



Cycle Issues

10/28/21 11:08 am

Page 1 of 11

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services Department
1222 1st Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

Project Information

Project Nbr: 672343 **Title:** Digital-Via Capri SFR SCR
Project Mgr: Vo, Denise (619) 446-5212 Vod@sandiego.gov



Review Information

Cycle Type: 5 Substantial Conformance Review	Submitted: 10/05/2021	Deemed Complete on 10/05/2021
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Planning Review	Cycle Distributed: 10/05/2021	
Reviewer: Hatinen, Sarah (619) 446-5394 Shatinen@sandiego.gov	Assigned: 10/06/2021	
	Started: 10/26/2021	
Hours of Review: 0.00	Review Due: 10/26/2021	
Next Review Method: Substantial Conformance Review	Completed: 10/26/2021	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 10/28/2021	

. Last month LDR-Planning Review performed 84 reviews, 36.9% were on-time, and 46.3% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	These comments are draft and subject to change until presented by the City's assigned Development Project Manager in conjunction with the project Assessment Letter. Staff is unable to process formal, intermediate plan changes and updates outside the full submitted cycle. A formal response to these comments must be made through the resubmittal process in response to the full Assessment Letter. Your DSD Development Project Manager can assist with further questions. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2	In your next submittal, please provide a written response addressing each of the following issues. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3	The proposal includes: a substantial conformance review of a new single dwelling unit. The site is governed by CDP/SDP (PTS# 288444). (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4	The original CDP/SDP allowed for an addition to an existing single dwelling unit. The new proposal includes a new single dwelling unit (instead of an addition). The proposal maintains the previously approved Lot Coverage, Building Outline, and Habitable floor area. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5	Per Information Bulletin 500, the proposal shall require a Substantial Conformance Review (SCR) in accordance with Process Two, because the site lies within the Coastal Overlay Zone. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6	Planning has no issues with the proposal. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	7	Previous conditions shall apply. (From Cycle 1)

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Planning Review' review, please call Sarah Hatinen at (619) 446-5394. Project Nbr: 672343 / Cycle: 5





L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 5 Substantial Conformance Review **Submitted:** 10/05/2021 Deemed Complete on 10/05/2021
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Landscaping **Cycle Distributed:** 10/05/2021
Reviewer: Kohakura, Vanessa **Assigned:** 10/06/2021
(619) 446-5120 **Started:** 10/25/2021
Vkohakura@sandiego.gov **Review Due:** 10/26/2021
Hours of Review: 1.00 **Completed:** 10/25/2021 **COMPLETED ON TIME**
Next Review Method: Substantial Conformance Review **Closed:** 10/28/2021

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: Partial Response to Cmnts/Regs.
- . We request a 3rd complete submittal for LDR-Landscaping on this project as: Substantial Conformance Review.
- . Your project still has 6 outstanding review issues with LDR-Landscaping (4 of which are new issues).
- . Last month LDR-Landscaping performed 57 reviews, 43.9% were on-time, and 47.9% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

📁 1st Review (Cycle 1) 10/06/20

Cleared?	Issue Num	Issue Text
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	(Information Only): These comments are draft and subject to change until presented by the City's assigned Development Project Manager in conjunction with the project Assessment Letter. Staff is unable to process formal, intermediate plan changes and updates outside the full submitted cycle. A formal response to these comments must be made through the resubmittal process in response to the full Assessment Letter. Your DSD Development Project Manager can assist with further questions. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2	Scope (Information Only): Substantial conformance review for proposed new single family residence. The site is governed by CDP No. 1012109 and SDP No. 1314584 under PTS 288444. The project site is located within the La Jolla Shores Planned District. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3	Lot Coverage (sht. A1.2): The square footages identified in the diagram do not add up to the totals listed in the legend. Please revise calculations accordingly. Please keep in mind per §1510.0304(h)(1), all of the property not used or occupied by structures, unplanted recreational areas, walks and driveways shall be landscaped and may include native materials, and in no case shall this landscaped area be less than 30 percent of the total parcel area. (From Cycle 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	Provide the following note with the lot coverage diagram: "All of the landscape to meet the 30 percent area requirement shall be installed as required by the La Jolla Shores Planned District Ordinance prior to Final inspection." (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5	Street Trees: There is an existing street tree to remain and to be protected in place along Via Capri Ct. However, two street trees along Via Capri are proposed to be removed. Via Capri is identified as a major thoroughfare under the Street Tree Plan. Cassia leptophylla is identified as the theme tree species. Please propose street trees along Via Capri. (continued below...) (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6	Street trees shall be planted between the curb and abutting property line. The number of required street trees shall be calculated at the rate of one 24-inch box canopy tree for every 30 linear ft. of street frontage, excluding curb. (From Cycle 1)





L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	Utilities: Street trees shall be separated from improvements by the minimum distance shown in Table 142-04E (see below). Provide the following table as a note on the plans: MINIMUM TREE SEPARATION DISTANCE Traffic signals / stop signs - 20 feet Underground utility lines - 5 feet (10' for sewer) Above ground utility structures - 10 feet Driveway (entries) - 10 feet Intersections (intersecting curb lines of two streets) - 25 feet (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	8	Provide the following note on the plans; fill in the blank with who shall be responsible for long-term maintenance (i.e. owner, project association, other): "Maintenance: All required landscape areas shall be maintained by _____. Landscape and irrigation areas in the public right-of-way shall be maintained by _____. The landscape areas shall be maintained free of debris and litter, and all plant material shall be maintained in a healthy growing condition. Diseased or dead plant material shall be satisfactorily treated or replaced per the conditions of the permit." (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9	Provide the following note on the plans: "All landscape and irrigation shall conform to the standards of the City-Wide Landscape Regulations and the City of San Diego Land Development Manual Landscape Standards and all other landscape related City and Regional Standards." (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	10	Landscape staff reserves the right to provide additional comments on subsequent review cycles pending further review of any redesign and/or comments from other reviewing disciplines. These comments are not exclusive. (From Cycle 1)

2nd Review (Cycle 5) 10/26/21

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	11	Digital Resubmittal Required: Resubmit revised plans addressing issues discussed below. Include a cover letter that clearly explains how and where each issue has been addressed. For questions or further direction, contact reviewer at vkohakura@sandiego.gov. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	12	Please provide previous issue item 4 as a note directly on the plans. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	13	Utilities: Please clearly show and label all existing and any proposed utilities on the landscape plan to ensure minimum clearances per Table 142-04E are observed. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	14	Graphic/Printing Error: Please note the project information data table on sheet A1.2 did not print correctly - please ensure all information is clear and legible. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 5 Substantial Conformance Review **Submitted:** 10/05/2021 Deemed Complete on 10/05/2021
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Engineering Review **Cycle Distributed:** 10/05/2021
Reviewer: Abdelmottaleb, Noha **Assigned:** 10/06/2021
(619) 685-1347 **Started:** 10/26/2021
Nabdelmottal@sandiego.gc **Review Due:** 10/26/2021
Hours of Review: 4.00 **Completed:** 10/26/2021 **COMPLETED ON TIME**
Next Review Method: Substantial Conformance Review **Closed:** 10/28/2021

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: Partial Response to Cmnts/Regs.
- . We request a 3rd complete submittal for LDR-Engineering Review on this project as: Substantial Conformance Review.
- . Your project still has 26 outstanding review issues with LDR-Engineering Review (19 of which are new issues).
- . Last month LDR-Engineering Review performed 80 reviews, 80.0% were on-time, and 41.4% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

First Review Issues

Cleared?	Issue Num	Issue Text
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	The proposed modifications to the development are acceptable to the Engineering Review section, provided the following issues are satisfied: (From Cycle 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Please submit a summary letter explaining how the new SCR conforms to the originally submitted SWQMP. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3	Based on the provided information, this project is a priority development project. (From Cycle 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	Please submit documentation of how pollutant control and HMP requirements are met, including previously approved and new/SCR: DMA & HMP Exhibits, infiltration category, worksheets/calculations. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5	Please add the following note to the SCR Grading plan: The proposed project will comply with all the requirements of the current City of San Diego Storm Water Standards Manual before a Grading or Building permit is issued. It is the responsibility of the owner/designer/applicant to ensure that the current storm water permanent BMP design standards are incorporated into the project. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6	Please revise the DS-560 form on sheet C.2 per the scope of work. (From Cycle 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	Please clarify if there is a runoff increase per the new SCR. Add the IE's and Q&V values for all discharge points and clarify on the plans how project is conforming to the originally approved drainage study. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	8	Please add a note on the Grading Plan that states: Any increase in runoff resulting from the development of the site shall be directed away from any steep hillside areas and either into an existing or newly improved public storm drain system or onto a street developed with a gutter system or public right-of-way designated to carry surface drainage run-off. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9	Please add the following note to the SCR Grading plan: This project is located within the ASBS watershed. The applicant/permittee will be required to comply with all ASBS watershed requirements accordingly. (From Cycle 1)

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Engineering Review' review, please call Noha Abdelmottaleb at (619) 685-1347. Project Nbr: 672343 / Cycle: 5





L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	10	Please show the slope within the public right of way for the driveway on Via Capri Court. (From Cycle 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	11	Please show the existing and proposed grades as well as max cut and fill heights on the cross sections. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	12	Please fil the PTS# AND Permit# under the Civil sheet index on sheet C.1. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	13	Please remove the 17 storm water quality notes from sheet A1.1. This will be reviewed on the ministerial phase of the project. (From Cycle 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	14	On the Grading Plan, please show and call out additional dedication on Via Capri Court to achieve the minimum required curb to P/L distance. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	15	Please add the dimensions of garage parking spaces on sheet A2.1 to show compliance with San Diego Municipal Code Table 142-05K. (From Cycle 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	16	With your next submittal, please provide a complete response to each of the issues listed in this report. The written response shall clearly, concisely and comprehensively address the issues raised and please specify on what page/sheet each issue was addressed. (From Cycle 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	17	Additional comments may be recommended pending further review of any redesign of this project. These comments are not exclusive. Should you have any questions or comments, please contact Noha Abdelmottaleb at NAbdelmottal@sandiego.gov (From Cycle 1)

Second Review Issues

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	18	Following up with comment#2: The requested letter should explain the scope change from the originally approved CDP/SDP, and clarify that the original permit was for an addition to an existing single family residence, and is considered a standard development project with no required permanent BMPs, while the SCR is proposing a new single family residence on a vacant lot that requires permanent BMPs. **The letter shall be a summary of the change in scope of work, storm water, and drainage aspects of the site, and how the current project is adhering to the city's storm water and drainage manuals. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	19	Following up with comment#4: Please revise the provided storm water study as follows: (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	20	Please note that per the city's storm water standards manual, a single DMA cannot be treated through multiple BMPs, but multiple DMAs can be treated through a single BMP. Please revise design accordingly. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	21	Also, the plans/exhibits show two BMPs, where BMP1 is flowing to BMP2 (double treated) before connecting to the storm drain system, which is not acceptable. Please revise design to either show two separate DMAs with a BMP for each or show how project site can have one BMP to treat DMA1. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	22	On the DMA/HMP exhibits, please provide a table for DMA and BMP IDs, sizes and types. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	23	Also, please revise form I-6 to include all DMAs and BMPs in the discussion with the types and sizes. Please refer to section 5.2 of the storm water standards manual to explain the types of DMAs that are excluded from DCV calculations. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	24	Please add worksheets B.5-2 & B.5-6 to the provided storm water study. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	25	Project geotechnical engineer shall submit an Infiltration Feasibility Condition Letter that demonstrates that the DMA/DMAs infiltration condition. The Letter shall be stamped/signed by a licensed geotechnical engineer who prepared the letter. Letter shall contain at a minimum, the 10 discussion points stated in Section C.1.1 of the current Storm Water Standards including an exhibit that clearly labels the 4 design components. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	26	Please refer to the ministerial review under PTS#647594 for additional comments. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	27	Following up with comment#7: Please address the velocity in the provided drainage study. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	28	Please revise the drainage study to add the correct "C" values (the C value for vacant lots/areas is 0.45 per the city's drainage design manual). (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	29	Please revise the hydrology exhibits to show multiple energy dissipaters at the hillside to mimic the existing drainage patterns and add the pre and post Q & V. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	30	Please note that project cannot send any runoff increase to the hillside or neighboring properties. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	31	Please angle the proposed curb outlet towards the flow line. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	32	Please revise the conclusion to clarify how the receiving public storm drain system can handle the runoff increase. Also, please state in the conclusion that project is not sending any runoff increase to the existing hillside or neighboring properties and therefor does not have any negative impacts on them. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	33	Following up with comment#11: Please revise the grading tabulations to match with the provided cross sections. Also, please add the max cut & fill heights under and outside the building footprints to the table. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	34	Following up with comment#14: Per the new scope of work, project is proposing a new single family residence (not an addition to an existing structure), which is subject to public improvements and dedications per the SDMC. (New Issue)





Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services Department
1222 1st Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	35	Please show and call out additional dedication to achieve the minimum required curb to P/L distance on Via Capri Court as previously requested. ** Please note that the dedication will be recorded with the ministerial permit.
		(New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	36	Please revise the driveway design on Via Capri Court to be perpendicular to the property line.
		(New Issue)





L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 5 Substantial Conformance Review **Submitted:** 10/05/2021 Deemed Complete on 10/05/2021
Reviewing Discipline: Plan-Historic **Cycle Distributed:** 10/05/2021
Reviewer: Haggerty, Emma **Assigned:** 10/06/2021
(619) 236-7173 **Started:** 10/21/2021
EHaggerty@sandiego.gov **Review Due:** 10/26/2021
Hours of Review: 0.50 **Completed:** 10/21/2021 **COMPLETED ON TIME**
Next Review Method: Substantial Conformance Review **Closed:** 10/28/2021

Last month Plan-Historic performed 227 reviews, 67.8% were on-time, and 84.5% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

10.7.2020

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	The property located at 2382 Via Capri Ct, APN 352-161-0700 is not an individually designated resource and is not located within a designated historic district. However, San Diego Municipal Code Section 143.0212 requires City staff to review all projects impacting a parcel that contains a structure 45 years old or older to determine whether a potentially significant historical resource exists on site prior to issuance of a permit. (Info Only, No Response Required) (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2	During this review buildings are evaluated for eligibility under local designation criteria. The designation criteria and guidelines for their application can be found on the City's website: http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/programs/historical/pdf/201102criteriaguidelines.pdf (Informational Only; No Response or Action Required) (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3	More information regarding this review process can be found in Information Bulletin 580: http://www.sandiego.gov/development-services/pdf/industry/infobulletin/ib580.pdf (Informational Only; No Response or Action Required) (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4	If City staff determines after review of these documents that no potentially significant historical resource exists on site, the parcel will be exempt from further historical review for five years from this date unless new information is provided that speaks to the building's eligibility for designation. (Informational Only; No Response or Action Required) (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5	If City staff determines that a potentially significant historical resource exists on the site, all modifications and additions will be evaluated to determine consistency with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Treatment of Historic Properties (Standards). If the proposed project is consistent with the Standards, the permit process may proceed and the parcel will require additional review for all future modifications. (continued...) (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6	(...continued) If the proposed project is not consistent with the Standards, the applicant may redesign the project or prepare a historic report that evaluates the building's integrity and eligibility under all designation criteria. (Informational Only; No Response or Action Required) (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	7	Staff has reviewed the water and sewer records and considered all other information received from the applicant as well as any input received through applicable public noticing and outreach and have made the following determination: (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	8	Staff cannot make a determination with the information provided. Please provide the following documents: (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9	Adequate photo documentation of the property has not been provided. Provide a photo survey for all buildings on the property. The photo survey must include a photo key showing all building footprints and the location that each photo was taken from. The survey must provide clear, color photos showing each elevation as well as a view from the street showing street number. Please note, Google or Bing images are not permissible. (From Cycle 1)





Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services Department
1222 1st Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	10	A complete copy of the Assessor's Building Record (ABR) must be provided. This document is available at the County Assessor's Office and includes information such as the date of construction, materials, date of alterations, and a dimensioned footprint of the building and subsequent additions. The owner's written consent is required in order to obtain this document from the County.
		Due to the COVID-19 closure of the County Assessor's Office, County Assessor staff will be processing ABR requests via email at ARCC.FGG@sdcounty.ca.gov. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	11	Provide a copy of the Notice of Completion, available at the County Assessor's Office (County Administration Center, 1600 Pacific Highway, Room 103, San Diego CA 92101.) If a Notice of Completion cannot be located, provide the following note on a sheet of paper along with the date: "Notice of Completion cannot be located."
		Due to the COVID-19 closure of the County Assessor's Office, County Assessor staff will be processing requests via email at ARCC.FGG@sdcounty.ca.gov. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	12	Written description of the property including architectural style, materials, features, setting and related structures. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	13	Please resubmit the required documentation and plans for routing and review. Should you have any questions regarding these comments, please contact the "Reviewer" listed in bold at the top of this cycle issues report. (From Cycle 1)

10.21.2021

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	14	The property located at 2382 Via Capir Ct was previously reviewed on 4/5/21 in accordance with SDMC Section 143.0212 under PTS 682329. During that review, the property was determined not eligible for designation under any HRB criteria due to alterations. That determination is good for 5 years from the 4/5/21 review date unless new information is provided that speaks to the building's eligibility for designation. No new information has been provided and the property is not subject to Historic review at this time. (New Issue)





Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services Department
1222 1st Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 5 Substantial Conformance Review	Submitted: 10/05/2021	Deemed Complete on 10/05/2021
Reviewing Discipline: Community Planning Group	Cycle Distributed: 10/05/2021	
Reviewer: Vo, Denise (619) 446-5212 Vod@sandiego.gov	Assigned: 10/22/2021	
	Started: 10/28/2021	
Hours of Review: 0.25	Review Due: 10/26/2021	
Next Review Method: Substantial Conformance Review	Completed: 10/28/2021	COMPLETED LATE
	Closed: 10/28/2021	

. Last month Community Planning Group performed 58 reviews, 48.3% were on-time, and 41.4% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

LA JOLLA

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4	The proposed project is located within the La Jolla Community Planning Area. The La Jolla Community Planning Group is the officially recognized community group for the area to provide recommendations to the City. (New Issue)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5	If you have not already done so, please contact Diane Kane, Chairperson of the La Jolla Community Planning Group at (858) 459-9490 or by email at info@lajollacpa.org to schedule your project for a presentation before the group at their next available meeting. If you have already obtained a recommendation from the group, please submit a copy of the recommendation and/or minutes from the meeting which includes the vote count to Denise Vo. (New Issue)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6	Development Services Department (DSD) Information Bulletin #620, "Coordination of Project Management with Community Planning Committees" (available at https://www.sandiego.gov/development-services), provides additional information about the advisory role of the Community Planning Groups. Council Policy 600-24 provides guidance to the Community Planning Groups and is available at https://docs.sandiego.gov/councilpolicies/cpd_600-24.pdf (New Issue)





Cycle Issues

10/28/21 11:08 am

Page 11 of 11

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services Department
1222 1st Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 5 Substantial Conformance Review	Submitted: 10/05/2021	Deemed Complete on 10/05/2021
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Environmental	Cycle Distributed: 10/05/2021	
Reviewer: Szymanski, Jeffrey (619) 446-5324 Jszymski@sandiego.gov	Assigned: 10/06/2021	
	Started: 10/26/2021	
Hours of Review: 1.00	Review Due: 10/26/2021	
Next Review Method: Substantial Conformance Review	Completed: 10/26/2021	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 10/28/2021	

. Last month LDR-Environmental performed 95 reviews, 65.3% were on-time, and 34.1% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

📁 New Issue Group (4436990)

Issue		
Cleared?	Num	Issue Text
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2	EAS does not review SCRs. No comments are necessary. (New Issue)

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Environmental' review, please call Jeffrey Szymanski at (619) 446-5324. Project Nbr: 672343 / Cycle: 5

