



L64A-003A

Project Information

Project Nbr: 693284 **Title:** Digital Nautilus CDP
Project Mgr: Galvez III, Oscar (619) 533-3683 GalvezO@sandiego.gov



Review Information

Cycle Type: 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline) **Submitted:** 10/06/2021 Deemed Complete on 10/06/2021
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Planning Review **Cycle Distributed:** 10/06/2021
Reviewer: Sherer, Tyler **Assigned:** 10/07/2021
(619) 446-5378 **Started:** 11/03/2021
Tsherer@sandiego.gov **Review Due:** 11/03/2021
Hours of Review: 8.00 **Completed:** 11/03/2021 **COMPLETED ON TIME**
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline) **Closed:** 11/16/2021

- . The review due date was changed to 11/08/2021 from 11/08/2021 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Planning Review on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 23 outstanding review issues with LDR-Planning Review (all of which are new).
- . Last month LDR-Planning Review performed 90 reviews, 38.9% were on-time, and 50.0% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

1st Rev 110321

Proj Info

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Project proposes the demolition of an existing SDU and construction of two 3,121.9 sf MDUs, each with a 310 sf ADU, located at 735 Nautilus Street in the RM-1-1 zone of the La Jolla Community Plan area. Overlays include: Coastal Height Limitation, Coastal Zone - N-APP 2, and Transit Priority Area (TPA). (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	These comments are draft and subject to change until presented by the City's assigned Development Project Manager in conjunction with the project Assessment Letter. Staff is unable to process formal, intermediate plan changes and updates outside the full submitted cycle. A formal response to these comments must be made through the resubmittal process in response to the full Assessment Letter. Your DSD Development Project Manager can assist with further questions. [Info Only] (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	Additional comments will follow during subsequent reviews when all requested/required information is provided in future resubmittals. [Info Only] (New Issue)

CDP

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	A decision on an application for a City-issued Coastal Development Permit in the non-appealable area of the Coastal Overlay Zone shall be made in accordance with Process Two at the staff level. The decision may be appealed to the Planning Commission in accordance with SDMC 112.0504. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	An application for a Coastal Development Permit may be approved or conditionally approved only if the decision maker makes each of the findings in SDMC 126.0708(a). Please provide draft findings upon resubmittal to support your project. (New Issue)

Land Use Rev

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	The La Jolla Community Plan (LJCP) designates the site as Low Medium Density Residential (9-15 DU/AC), which conforms with the General Plan. The development of the 6,312.58sf lot with 2 MDUs conforms with the LJCP and General Plan. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	The RM-1-1 base zone allows for 1 DU per 3,000sf. The development of the 6,312.58sf lot with 2 MDUs conforms with the development regulations of the RM-1-1 base zone. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	LJCP Community Character - Show conformance with the Community Character Policies a.- f. (pp. 76 -77) (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	9	Please contact the La Jolla Community Planning Association for a recommendation on your project and provide that recommendation at a subsequent submittal. (New Issue)

Height

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Planning Review' review, please call Tyler Sherer at (619) 446-5378. Project Nbr: 693284 / Cycle: 2





L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	10	Per SDMC 113.0270 A two-part calculation is required to measure structure height including: (A) Plumb line measurement. The structure height is measured from all points on top of a structure to existing grade or proposed grade, whichever is lower, directly below each point, except as described in Section 113.0270(a)(4). This measurement is taken vertically through the structure at each point where structure height is being measured, as shown in Diagram 113-2KK. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	11	(B) Overall Height Measurement. The overall structure height is measured from the lowest point of existing grade or proposed grade within 5 feet of the structure's perimeter (building wall, balcony, bay window, or similar architectural projection) or at the property line, whichever is closer, to the highest point of the structure, projected horizontally to directly above this lowest point of grade. The overall structure height shall not exceed the maximum permitted structure height of the applicable zone plus an amount equal to either the maximum grade differential (Cont.) (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	12	(Cont.) within the structure's footprint or 10 feet, whichever is less. The structure height shall not exceed the maximum allowed by the applicable zone at any one point. This is illustrated in Diagram 113-02LL. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	13	(D) Structure Height of Buildings subject to Coastal Height Limit in accordance with Section 132.0505 (i) The height of a building is measured to the uppermost point of the structure or any appurtenance placed upon the roof thereof, including signs, penthouses, mechanical equipment, chimneys, vent stacks, spires, or steeples, or other projections. (Cont.) (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	14	(Cont.) (ii) The base of the measurement shall be taken from finished grade in accordance with the 1970 Uniform Building Code. The height shall be measured from the highest adjoining sidewalk or ground surface within 5 feet of the structure, provided that the height measured from the lowest adjoining surface shall not exceed such maximum height by more than 10 feet. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	15	(iii) Structure height of buildings subject to the Coastal Height Limit shall also comply with the height measurement calculations for plumb line in Section 113.0270(a)(2)(A) and overall height in Section 113.0270(a)(2)(B). (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	16	Correction - Show height measurements on elevations from the LOWEST grade (existing or proposed), according to the above regulations. (New Issue)

Misc Corrections

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	17	Retaining Wall in FYSB - Height is limited to 3 feet within the FYSB. Show heights measure per SDMC 113.0270(b)(1)(A). (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	18	Driveway - Per Table 142-05M, a two-way driveway serving MDUs shall be between 20ft and 25ft wide. Please show conformance on the plans. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	19	FYSB - In the RM-1-1 zone, the front building envelope may observe a Minimum setback of 15ft for up to 50% of its length with the other 50% observing the 20ft Standard setback. Please clarify the plans by dimensioning the front building envelope to show conformance with SDMC 131.0443(d)(1)(A). (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	20	SDMC 131.0454 Storage Requirements in the RM Zones. In all RM zones, each dwelling unit shall have a fully enclosed, personal storage area outside the unit that is at least 240 cubic feet with a minimum 7-foot horizontal dimension along one plane. Please show conformance on the plans. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	21	SDMC 131.0455(a) Private Exterior Open Space in the RM Zones. In the RM-1-1, RM-1-2, and RM-1-3 zones, at least 60 square feet of usable, private, exterior open space abutting each dwelling unit shall be provided with a minimum dimension of 6 feet in any direction. The open space may be located in required yard areas, but shall be no closer than 9 feet to the front or rear property lines, and no closer than 4 feet to the side property lines. See Diagram 131-04R. Please show conformance on the plans. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	22	SDMC 131.0456 Common Open Space in the RM Zones. In all RM zones, premises with more than four dwelling units shall include common open space as follows in (a) - (e). Please show conformance on the plans. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	23	SDMC 142.0805 Refuse and Recyclable Material Storage. Dimension the R&RM areas on site plans and show conformance with 142.0805 and 142.0810 (Table 142-08B). Minimum size of storage area is 24sf. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 10/06/2021	Deemed Complete on 10/06/2021
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Environmental	Cycle Distributed: 10/06/2021	
Reviewer: Ferrell, Rachael (619) 446-5129 Rferrell@sandiego.gov	Assigned: 10/07/2021	
	Started: 11/09/2021	
Hours of Review: 8.00	Review Due: 11/08/2021	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Completed: 11/09/2021	COMPLETED LATE
	Closed: 11/16/2021	

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Environmental on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 4 outstanding review issues with LDR-Environmental (all of which are new).
- . Last month LDR-Environmental performed 80 reviews, 70.0% were on-time, and 37.1% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

1st Review - 11/8/2021

Project Scope

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Coastal Development Permit to demolish existing single-family residence and construct two new 3,121-SF MDUs with basements that will include 310-SF ADU's within each unit at 735 Nautilus Street. The 0.15-acre site is designated Low-Medium Density Residential per the La Jolla Community Plan and is subject to the RM-1-1 Base Zone requirements. The project is also subject to Coastal (Non-Appealable) Overlay, Coastal Height Limit Overlay, Transit Priority Area, and Council District 1. (New Issue)

Visual Quality

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	The project would be required to meet all design (setback, height, stepback) requirements pursuant to the policies outlined in the LJCP and LCP and regulations of the SDMC. EAS defers to LDR-Planning as it relates to reviewing the projects conformity to the community plan and applicable zoning regulations. The project does not appear to be located in a designated view corridor. EAS will coordinate with planning staff. (New Issue)

Biological Resources

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3	The project site is currently developed with a single-family residence and is located in a developed neighborhood with no sensitive biological resources mapped on-site. No impacts to biological resources are expected on-site and the site is not in or adjacent to the City's MSCP/MHPA. (New Issue)

Cultural Resources

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4	EAS coordinated with qualified City staff to run a CHRIS search for this site. From the search, no recorded resources are located in or around the site and due to the project being located on mostly fill and marine deposits, Cultural Resources are not likely to be found. Therefore, no additional evaluation is being conducted and monitoring will not be required. (New Issue)

Paleontological Resources

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5	The Geotechnical Investigation Report submitted in this review cycle by Allied Earth Technology (May 15, 2021) indicates that the site is underlain with compact fill to about 4-feet and Old Paralac Deposits under that. The grading calculations indicate that the project proposes 70-CY of cut to a max depth of 3.4-feet. The grading threshold of 1,000-CY to a depth of 10-feet in a highly sensitive formation has not been exceeded, therefore impacts would not rise to a level of significance and monitoring would not be required. (New Issue)

Hydrologic Conditions

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	EAS defers to LDR-Engineering as it relates to the property's drainage. This review discipline has open comments that must be addressed before EAS can make an environmental determination on the project. As such, the initial study timeline will be held in abeyance. (New Issue)

CAP Checklist

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Environmental' review, please call Rachael Ferrell at (619) 446-5129. Project Nbr: 693284 / Cycle: 2





Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services Department
1222 1st Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	The CAP Checklist is incomplete. Step 1 is missing a response for how the project is consistent with the land use. And Step 2 is missing explanations on the first two measures. Please revise and resubmit. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 10/06/2021	Deemed Complete on 10/06/2021
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Engineering Review	Cycle Distributed: 10/06/2021	
Reviewer: Vera, Karen (619) 541-4348 Kvera@sandiego.gov	Assigned: 10/07/2021	
	Started: 10/29/2021	
Hours of Review: 5.50	Review Due: 11/03/2021	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Completed: 11/01/2021	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 11/16/2021	

- . The review due date was changed to 11/08/2021 from 11/08/2021 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Engineering Review on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 22 outstanding review issues with LDR-Engineering Review (all of which are new).
- . Last month LDR-Engineering Review performed 61 reviews, 82.0% were on-time, and 34.8% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

1st Review - 11/01/2021

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	These comments are draft and subject to change until presented by the City's assigned Development Project Manager in conjunction with the project Assessment Letter. Staff is unable to process formal, intermediate plan changes and updates outside the full submitted cycle. A formal response to these comments must be made through the resubmittal process in response to the full Assessment Letter. Your DSD Development Project Manager can assist with further questions. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	The Engineering Review Section has reviewed the subject development and have the following comments that need to be addressed prior to a Public Hearing / Public Notice of Decision. Upon resubmittal, we will complete our review of the Coastal Development Permit Plans. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	The San Diego Water Board adopted Order No. R9-2013-0001, NPDES No. CAS0109266, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit and Waste Discharge Requirements for Discharges from the Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4s) Draining the Watersheds within the San Diego Region. This project will be required to adhere to the City of San Diego Storm Water Standards in effect at the time of approval of ministerial permit. The current Storm Water Development Regulations became effective on February 16, 2016 and this project will be subject to those regulations. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	Please add the following note to plans: The proposed project will comply with all the requirements of the current City of San Diego Storm Water Standards Manual before a Grading or Building permit is issued. It is the responsibility of the owner/designer/applicant to ensure that the current storm water permanent BMP design standards are incorporated into the project. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	The applicant shall submit a Conceptual Grading Plan that shows the following: Show the collection/discharge points for any site and roof drains. Show the Post-Construction Best Management Practices (BMPs). (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	Please show, call out and dimension all existing and proposed easements. Please propose to update all easements to comply with current City of San Diego Standards. Refer to Drainage Design Manual, Sewer and Water Manual. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	Please contact DPM to add PUD-Water and Sewer to next review. Project has an existing water easement at the western side of property. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	Regarding previous comment, applicant submitted title report and exhibit showing and calling out three exiting easements. Please revise plans to show, call out and dimension easements in plans. (New Issue)

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Engineering Review' review, please call Karen Vera at (619) 541-4348. Project Nbr: 693284 / Cycle: 2





L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	9	Provide a dimensioned cross section view of the retaining walls adjacent to the property lines. a. Note: all proposed private improvements must be within the property perimeter (including footings) or obtain a letter of permission from the adjacent property owners. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	10	Drainage report - Please revise existing condition exhibit Q100 value to be consistent with calculations and report. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	11	Drainage report - Please revise runoff coefficient values to be consistent with current Drainage Design Manual. Existing condition (single Family residence) should be 0.55, while proposed condition (multifamily) should be 0.70. If a weighted C value was used, please submit calculations for review. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	12	The applicant will be required to construct all public improvements to meet current State Accessibility Compliance and current City Standards or are damaged during construction. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	13	Driveway shall comply with current ADA, SDMC guidelines and City of San Diego Standard drawings. Driveway on Nautilus Street is not to current city standards, please call out driveway to be reconstructed per current City of San Diego Standards. Please call out width on plans. Please note, driveway is located in parking impact zone. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	14	Project shall adhere to visibility area triangles, per San Diego Municipal Code Section 113.0273 and Diagram 113-02SS. Add the visibility area triangles, per San Diego Municipal Code Diagram 113-02SS. Add a note that states: No obstruction including solid walls in the visibility area shall exceed 3 feet in height. Per SDMC Section 142.0409 (b)(2), Plant material, other than trees, located within visibility areas or the adjacent public right-of-way shall not exceed 36 inches in height, measured from the lowest grade abutting the plant material to the top of the plant material. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	15	Please revise site/grading plan to show and call out the replacement of existing curb with City Standard Curb and Gutter along Nautilus Street. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	16	EMRA - If site has an existing EMRA please submit for review. If there is no existing EMRA, please note one will be required for all private improvements (parkway and curb outlet/sidewalk underdrains) in the public right-of-way. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	17	Revise the Site/Grading Plan. Add a note that states: Prior to the issuance of any construction permit, the Owner/Permittee shall incorporate any construction Best Management Practices necessary to comply with Chapter 14, Article 2, Division 1 (Grading Regulations) of the San Diego Municipal Code, into the construction plans or specifications. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	18	Revise the Site/Grading Plan. Add a note that states: Prior to the issuance of any construction permit the Owner/Permittee shall submit a Water Pollution Control Plan (WPCP). The WPCP shall be prepared in accordance with the guidelines in Part 2 Construction BMP Standards Chapter 4 of the City's Storm Water Standards. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	19	Please remove sheet C2 (erosion control plan sheet) from exhibit A submittal. Erosion control is a construction document and should not be included during the discretionary phase of project. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	20	Development Permit Conditions will be determined on the next submittal when all requested information is provided. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	21	Please provide a written response to all comments whether you agree or not and in case of disagreement, express your reasoning. (New Issue)

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Engineering Review' review, please call Karen Vera at (619) 541-4348. Project Nbr: 693284 / Cycle: 2





Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services Department
1222 1st Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	22	Additional comments may be recommended pending further review of any redesign of this project. These comments are not exclusive. Should you have any questions or comments, please call Karen Vera at 619 446-5331. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 10/06/2021	Deemed Complete on 10/06/2021
Reviewing Discipline: Community Planning Group	Cycle Distributed: 10/06/2021	
Reviewer: Galvez III, Oscar (619) 533-3683 GalvezO@sandiego.gov	Assigned: 10/08/2021	
	Started: 11/02/2021	
Hours of Review: 0.50	Review Due: 11/03/2021	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Completed: 11/02/2021	COMPLETED ON TIME
	Closed: 11/16/2021	

- . The review due date was changed to 11/08/2021 from 11/08/2021 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for Community Planning Group on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 1 outstanding review issues with Community Planning Group (all of which are new).
- . Last month Community Planning Group performed 44 reviews, 38.6% were on-time, and 43.2% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

11-02-21

La Jolla Community Plan Area

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	The proposed project is located within the La Jolla Community Planning Area. The La Jolla Community Planning Group is the officially recognized community group for the area to provide recommendations to the City. (New Issue)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2	Development Services Department (DSD) Information Bulletin #620, "Coordination of Project Management with Community Planning Committees" provides additional information about the advisory role of the Community Planning Groups and is available at: https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/dsdib620.pdf Council Policy 600-24 provides guidance to the Community Planning Groups and is available at: https://docs.sandiego.gov/councilpolicies/cpd_600-24.pdf (New Issue)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3	Please note that Community Planning Groups provide citizens with an opportunity for involvement in advising the City on land use matters. Community Planning Group considerations are a recommended but not required part of the project review process. You may contact the Chair of the La Jolla Community Planning Board to schedule your project for a presentation before the group at their next available meeting. Community Planning Group contact information is available at: https://www.sandiego.gov/planning/community/contacts (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	Submit the IB 620 Community Planning Committee Distribution Form online and/or provide minutes from the meeting which includes the vote count. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 10/06/2021	Deemed Complete on 10/06/2021
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Geology	Cycle Distributed: 10/06/2021	
Reviewer: Washburn, Jacobe (619) 446-5075 jwashburn@sandiego.gov	Assigned: 10/07/2021	
	Started: 11/02/2021	
Hours of Review: 4.00	Review Due: 11/03/2021	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Completed: 11/04/2021	COMPLETED LATE
	Closed: 11/16/2021	

- . The review due date was changed to 11/08/2021 from 11/08/2021 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Geology on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 4 outstanding review issues with LDR-Geology (all of which are new).
- . Last month LDR-Geology performed 77 reviews, 79.2% were on-time, and 80.3% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

693284-2 (11/4/2021)

References:

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Geotechnical Study, Two Proposed Residential Building Sites, 735 Nautilus Street, San Diego, California, prepared by Allied Earth Technology, dated May 15, 2021 (their project no. 21-1247F1) Geologic Investigation, 735 Nautilus Street, La Jolla, California, prepared by Michael W. Hart, dated May 15, 2021 (their file no. 1174-2021) Development Plans: Nautilus Street Units, 735 Nautilus Street, La Jolla, CA 92107, prepared by Stosh Thomas Architects, dated May 22, 2021 (their job no. 2107) (New Issue)

Review Comments:

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Submit an addendum geotechnical report or update letter that specifically addresses the proposed development for the purposes of environmental review and the following: (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	The geotechnical consultant must indicate if the site is suitable for the proposed development as designed or provide recommendations to mitigate the geologic hazards to an acceptable level. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	The project's geotechnical consultant should provide a conclusion regarding if the proposed development will destabilize or result in settlement of adjacent property or the Right-of-Way. (New Issue)





L64A-003A

Review Information

Cycle Type: 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Submitted: 10/06/2021 Deemed Complete on 10/06/2021
Reviewing Discipline: Plan-Historic Cycle Distributed: 10/06/2021
Reviewer: Bacik, Megan Assigned: 10/07/2021
(619) 655-6301 Started: 11/03/2021
Mbacik@sandiego.gov Review Due: 11/03/2021
Hours of Review: 1.00 Completed: 11/03/2021 COMPLETED ON TIME
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Closed: 11/16/2021

- The review due date was changed to 11/08/2021 from 11/08/2021 per agreement with customer.
We request a 2nd complete submittal for Plan-Historic on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
Last month Plan-Historic performed 192 reviews, 65.1% were on-time, and 79.5% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

11.03.2021

Table with 3 columns: Cleared?, Issue Num, Issue Text. Contains 9 rows of issue details regarding historical resource reviews and designations.

For questions regarding the 'Plan-Historic' review, please call Megan Bacik at (619) 655-6301. Project Nbr: 693284 / Cycle: 2

