

# La Jolla Community Planning Association

PO Box 889, La Jolla CA 92038

<https://lajollacpa.org>

[info@lajollacpa.org](mailto:info@lajollacpa.org)

## Draft Minutes

### Trustee Meeting

7 October 2021, 6pm

President: Diane Kane

1st Vice President: Greg Jackson

2nd Vice President: Brian Will

Secretary: Suzanne Weissman

Treasurer: Larry Davidson

Regular Monthly Meetings: 1st Thursday, LJ Recreation Center, 615 Prospect St  
(During the California health emergency, meetings are being held online)

Links for Registration and Materials pages can be found at <https://lajollacpa.org/2021-agendas/>

Viewing, listening, and speaking at meetings require registration. To have attendance counted toward membership or voting, registration must be in the member's name. Meetings are recorded, and recording is publicly available. **Refer to projects or issues, not to applicants or opponents.** For action items, chair calls on public, then Trustees, closes discussion upon consensus, and calls for motions. Trustees vote by roll call or show of hands.

The public is encouraged to participate in Committee/Board meetings before LJCPA discussion:

PDO – Planned District Ordinance Committee, Chair Deborah Marengo, 2nd Monday, 4:00 pm

DPR – Development Permit Review Committee, Chair Brian Will, 2nd & 3rd Tuesday, 4:00 pm

PRC – La Jolla Shores Permit Review Committee, Chair Andy Fotsch, 3rd Monday, 4:00 pm

T&T – Traffic & Transportation Board, Chair Brian Earley, 3rd Wednesday, 4:00 pm

**Quorum Present:** Ahern, Boyden, Cosstellio, Courtney, Davidson, Hostomska, Ish, Jackson, Kane, Manno, Neil, Rasmussen, Rudick, Shannon, Steck, Weiss, Weissman **Absent:** Will

## 1. Call to Order (6:00pm, ACTION items)

### 1.1. Approve Agenda (ACTION)

**Motion:** Adopt agenda as presented. (Boyden/Jackson) Vote: Unanimous, chair abstains:  
Motion carries

### 1.2. AB361 ACTION

Effective Oct 1, AB361 authorizes "local agencies" acting as or under the aegis of legislative bodies, such as LJCPA, "...to use teleconferencing without complying with the teleconferencing requirements imposed by the Ralph M. Brown Act ... during a proclaimed state of emergency when the legislative body has determined that meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees, as provided."

**Motion:** For the duration of health emergency or until further notice, meeting in person will present imminent risk to health and safety of attendees. (Jackson/Weiss) **Vote:** Unanimous, Neil abstains. Motion carries

Neil: I abstain because City Council will make this determination not us, so our motion is superfluous.

### 1.3. Approve Minutes (ACTION)

**Motion:** Approve minutes as presented. (Jackson/Boyden) Vote: Unanimous. Neil abstains (not present). Motion carries.

## 2. Non-Agenda Public Comment (information only)

*Items not on the agenda. 2 minutes or less. No votes or action unless properly noticed.*

**Janie Emerson:** La Jolla Shores Association meeting Wednesday, October 13, at 5pm. Two special presentations: one from San Diego Canyon Lands and SD Park & Recreation Department about natural parks and canyons in SD especially Pottery Canyon; the other is Environment in Our Lives, a presentation by Jan Chatten Brown, a well-known real estate and CEQA Environmental attorney now an environmental activist and advocate. Regular meeting will start at 6pm.

**Phil Merten:** City of SD through the Development Services Department is destroying the special character of our community one project at a time by routinely approving projects that fail to comply with key regulations of the SDMC. This is a result of mismanagement, incompetence, and corruption. As the officially recognized organization representing the community of LJ, I urge the LJCPA to take an active role and contact the city councilman and work to take whatever steps necessary to stop the degradation of our community.

**Greg Jackson:** I looked into the whole question of consent agendas, also called consent calendars. According to everything I could find including Council Policy 600-24, our bylaws, Robert's Rules, the procedure is to ask if anyone objects. If someone objects, the item gets pulled. When there are no more objections the chair records that there are no more objections and that is the action. Neither individual votes nor vote count are recorded.

**Weiss:** What if a trustee has a conflict with one item and needs to recuse? Should they be precluded from voting on the other items? This has been allowed by the Planning Commission. **Reply:** the correct thing to do is to pull the item, then recuse from the discussion.

**Kane:** I will refer this to our City Planner for further clarification.

### 3. Consent Agenda (consolidated ACTION item requiring unanimous consent)

The Consent Agenda enables LJCPA to ratify recommendations from joint Committees or Boards that findings CAN or CANNOT be made. Those recommendations thereby become LJCPA's. The public may comment, but there is no presentation or debate. Anyone may request a consent item be pulled for full discussion by LJCPA at a subsequent meeting.

#### 3.1. 6444 Avenida Mañana (661877, Anderson-Evans)

(Process 3): Coastal Development Permit and Site Development Permit for slope restoration with construction of shoring wall, retaining walls, drainage system, and removal of existing unpermitted retaining walls, within environmentally sensitive lands at 6444 Avenida Manana. The 0.39 acre site is in the RS-1-5 zone, Coastal (non-appealable) overlay zone, within the La Jolla Community Plan area and Local Coastal Program Land Use Plan. Council District 1. Code Enforcement case #238388

- **DPR 9/14/21: findings CAN be made, 5-0-1**

#### 3.2. 1028 Muirlands Dr (687245, House)

(Process 2) Coastal Development Permit to demolish an existing single family residence and construction of a 8,425-square foot, two-story, residence with a three car garage located at 1028 Muirlands Drive. The 0.36-acre site is in the RS-1-2 Zone, Coastal Overlay

Zone (Non-appealable) and Coastal Height Limit Overlay Zone within the La Jolla Community Plan area, Council District 1.

- **DPR 9/21/21: findings CAN be made, 5-0-1**

3.3. 1570 Alta La Jolla Dr (684820, Causey)  
(Process 3) Conditional Use Permit for the continued operation of a Wireless Communication Facility (WCF) to include nine (9) panel antennas and 12 Remote Radio Units (RRUs) mounted behind an existing Fiberglass Reinforced Plastic (FRP) rooftop mechanical screen architecturally integrated with the existing structure. The project is located at 1570 Alta La Jolla Drive, San Diego, CA 92037 in the RS-1-4 zone, within the Coastal Height Limitation Overlay Zone in the La Jolla Community.

- **DPR 9/14/21: findings CAN be made, 5-0-1**

3.4. 2989 Woodford Dr (695191, Bonnet)  
(Process 3) Site Development Permit for construction of a new 5,924 sf two-story single-family residence on a vacant lot, located at the intersection of Avenida Kirjah and Woodford Drive. The 0.47-acre site is located in the LJSPD-SF zone in the La Jolla Community Plan area. CD 1.

- **PRC 9/20/21: findings CAN be made, 5-0-1**

**Motion:** Approved with no objections. None pulled.

#### 4. Elected Officials, Agencies & Other Entity Representatives

4.1. Council 1 (Joe LaCava): Steve Hadley, 619-236-6611, [srhadley@sandiego.gov](mailto:srhadley@sandiego.gov)

**Hadley:** Spaces as Places will likely be on Council agenda Oct. 26. The revised vending ordinance will come this fall, date uncertain. Our Council Member was at the Open-Air Market on Sunday, 8:30 to 12:30 at the main gate and will be there again on the 1<sup>st</sup> Sunday in Nov. to chat with folks.

**Kane:** Planning Commission recommended Spaces as Places without any changes. What is our Council Member's position on our recommended changes? Will they be discussed at Council hearing? **Reply:** Council Member recommended that it go to full City Council to be heard there.

4.2. SD Mayor's Office (Todd Gloria): Matt Griffith, [griffithm@sandiego.gov](mailto:griffithm@sandiego.gov) Not Present

4.3. Assembly 78 (Chris Ward): Rachel Granadino, 619-413-0674,  
[rachel.granadino@asm.ca.gov](mailto:rachel.granadino@asm.ca.gov)

**Granadino:** Representative Ward send several bills to Governor's office for signature; all were signed. Thank you for earlier action item on AB 361. If you have any ideas for legislation to be presented in January, email me for a legislative request form. Our office in SD County activated their emergency operation center in response to the oil spill in

Huntington Beach. We will alert our town councils and Community groups for further developments. Please contact me with any issues with state related agencies.

**Rudick:** Thanked her for visit with Assembly Member Ward in LJ Village with important discussion on issues in Village such as unemployment extension and other items on the state level.

**Merten:** Surveys show 74% of property owners in Calif. were opposed to SB 9 & 10. Why did Assembly Member Ward vote in favor of those bills when majority of public is opposed? **Reply:** Her reasons summarized:

- Too many people cannot afford housing, are living in cars etc. This cannot continue in Calif.
- SB 10 is voluntary for local governments.
- Cities have long requested this type of legislation.
- SB 9 will allow 4 units only on one parcel, an increase of one more under what is currently permitted.
- Amendments were made to address concerns of stakeholders.
- Doing nothing about housing crisis is not an option.

**Costello:** Not democratic to change zoning without public vote. Bills will not create affordable housing. Small businesses are having difficulty getting workers because they make more money with unemployment extensions.

4.4. Senate 39 (Toni Atkins): Cole Reed, 619-645-3133, [cole.reed@sen.ca.gov](mailto:cole.reed@sen.ca.gov) Not present

4.5. SD Planning: Marlon Pangilinan, [mpangilinan@sandiego.gov](mailto:mpangilinan@sandiego.gov) Not present

4.6. UCSD Planning: Anu Delouri, 858-610-0376, [adelouri@ucsd.edu](mailto:adelouri@ucsd.edu)

**Delouri:** Return to learn program underway. Students returned for fall quarter with majority of in person classes both indoor and outdoor all wearing masks and following all safety guidelines. Infrastructure of mid-coast trolley is complete; trolley is being tested. Two stations on east and west campus anticipated to open mid-November. The Theater District Living and Learning neighborhood located at the eastern edge of Jacobs Theater District is under construction anticipated completion fall of 2023. Details of ongoing construction described. See [plandesignbuild.ucsd.edu](http://plandesignbuild.ucsd.edu) for details.

**Boyden:** How is the UCSD traffic study around intersection of LJ Village Dr., Torrey Pines Road and LJ Scenic Dr. going? Since most of west bound traffic on LJ Village Dr. wants to turn left, it backs up to next traffic light. Will that be considered? **Reply:** I am not familiar with any traffic study. This may have been included in the 2018 Long Range Development Plan. Improvements included with transit priority signals will improve traffic along North TP Rd. I will check.

**Kane:** Why does public access to UCSD property that is part of natural environment in LJ Farms and part of our Community Plan remain closed. The site has been closed by Covid. Is it possible to get it open to the public.? **Reply:** You are referencing The Knoll; it has

been closed during Covid. It is UC Regents property and has been used for research purposes. I do not now when the Knoll will be opened; there were safety concerns with access to The Knoll raised by lifeguards during rescues because of steep slopes. I will get back to you.

## 5. Local Project Reviews (action items as noted)

These may be *de novo* considerations. Actions by committees are listed for information only. Written comments can be submitted via the Materials & Comments page, link above. In general, applicants for each project have 10-15 minutes to present, an individual representing organized opponents (if there are such) has 10 minutes to respond, and members of the public have 15 minutes for 2-minute comments not already covered in presentations. Trustees then discuss the project for 20 minutes, at which point the President may call for motions and vote.

### 5.1. La Jolla Concours d' Elegance (McFarlane) ACTION

Applicant: *"The La Jolla Historical Society is presenting the 16th Annual La Jolla Concours d'Elegance on Sunday, April 24, 2022. This unique event has drawn the finest automobiles from around the region and the country to the Village of La Jolla for the past fifteen years. This event is committed to working with the community of La Jolla to promote area businesses during this exciting weekend. Their primary objective is to make The La Jolla Concours d'Elegance a positive and rewarding experience for everyone. All proceeds from this event will benefit the La Jolla Historical Society."*

- T&T 7/21/21: APPROVED, 7-0-0

**Mike Dorvillier**, representing Concours d'Elegance.

Introduced Lauren Lockhart, new Executive Director of the LJ Historical Society replacing Heath Fox, who retired. This event will replace the program scheduled in 2020 that was shut down. Nothing is changing. We are not partnering with LJ Historical Society. Concours in an event that is the primary fundraiser for the LJ Historical Society. I am a volunteer; neither I nor any others working with me get paid. We do it to benefit the community.

**Courtney:** I pulled this because we want to have full financial disclosure when public property is used for an event. Since this is public property the fence enclosing the event space should be partially transparent. **Reply:** All financial records are available on LJ Historical Society website. We expect a 14% return. We do put several cars on the street for public view. This is a ticked event to raise money.

**Motion:** Ratify T & T vote to approve. (Costello/Rudick) **Vote:** Unanimous, chair abstains. Motion carries.

## 6. City/State/UCSD Project Reviews (action items as noted)

### 6.1. La Jolla Cove/Boomer Beach (Hoffman) ACTION

Request that the City revise ECDP # 2572053 to protect access to the historic path to Boomer Beach. The current outlines of the seasonal closure map will cause challenges with enforcement and safe access for beach user and lifeguards. The map of the Point La

Jolla current seasonal closure encompasses all the bluff area above Boomer Beach, beyond what is currently designated by the k-rail boundary and including the entire bluff area above Boomer Beach.

**Kurt Hoffman:** Our group of ocean users consisting of Boomer body surfers; Tom Keener, Mark Brown, Larry Asakowa, Hal Hadley; local free divers, Volker Hoehne (who educated me on the importance of “no mans” ocean entry point); cove swimmers, Kelly o’Sullivan, are concerned about fish populations and bacteria in the cove. I learned about sea glass from Boomer body surfer, Morgan Launer. We are concerned about the future of PT La Jolla area as we see sea lion colonies increase. We question the concept of mainland closures for sea lion birthing areas. Liability for irresponsible tourism is understandable, but environment impact of ongoing seasonal closures needs to be studied with science and involvement of all stakeholders. The map showing closure areas and areas that should remain open was shown. I also want to ask approval of the letter.

**Morgan Launer, Volker Hoehne, Larry Asakawa, Jessica Mayeux, John Leek, Thomas Keener, Nicholas Menas** spoke in support of keeping access open, their willingness to work with both sides, concerns about pollution and expansion of pinniped populations.

**Robin Davidoff, Carol Archibald:** those on other side of the issue need to be heard, hold off on the letter and get facts, the City did provide access, this is an established sea lion rookery.

**Costello:** Reviewed history and commented on cumulative impact of expansion of pinniped populations on LJ beaches.

**Courtney:** We need to give each side equal time.

**Jackson:** I don’t think we are dealing with this topic in a fair or reasonable way. This has been an advocacy point of view; there are other viewpoints. This is an issue that should be studied by a variety of people with different perspectives to reach a solution. I will vote against any motion to go further at this point.

**Kane:** We need some scientific information to inform a decision. This item was also at Parks and Beaches earlier this week. They are setting up a working group that will include all perspectives; I suggest this is in their purview, not ours.

**Motion:** Adopt the letter written by Mr. Hoffman’s group asking to remove closures on the map drawn by the City that includes Boomer beach and the area by Alligator Head.

(Rasmussen/Neil) **Vote:** 11-4-2 (after motion to table failed)

**In favor:** Ahern, Costello, Davidson, Hostomska, Manno, Neil, Rasmussen, Rudick, Shannon, Steck, Weissman

**Opposed:** Boyden, Ish, Jackson, Weiss

**Abstain:** Kane (chair)

Discussion:

**Boyden:** Letter does not cover all issues raised.

**Kane:** Letter is meant to have narrow focus.

Further discussion that motion is not clear or representative.

**Motion:** Table this motion to send letter requesting open access. (Ish/Jackson), needs 2/3.

**Vote:** 8-8-1. Motion fails. Continue vote on previous motion

**In favor:** Ahern, Boyden, Courtney, Ish, Jackson, Shannon, Weiss, Weissman

**Opposed:** Costello, Davidson, Hostomska, Manno, Neil, Rasmussen, Rudick, Steck

**Abstain:** Kane

**Motion:** Request Coastal Commission to require City to conduct an EIR about pinniped populations and their effect on the environment. (Rasmussen/Costello)

**Vote:** 10-6-1 Motion carries.

**In favor:** Ahern, Costello, Davidson, Hostomska, Ish, Manno, Neil, Rasmussen, Shannon, Steck

**Opposed:** Boyden, Courtney, Jackson, Rudick, Weiss, Weissman

**Abstain:** Kane (chair)

Discussion:

**Boyden:** What are we asking for in the EIR. EIR usually used to support a position.

**Rasmussen:** There has never been an EIR on the effects of the pinniped colonies that the City has allowed to flourish. I am suggesting scientific research in the form of an EIR to determine the effects of pinniped populations on the coastal environment. Diane can craft the letter.

**Weiss:** This discussion is polarized. We need to ask specific questions about what information we want. An EIR will not give the information we need.

**Shannon:** I would like a marine biologist to tell us how these populations are affecting the ocean. Suggested questions could be the effect on the preserve, water quality, spread of disease, sea lion carrying capacity, the effect of open access. Things have changed in the last 20 years. Will EIR tell us that?

**Kane:** I agree. Calif. Environmental law provides what should be in an EIR. We don't need to tell them what we are looking for.

**Davidson:** Needs information Government can provide. This issue has been discussed a long time. Let's move forward.

**Boyden:** Kane write letter and bring back.

## 7. Officer Reports (action items as noted)

### 7.1. President (ACTION as noted)

#### 7.1.1. Proposed Appeals process ACTION

**Kane:** We asked DPR and PRC chairs to draft findings for appeals if they find the project does not comply with the applicable regulations and/or the community plan and forward

those findings to the trustees to provide a draft outline for appeal for trustees to review at the time it is put on the consent agenda. We have no control when a decision will come down. The committee needs to state clearly why findings cannot be made in their motion and attach both the findings and the discussion from the meeting minutes to the report to the trustees. This will provide necessary information for the President to file an appeal on short notice.

**Boyden:** Who will be responsible to track the decision from the Hearing Officer.

**Weissman:** Secretary and 1<sup>st</sup> VP track Hearing Officer agendas.

**Weiss:** It is necessary to have full 10-day period to prepare an appeal.

**Boyden:** When a project is denied, reasons for denial will be included in notification sent to City by LJCPA secretary on IB 620.

**Kane & Weiss discussed results of appeal of 1228 Park Row.** The vote of the Planning Commission was 5-0-0 to deny our appeal. They felt the PC decision backed up the City staff without sufficient review of facts and did not give the citizens confidence in their government.

#### **7.1.2. Ratify appeals ACTION**

7248 Encelia/7231 Romero (624464)

**Motion:** Ratify the above appeal. (Jackson/Boyden) **Vote:** Unanimous, chair abstains. Motion carries.

#### 7.2. Secretary

**Weissman:** LJCPA is a membership organization open to La Jolla residents, property owners and local business and non-profit owners at least 18 years of age. You do not need to be a member to attend and participate in the meetings. The La Jolla Community Planning Association welcomes the community to our public meetings and encourages expression and discussion of all viewpoints in our open forums.

Those in attendance tonight who are not already members are encouraged to join to give our community a strong voice at City Hall.

Please encourage your friends and neighbors to join and participate in the community groups to let our City officials know many in LJ want to improve the quality of life in our community. Membership in this group as well as other LJ Community groups require little time or work but will keep you informed and perhaps motivated to let your elected officials and City staff know you are interested and involved. You can join more than one group with little time commitment.

**Information on how to join this group, the membership application and list of current members is on the Website – LaJollaCPA.org. Attendance & Membership**

If you register and attend an online meeting your attendance will count towards your meeting attendance requirement

#### 7.3. Treasurer

|  |                      |
|--|----------------------|
| <b>Beginning Balance</b> as of Sep 1, 2021 | <b>\$ 961.21</b>     |
| <b>Total Income</b>                        | 0.00                 |
| <b><u>Total Expenses</u></b>               | <b><u>189.98</u></b> |
| <b>Net Income/(Expenditure)</b>            | (189.98)             |
| <b>Ending Balance</b> of Sep 30, 2021      | <b>\$ 771.23</b>     |

Donations can be made by mailing a check made out to the LJCPA. Email the Treasurer via info@lajollacpa.org for instructions and address.

**Jackson:** Expenses were for the website domain registration. Other future IT expenses will be for the website hosting service, security certificate, email service.

## 8. Policy Discussions, Reviews, & Recommendations (action items as noted)

### 8.1. Mature Trees (Widener 6:30 TIME CERTAIN)

How are mature trees preserved? What are the criteria for removal? What is the process for community involvement/public notice when a complaint is received (such as the one from the Childrens’ School) and is there recourse?

**Courtney:** I am concerned to see old growth trees disappearing in LJ. This impacts character, losing green and tree canopy. The recent removal of a close to 100 year old eucalyptus tree at the intersection of La Jolla Shores Dr. and Torrey Pines Rd. concerned me. I could not get any information before the removal. We need more information and a system in place before old, heritage trees are cut down.

**Brian Widener,** San Diego City Forester, Transportation Department.

Presented slides describing San Diego Urban Forestry Program:

- Manages and maintains over 200,000 street trees, 600,000 park trees
- Is a 5 year plan adopted in 2017 including 65 action items to increasing canopy over city, increase efficiency, reduce risk trees may pose in PROW or in parks. It is also part of the 5<sup>th</sup> strategy of the Climate Action Plan focusing on increasing the tree canopy. There is 13% tree canopy cover currently. Goal is 35% by 2035.
- Free tree SD program: [sandiego.gov/trees](http://sandiego.gov/trees). Residents can apply for free street trees if they commit to watering the tree for 3 years with approval.
- In 2021, 2,300 new trees in parks and streets, 2,200 trees removed for health and safety.
- 36,000 trees trimmed for health and safety.
- 1,200 requests for new street trees.
- 6,000 resident requests for street tree maintenance – go through Get It Done app.
- Two certified arborists on staff to determine maintenance and answer resident questions.
- In-house tree maintenance team and qualified City contractors.

- Last option is to remove. Public safety and forest health, other reasons are dead diseased, high risk, sidewalk lift, capital improvements. Tree condition can change in a few months.
- Transportation Dept. makes final determination for removals based on City municipal code.
- Adjacent property owner is notified with option for replacement tree.
- Mature trees are protected under the Conserve a Tree Program and the Community Forest Advisory Board.

The eucalyptus tree in question was not under protection status; there was no adjacent neighbor to contact. The tree had issues in February with broken limbs. At least two people reviewed the removal request.

**Rasmussen:** Where did the Conserve a Tree list originate? I was on a committee to look at historical trees and made a list and map. I would like to see that list to see how it compares with our earlier list. **Reply:** The list is internal and shared with the Forest Advisory Board.

**Thomas Keener:** How do you reconcile requirement for watering for 3 years with drought? **Reply:** 10 to 20 times a year is a small commitment to establish a tree.

**Kate Adams:** A huge pine tree on the bend of LJ Shores Dr. onto Torrey Pines Rd. was removed from City parkway by new VRBO owner to create parking for clients. Is the City replacing this tree? Is there any penalty for removing? **Reply:** They are Italian Stone Pine trees. Some branches were broken and fell on a car. Emergency crews were there. I will check about replanting.

**Brian Earley:** Are palm trees along LJ Shores Dr. routinely maintained? **Reply:** We do have a 2 yr. palm trim cycle. I will check that street.

**Boyden:** Median along LJ Scenic Dr.N. looks sad – needs trimming and watering.

**Reply:** We maintain trees, we do not water or maintain any other vegetation. Park & Rec does manage some trees and landscaping through Maintenance Assessment Districts. Send me photo so I can check.

**Neil:** A large palm tree was removed where Roseland DR. ends at TP Rd. Did city or resident do that? **Reply:** I am not aware of any palm tree removed on Roseland. I will check.

**Kane:** We did a cultural landscape survey in 2003 that documented our street trees. Map shown. Do you have this info at the City? Can I send this to you? **Reply:** We are currently doing a street tree inventory with a contractor. We expect to be in LJ in about 6 months to a year. Tree condition can change in months, but I will be happy to look at it.

## 8.2. Spaces as Places (Neil) ACTION

“Spaces as Places” is the City of San Diego’s proposed program to transition temporary outdoor business [restaurant] operations in the public right-of-way, which were allowed to replace indoor capacity in response to the COVID-19 pandemic which significantly restricted indoor operations, to permanent places that safely bring people together to dine, play and gather. Planning Department is working toward taking the item to City Council in the later part of October.

**Neil:** Spaces as Places was discussed at CPC. It will go to City Council Oct. 26. I don’t expect many changes will be made; it is still a work in process. Joe LaCava pushed Land Use & Housing not to make a recommendation and forward it to City Council. The Land Development update was reviewed at CPC. Joe LaCava gave an update on the upcoming draft Policy 600-24 revision saying that he did his best, but not everything in this draft will make CPC happy. CPC requested that the City preserve current status of CPG’s but City and Planning Department will not have staff to support CPG’s. We need to watch this.

**Motion:** Ratify LJCPA letter to Planning Commission on Spaces as Places approved at September meeting. (Jackson/Boyden) **Vote:** Unanimous, chair abstains. Motion carries.

### 8.3. Redistricting (Boyden)

Update on District 1 United actions that LJCPA voted to support at September meeting.

**Boyden:** They have finished 9 meetings covering each district. Next each member of the committee representing each district will make remarks based on the comments received. Direction will be given by commission to “HaystaqDNA” which will make up three different orientations of districts based on criteria and information submitted. There will be 5 meetings in October, several in November to further review comments. Final report on December 16.

**Emerson:** There are 6 criteria used, only one is population, but for some population is the driving factor. District 1 has grown more than the others. One group is trying to make an Asian Empowerment district. They submitted a map that would take over half of LJ Shores up through TP State Beach and bifurcate D1 throwing LJ into another district. I encourage everyone to get involved, play with the map on the redistricting site. D1 United is a group from Carmel Valley, LJ and University City; we are trying to keep the coast and canyons district together. We have huge environmental concerns, places that can’t be lost to development. There is a lot of money behind development and a single coastal district backed by the short term rental people. We are working with D2 to do a map that will compliment both of us and provide two city councilmen to represent us.

## 9. Reports from Standing, Ad Hoc, and Other Committees (information only)

**Emerson:** Elections are coming in March. We have 106 members, 25 non-trustees who have attended 3 times, 13 who have attended 2 times and could also be eligible to run for trustee by attending one more meeting. There are 6 open seats. We will start calling

people asking them to run. The committee members are Suzanne Weissman, Nancy Manno, Dave Dunbar, Larry Davidson, Donna Apria.

**Kane:** The Coastal View Corridor Committee met with Joe LaCava and his staff; we presented the same material that was presented here. Mr. LaCava suggested creating an information sheet to be included in the information packet that DSD gives out to the public. We are working on some information to put into the City's GIS Mapping System. We hope to get a DSD staff member identified to be the keeper of view corridor information to provide one location for this item.

### **10. Non-Agenda Trustee Comment (information only)**

*Opportunity for Trustees to comment on matters not on the agenda, 2 minutes or less. No votes or action unless properly noticed at least 72 hours in advance.*

**Courtney:** An article in LJ Light said the LJCPA was sponsoring a meeting on paid parking in the Village.

**Kane:** Three joint committees discussed parking: T & T, Village Visioning, PDO. All supported a parking forum. The agenda was hijacked by an incorrect rumor that it was about parking meters. My quote in the LJ Light was about ROW allocation and parking supply issues that are under stress by the Spaces as Places program. A committee has been working on allocation of ROW in the Village and will soon announce their concepts. Reported in the LJ Light were proposals on the roundabouts on Pearl; another is reconfiguring Prospect St. in Cultural Zone for diagonal parking.

**Neil:** In my experience the City has absolutely no interest in preserving parking.

### **11. Adjourn to next LJCPA meeting at 9:05**

Regular meeting 4 November 2021, 6pm.

Prepared by:  
Suzanne Weissman  
LJCPA Secretary