	<b>Assigned:</b> 06/13/2022	
	<b>Started:</b> 07/06/2022	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 3.00	<b>Review Due:</b> 07/05/2022	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Substantial Conformance Review	<b>Completed:</b> 07/07/2022	<b>COMPLETED LATE</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 07/27/2022	

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: Partial Response to Cmnts/Regs.
- . We request a 3rd complete submittal for PUD-Water & Sewer Dev on this project as: Substantial Conformance Review.
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 12 outstanding review issues with PUD-Water & Sewer Dev (6 of which are new issues).
- . Last month PUD-Water & Sewer Dev performed 85 reviews, 81.2% were on-time, and 50.0% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

### 1st SCR Comments

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	On the Site Plan, show and label all existing sewer and water utilities on Nautilus Street, Fairway Road and any easements, including size, material and reference drawing. (From Cycle 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Show and label all water, sewer or general utility easements on whole project site and adjacent to the project and show width. (From Cycle 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	Show all water appurtenances on each lot. Labels should indicate the service size, whether existing or proposed, and the type of service (Domestic, Irrigation, or Fire). For the existing water services, please indicate whether the service is to be remained or to be killed at the main. (From Cycle 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	If the existing water service needs to be killed, show the proposed water services for each lot from the existing water main to the point of connection to the subject property. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5	Show the water meter and location of the backflow preventer for each lot. (From Cycle 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	Show all existing sewer laterals for each lot. Indicate that unused sewer laterals should be plugged at property line (if applicable). (From Cycle 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	Show the proposed sewer laterals for each lot from the subject property to the point of connection to the existing sewer main. (From Cycle 1)

### 2nd SCR Comments

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	Please address uncleared comments. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	9	Following up on Comment #1, our records show 2 existing 12" AC water main within existing water easement traversing through Parcel 2.  Our records show a 12" AC and 8" AC water main on Nautilus St fronting the project site.  Our records show existing 8" VC sewer main on Nautilus St fronting the project site.  Please verify and update. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	10	Our records show 2 existing sewer laterals. Please show and call out as to be remained or to be abandoned at property line. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	11	Our records show 3 existing water services. Please show and call out as to be remained or to be killed at main. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	12	Since 2 separate lots, proposed sewer laterals shall not cross property line. Please propose one sewer lateral within the frontage of each property. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	13	Revise Site KeyNote #19 to "(P) Water meter and water service" (New Issue)





L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 2 Substantial Conformance Review Submitted: 06/13/2022 Deemed Complete on 06/13/2022
Reviewing Discipline: Fire-Plan Review Cycle Distributed: 06/13/2022
Reviewer: Larson, Willard Assigned: 06/20/2022
(619) 323-6108 Started: 07/08/2022
WTLARSON@sandiego.go Review Due: 07/05/2022
Hours of Review: 1.00 Completed: 07/08/2022 COMPLETED LATE
Next Review Method: Substantial Conformance Review Closed: 07/27/2022

- The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
We request a 3rd complete submittal for Fire-Plan Review on this project as: Substantial Conformance Review.
The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
Your project still has 7 outstanding review issues with Fire-Plan Review (5 of which are new issues).
Last month Fire-Plan Review performed 39 reviews, 59.0% were on-time, and 48.3% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

Fire 03/09/22

Table with 3 columns: Cleared?, Issue Num, Issue Text. Contains 5 rows of fire-related issues with checkboxes and detailed descriptions.

Plans must comply with the current adopted California Fire Code (to include appendix D as adopted) /California Building Code. (From Cycle 1)

Fire-7/8/22

Table with 3 columns: Cleared?, Issue Num, Issue Text. Contains 6 rows of fire-related issues with checkboxes and detailed descriptions.

