



Cycle Issues DRAFT

6/15/21 9:31 am

Page 1 of 14

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services Department
1222 1st Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003B

Project Information

Project Nbr: 688683 **Title:** Digital-Kearsarge Residence
Project Mgr: Gutierrez, Edith (619) 446-5117 egutierrez@sandiego.gov



Review Information

Cycle Type: 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 05/07/2021	Deemed Complete on 05/07/2021
Reviewing Discipline: Fire-Plan Review	Cycle Distributed: 05/07/2021	
Reviewer: Velasquez, Jaime (619) 533-4481 Jvelasquez@sandiego.gov	Assigned: 05/10/2021	
	Started: 05/10/2021	
Hours of Review: 0.50	Review Due: 06/07/2021	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Completed: 05/10/2021	
	Closed:	

- . The review due date was changed to 06/10/2021 from 06/10/2021 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 2 outstanding review issues with Fire-Plan Review (all of which are new).

Fire 1

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Provide "Fire Access Plan" in an engineering scale, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	(Provide as a NOTE and SHOW on the FAP) " All required hose pulls are shown to reach all portions of the EXTERIOR of the building(s) per policy A-14-1. Hose pull is measured from the fire apparatus (engine) when the fire engine is in a fire access road/lane. Hose pull can be measured from multiple locations within the access road/lane. The hose pulls must connect or overlap to show complete coverage. For a sprinklered building(s); the maximum hose pull is 200'. For non-sprinklered building(s); the maximum hose pull is 150'. Change in vertical elevation must also be accounted for." (New Issue)





L64A-003B

Review Information

Cycle Type: 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 05/07/2021	Deemed Complete on 05/07/2021
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Geology	Cycle Distributed: 05/07/2021	
Reviewer: Mills, Kreg (619) 446-5295 Kmills@sandiego.gov	Assigned: 05/07/2021	
	Started: 06/01/2021	
Hours of Review: 3.50	Review Due: 06/07/2021	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Completed: 06/02/2021	
	Closed:	

- . The review due date was changed to 06/10/2021 from 06/10/2021 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 5 outstanding review issues with LDR-Geology (all of which are new).

0688683-2 (6/2/2021)

REFERENCES REVIEWED:

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	Geotechnical Investigation, New Residence, 1627 Kearsarge Road, La Jolla, California, prepared by TerraPacific Consultants, Inc., dated April 9, 2021 (their file no. 21-037)
		Development Plans for 1627 Kearsarge Road, La Jolla, California 92037, prepared by Safdie Rabines Architects, dated April 22, 2021 (their project no. 1525); Civil Plans prepared by Christensen Engineering & Surveying, dated March 22, 2021 (their job no. A2021-25)

(New Issue)

REVIEW COMMENTS:

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	The project's geotechnical consultant must submit an addendum geotechnical report or update letter for the purpose of an environmental review that specifically addresses the proposed development plans and the following:
		(New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	The project's geotechnical consultant should circumscribe the limits of anticipated remedial grading on the geologic/geotechnical map to delineate the proposed footprint of the project.
		(New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	The project's geotechnical consultant should provide a conclusion regarding if the proposed development will destabilize or result in settlement of adjacent property or the right of way.
		(New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	The project's geotechnical consultant must provide a professional opinion that the site will have a factor-of-safety of 1.5 or greater for both gross and surficial stability following project completion.
		(New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	The project's geotechnical consultant should provide a statement as to whether or not the site is suitable for the intended use.
		(New Issue)

(New Issue)





L64A-003B

Review Information

Cycle Type: 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Submitted: 05/07/2021 Deemed Complete on 05/07/2021
Reviewing Discipline: Plan-Historic Cycle Distributed: 05/07/2021
Reviewer: Bacik, Megan Assigned: 05/09/2021
(619) 655-6301 Started: 06/07/2021
Mbacik@sandiego.gov Review Due: 06/07/2021
Hours of Review: 1.00 Completed: 06/07/2021
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Closed:

- The review due date was changed to 06/10/2021 from 06/10/2021 per agreement with customer.
The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
Your project still has 21 outstanding review issues with Plan-Historic (all of which are new).

06.07.2021

Table with 3 columns: Cleared?, Issue Num, Issue Text. Contains 9 rows of review issues with checkboxes and detailed text.

For questions regarding the 'Plan-Historic' review, please call Megan Bacik at (619) 655-6301. Project Nbr: 688683 / Cycle: 2





L64A-003B

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	10	The project proposes the following scope of work:Coastal Development Permit to construct a new two-story, 1,554-square-foot, single family residence with attached garage carport and convert the exiting 1,068-square-foot residence to a companion unit. T (Info Only, No Response Required) (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	11	The project proposed is not consistent with the Standards for the following reasons:More information required for staff to determine if the proposed new building meets the Standards (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	12	The application can be approved if the project is revised as follows: (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	13	Include the proposed stucco texture in the plans. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	14	Provide in the plans the window schedule. Include the lite pattern, materials, dimensions, operations, and glazing. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	15	Provide in the plans the door schedule. Include the lite pattern, materials, dimensions, operations, and glazing. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	16	Staff would like to work with the applicant on ways in which the project can be revised to be consistent with the U.S. Secretary of the Interior's Standards. Please contact the reviewer listed in bold at the top of this cycle issues report to set up a meeting to discuss the project and possible revisions. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	17	Alternatively, the applicant may choose to submit a historic report that provides a complete evaluation of the building's integrity and eligibility under all designation criteria. If it is determined upon review of the report that the building is not eligible for designation, the project can proceed as proposed. If it is determined that the building is eligible for designation, it will be forwarded to the HRB for action, consistent with SDMC 143.0212(d). HOWEVER, staff would strongly encourage a meeting with the applicant to discuss the project prior to preparation of a historic report. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	18	Guidelines for the preparation of the report can be found on the City's website: For building permits: https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/hrbreport.pdf For discretionary permits: https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/hrbtechreport.pdf If the applicant is interested in hiring a consultant to complete the report, a list of consultants can be provided upon request. Please contact the "Reviewer" identified at the top of this cycle issues report. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	19	Guidelines for the preparation of the report can be found on the City's website: For building permits: https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/hrbreport.pdf For discretionary permits: https://www.sandiego.gov/sites/default/files/hrbtechreport.pdf If the applicant is interested in hiring a consultant to complete the report, a list of consultants can be provided upon request. Please contact the "Reviewer" identified at the top of this cycle issues report. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	20	Once the revisions are complete or the report is prepared, resubmit your project to the Development Services Department for routing and review. Should you have any questions regarding these comments, please contact the "Reviewer" listed in bold at the top of this cycle issues report. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	21	Should you need to get in touch with staff by phone the correct phone number is 619-533-6301. To set up a Microsoft Teams meeting to discuss review comments email mbacik@sandiego.gov . (New Issue)





L64A-003B

Review Information

Cycle Type: 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 05/07/2021	Deemed Complete on 05/07/2021
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Landscaping	Cycle Distributed: 05/07/2021	
Reviewer: Rebolledo, Rey (619) 446-5140 RRebolledo@sandiego.gov	Assigned: 06/01/2021	
	Started: 06/07/2021	
Hours of Review: 3.00	Review Due: 06/07/2021	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Completed: 06/07/2021	
	Closed:	

- . The review due date was changed to 06/10/2021 from 06/10/2021 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 10 outstanding review issues with LDR-Landscaping (all of which are new).

📁 1st Review 6/7/2021

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Resubmittal Required: Resubmit revised plans addressing issues discussed below. INCLUDE A COVER LETTER THAT CLEARLY EXPLAINS HOW AND WHERE EACH ISSUE HAS BEEN ADDRESSED. For questions or further direction, contact reviewer Rey Rebolledo at rrebolledo@sandiego.gov or call (619) 446-5140. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Scope of work: Coastal Development Permit to construct a new two-story single-family residence with attached garage carport and convert the existing residence to a companion unit in the city-wide RS-1-5 zone. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	Landscape Calculations (sht. L-101): Remove Landscape/Vehicular calculations from sheet. Landscape calculations are not required for a residential development submittal in the city-wide RS-1-5. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	Maximum Paving and Hardscape in RS Zones, [131.0447]: Per Table 131-04D the maximum allowable hardscape in the front yard is 60%. Per 131.0447 please demonstrate on the plans that the front yard does not exceed the allowable maximum. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	Street Trees: Street trees shall have a minimum root zone of 40 square feet. Provide a symbol for the 40 square feet root zone. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	Street Tree Selection [142.0409(a)(3)]: Street tree species shall be selected in accordance with the governing community plan or land-use plan. The Plant Schedule includes Cupressus forbesii as one of the street trees options. Cupressus forbesii is not included in the La Jolla Community Plan as one of the options for a street tree. Please remove from legend or replaced with an approved option. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	Multi-trunk Trees: Multi-trunk trees cannot be used as a street tree. Please remove multi-trunk form option. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	Provide the following note on the Landscape Plan: "All landscape and irrigation shall conform to the standards of the City-Wide Landscape Regulations and the City of San Diego Land Development Manual Landscape Standards and all other landscape related City and regional Standards." (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	9	Provide the following note on the Landscape Plan: "Tree root barriers shall be installed where trees are placed within 5 feet of public improvements including walks, curbs, or street pavements or where new public improvements are placed adjacent to existing trees. The root barrier will not wrap around the root ball." Please clearly identify the installation of root barriers in the locations subject to these conditions per 142.0403(b). (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	10	Provide the following note on the Landscape Plan: "A minimum root zone of 40sf in area shall be provided for all trees. The minimum dimension for this area shall be 5 feet, per SDMC 142.0403(b)(6)." (New Issue)





L64A-003B

Review Information

Cycle Type: 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Submitted: 05/07/2021 Deemed Complete on 05/07/2021
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Transportation Dev Cycle Distributed: 05/07/2021
Reviewer: Germukly, Nadeen Assigned: 05/13/2021
(619) 446-5207 Started: 06/07/2021
Ngermukly@sandiego.gov Review Due: 06/07/2021
Hours of Review: 10.00 Completed: 06/14/2021
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Closed:

- The review due date was changed to 06/10/2021 from 06/10/2021 per agreement with customer.
The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
Your project still has 14 outstanding review issues with LDR-Transportation Dev (all of which are new).

1st Review

Table with 3 columns: Cleared?, Issue Num, Issue Text. Contains 7 rows of review issues, including general comments, project description, trip generation, VMT information, cross-section requirements, and parking requirements.

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Transportation Dev' review, please call Nadeen Germukly at (619) 446-5207. Project Nbr: 688683 / Cycle: 2





L64A-003B

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	Driveways: Per Land Development Code Section 142.0560 (j), the current minimum and maximum driveway widths for the proposed single dwelling unit residential development is 12 feet wide. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	9	Driveways: Site plan should show and call out width of the existing driveway on Kearsarge Road and state whether it will remain or be removed and replaced. All driveways shall comply with San Diego Standard Drawings per San Diego Municipal Code (SDMC) Section 142.0560(j)(3). (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	10	Driveway Ramp: Per SDMC section 142.0560 (j) (9) (C). For driveway ramps with a gradient greater than 14 percent up to the maximum permitted gradient of 20 percent, there shall be transitions for the first and last 8 feet of the ramp. Full size scaled plans should show and call out all existing and proposed Driveway Ramp slope. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	11	Floor plan: Please provide separate sheet of existing floor plan, including dimensions for garage door. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	12	Accessory dwelling unit (ADU): Proposed plans call out existing residence as companion unit; please revise to be call out accessory dwelling unit (ADU). (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	13	CAP Consistency Checklist: Please revise CAP Consistency Checklist to provide an explanation in text box for all N/A selections of Strategy 3 items. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	14	Additional Comments and Conditions (information only): Additional comments and conditions may be provided pending further review or redesign of this project. (New Issue)





L64A-003B

Review Information

Cycle Type: 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 05/07/2021	Deemed Complete on 05/07/2021
Reviewing Discipline: PUD-Water & Sewer Dev	Cycle Distributed: 05/07/2021	
Reviewer: Jimenez, Meryl (619) 446-5098 MBJimenez@sandiego.gov	Assigned: 05/10/2021	
	Started: 06/07/2021	
Hours of Review: 2.00	Review Due: 06/07/2021	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Completed: 06/07/2021	
	Closed:	

- . The review due date was changed to 06/10/2021 from 06/10/2021 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 7 outstanding review issues with PUD-Water & Sewer Dev (all of which are new).

Informational Items

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	Water and sewer capacity charges will be due at the time of building permit issuance. Capacity charges, as well as service and meter size, are determined by the Water Meter Data Card which is completed during the building plan review process. Any questions regarding water and sewer capacity fees should be addressed to Information and Application Services (619-446-5000). (New Issue)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2	All on-site water and sewer facilities are private and shall be designed to meet the requirements of the California Uniform Plumbing Code and shall be reviewed as part of the building plan permit check. (New Issue)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3	If it is determined that the existing water and sewer services are not of adequate size to serve the proposed project, the applicant will be required to abandon (kill) any existing unused water and sewer services and install new service(s) and meter which must be located outside of any driveway or vehicular use area. (New Issue)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4	All water services to the site, including domestic, irrigation and fire will require private, above ground back flow prevention devices (BFPDs). BFPDs are typically located on private property, in line with the service and immediately adjacent to the right-of-way. The Public Utilities Department will not permit the required BFPDs to be located below grade or within the structure. (New Issue)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5	All proposed public water and sewer facilities, must be designed and constructed in accordance with established criteria in the most current edition of the City of San Diego Water and Sewer Facility Design Guidelines and City regulations, standards and practices pertaining thereto. (New Issue)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6	No trees or shrubs exceeding three feet in height at maturity shall be installed within ten feet of any sewer and five feet of any water facilities. (New Issue)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	7	If you have any questions regarding water and sewer comments, please contact Meryl Jimenez at (619) 446-5098 or via email at Mbjimenez@sandiego.gov. (New Issue)

1st Review (06-2021)

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	On Sheet C-100, show all water appurtenances. Labels should indicate the service size, whether existing or proposed, and the type of service (Domestic, Irrigation, or Fire). (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	9	Please show proposed water meter and backflow preventer. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	10	Revise Construction Note 1 to "Existing water service to be killed at main" (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	11	Revise Construction Note 12 to "Existing sewer lateral per dwg 3264-L to remain" if applicable. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	12	Revise Construction Note 13 to "Existing sewer lateral per dwg 31590-16-D to remain" if applicable. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	13	For Construction Note 13, Are both sewer laterals to remain if both are live and two connections proposed? Please clarify note "Contractor to pothole to verify if actual sewer lateral is per dwg 3264-L or 31590-16-D." (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	14	Existing 6" rehab sewer main on Kearsarge Rd is per dwg # 31590-16-D, please revise note. (New Issue)





L64A-003B

Review Information

Cycle Type: 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Submitted: 05/07/2021 Deemed Complete on 05/07/2021
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Planning Review Cycle Distributed: 05/07/2021
Reviewer: Mancía, Marlene Assigned: 05/10/2021
(619) 446-5174 Started: 06/07/2021
MMancia@sandiego.gov Review Due: 06/07/2021
Hours of Review: 3.00 Completed: 06/09/2021
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Closed:

- The review due date was changed to 06/10/2021 from 06/10/2021 per agreement with customer.
The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
Your project still has 18 outstanding review issues with LDR-Planning Review (all of which are new).

1st review 6/9/21

Standard Comments and Informat

Table with 3 columns: Cleared?, Num, Issue Text. Contains 3 items regarding project comments, location, and proposed project details.

Permits and Proposals

Table with 3 columns: Cleared?, Num, Issue Text. Contains 2 items regarding development permit and coastal development permit.

Land Use Plan Analysis

Table with 3 columns: Cleared?, Num, Issue Text. Contains 6 items regarding land use plan analysis, including street preservation, design, and community planning.

Please provide CPG determination to Planner. (New Issue)

Development Plan Review

Table with 3 columns: Cleared?, Num, Issue Text. Header row for development plan review.

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Planning Review' review, please call Marlene Mancía at (619) 446-5174. Project Nbr: 688683 / Cycle: 2





L64A-003B

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	12	Clearly indicate on floor and elevation plans area identified to be excluded from FAR by shading said area and provided square footage.
<input type="checkbox"/>	13	See section 113.0234 (a)(2) and diagram 113-02I and 113-02J. (New Issue) Provide floor plan for structure to be converted to an ADU, shade area to be excluded from FAR on floor and elevation plans and indicate square footage.
<input type="checkbox"/>	14	See section 113.0234 (a)(2) and diagram 113-02I and 113-02J. (New Issue) Provide plum line height measurement for section 1 and 2 on sheet A-300. Measurement should be shown from highest point to imaginary plane. Specifically from roof of master bedroom to imaginary plane.
<input type="checkbox"/>	15	Cont'd (New Issue) It appears master bedroom roof exceeds 30' coastal height limit see section 1&2 sheet A-300 and angled building envelope. Please clarify. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	16	On east elevation plans (sheet A-200) indicate height of exterior stairs encroaching into side setback. Consider redesigning and pushing stairs back out of front yard setback Please be aware "An unroofed portion of a structure not in excess of 3 feet above proposed grade, with an open safety railing not exceeding 42 inches in height, is permitted within a required side or rear yard." (Section 131.0461) (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	17	To facilitate next review please provide a response letter along with updated plans. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	18	Additional comments may follow new information. (New Issue)





L64A-003B

Review Information

Cycle Type: 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 05/07/2021	Deemed Complete on 05/07/2021
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Environmental	Cycle Distributed: 05/07/2021	
Reviewer: Holowach, Courtney (619) 446-5187 Cholowach@sandiego.gov	Assigned: 05/10/2021	
	Started: 05/17/2021	
Hours of Review: 6.00	Review Due: 06/10/2021	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Completed: 06/09/2021	
	Closed:	

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 7 outstanding review issues with LDR-Environmental (all of which are new).

June 2021

Extended Initial Study

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Additional information is required before an environmental review can be completed. The issues identified below and in any other discipline review comments must be addressed before an environmental determination can be made on this project. A determination of Negative Declaration (ND), Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) or Environmental Impact Report (EIR) will be made based on the information provided in any subsequent submittals. (New Issue)

Project Information

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Coastal Development Permit to construct a new two-story, 1,554-square-foot, single family residence with attached garage carport and convert the exiting 1,068-square-foot residence to a companion unit. The 0.14-acre site located 1627 Kearsarge Road is in the RS-1-5 zone, Coastal (Non-Appealable Area 1) and Coastal Height Limit Overlay Zones within the La Jolla Community Plan Area and Council District 1. (New Issue)

Biological Resources

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3	The proposed project is not mapped as having biological resources and is in a fully developed neighborhood. No impacts would occur. (New Issue)

Archaeological Resources

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4	Based upon the location of the project and the underlying artificial fill, no impacts to archaeological resources are anticipated. No further comment. (New Issue)

Paleontological Resources

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5	The proposed project does not rise to the level of significance for paleontological resources. (New Issue)

Built Environment

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6	Please refer to Plan Historic's comments. EAS cannot make its determination until Built Environment issues have been resolved. (New Issue)

Geologic Hazards

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	Please see comments from Geology staff. An addendum report will be required. The CEQA determination cannot be made until all issues have been addressed. (New Issue)

Water Quality

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	Please see comments from Engineering staff. The CEQA determination cannot be made until all issues have been addressed. (New Issue)

For questions regarding the 'LDR-Environmental' review, please call Courtney Holowach at (619) 446-5187. Project Nbr: 688683 / Cycle: 2





L64A-003B

VMT

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9	The proposed project does not rise to the level of significance of a VMT impact. It would have an ADT of less than 300 trips. (New Issue)

GHG

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	10	On July 12, 2016, the City of San Diego adopted the Climate Action Plan (CAP) Consistency Checklist, which requires all projects subject to discretionary review to demonstrate consistency with the Climate Action Plan. (New Issue)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	11	The CAP is a plan for the reduction of GHG emissions in accordance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15183.5. Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Sections 15604 (h) (3), 15130 (d), and 15183 (b), a project's incremental contribution to a cumulative GHG emissions effect may be determined not to be cumulatively considerable if it complies with the requirements of the CAP. (New Issue)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	12	Projects that are consistent with the CAP as determined through the use of this Checklist may rely on the CAP for the cumulative impacts of GHG emissions. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	13	EAS has reviewed the submitted Checklist and finds the following needs revisions: Please provide explanations for all answers, including questions that are N/A. The land use consistency section also needs an explanation. (New Issue)

Summary

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	14	At this time, there are outstanding comments from other disciplines that need to be addressed before EAS can make a final determination. Until the requested information has been provided staff is not able to complete the environmental review for the project and the environmental processing timeline will be held in abeyance. EAS will coordinate with the other reviewers as the review progresses regarding any additional potential environmental impacts. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	15	Until the requested information has been provided staff is not able to complete the environmental review for the project and the environmental processing timeline will be held in abeyance. EAS will coordinate with the other reviewers as the review progresses regarding any additional potential environmental impacts. Please be aware that the environmental review may change in response to any project changes. (New Issue)





L64A-003B

Review Information

Cycle Type: 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Submitted: 05/07/2021 Deemed Complete on 05/07/2021
Reviewing Discipline: LDR-Engineering Review Cycle Distributed: 05/07/2021
Reviewer: Huynh, Khanh Assigned: 05/14/2021
(619) 446-5299 Started: 06/07/2021
KHuynh@sandiego.gov Review Due: 06/07/2021
Hours of Review: 8.00 Completed: 06/07/2021
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline) Closed:

- The review due date was changed to 06/10/2021 from 06/10/2021 per agreement with customer.
The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
Your project still has 15 outstanding review issues with LDR-Engineering Review (all of which are new).

1st Review

Table with 3 columns: Cleared?, Issue Num, Issue Text. Contains 15 rows of review issues with checkboxes and detailed descriptions.





Cycle Issues DRAFT

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
Development Services Department
1222 1st Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003B

Review Information

Cycle Type: 2 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Submitted: 05/07/2021	Deemed Complete on 05/07/2021
Reviewing Discipline: Community Planning Group	Cycle Distributed: 05/07/2021	
Reviewer: Gutierrez, Edith (619) 446-5117 egutierrez@sandiego.gov	Assigned: 05/10/2021	
	Started: 05/19/2021	
Hours of Review: 0.10	Review Due: 06/07/2021	
Next Review Method: Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	Completed: 05/19/2021	
	Closed:	

- . The review due date was changed to 06/10/2021 from 06/10/2021 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 1 outstanding review issues with Community Planning Group (all of which are new).

La Jolla

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Please contact Diane Kane, President of the La Jolla Community Planning Association at (858) 459-9490 or by email at info@lajollacpa.org to make arrangements to present your project at their next regular meeting. This group is officially recognized by the City Council as a representative of the community, and as an advisor to the City in actions that would affect the community. (New Issue)

