

La Jolla Community Planning Association

PO Box 889, La Jolla CA 92038
<https://lajollacpa.org>
info@lajollacpa.org

Trustee Meeting Draft Minutes 4 February 2021 6pm

President: Diane Kane
1st Vice President: Greg Jackson
2nd Vice President: Helen Boyden
Secretary: Suzanne Weissman
Treasurer: Mike Costello

Regular Monthly Meetings: 1st Thursday, La Jolla Recreation Center, 615 Prospect St
Meetings are being held online during the pandemic health emergency

Registration: <https://lajollacpa.org/licpa-online-meeting-instructions/>
Materials: <https://lajollacpa.org/licpa-trustees-2-4-2021-materials-comments/>

Viewing, listening, and speaking at meetings requires registration. To have attendance counted toward membership or voting, registration must be in the member's name. Meetings are recorded. Mobile or noisy **devices should be off or silent**, and **microphones muted** except to speak.

Refer to projects or issues, not to applicants or opponents. For Action Items, chair calls on public, then Trustees, closes discussion upon consensus, and calls for motions. Trustees vote by roll call or show of hands.

LJCPA welcomes donations in cash at physical meetings or by check to "LJCPA". Please email the Treasurer (emsmike@san.rr.com) for instructions and address.

The **public is encouraged to participate** in Committee/Board meetings before LJCPA discussion:

PDO – Planned District Ordinance Committee, Chair Deborah Marengo, 2nd Monday, 4:00 pm
DPR – Development Permit Review Committee, Chair Brian Will, 2nd & 3rd Tuesday, 4:00 pm
PRC – La Jolla Shores Permit Review Committee, Chair Andy Fotsch, 3rd Monday, 4:00 pm
T&T – Traffic & Transportation Board, Chair David Abrams, 3rd Wednesday, 4:00 pm

Election: The [election](#) of new LJCPA Trustees will be in March. Candidates speak briefly at the February meeting.

Quorum Present: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Costello, Courtney, Davidson, Fitzgerald, Ish, Jackson, Kane, Mangano, Manno, Neil, Shannon, Steck, Weiss, Weissman

1. Call to Order (6:00pm, action)

1.1. Approve Agenda (action)

Kane: Modify agenda: Remove item 7.3.4, STVR letter. (unofficial information that this item will be on City Council agenda Feb.23. Not time to address tonight. Suggest individuals send letters with suggestions. (See Materials & Comments on website)

Item 5.1, 7595 Hillside Dr. remove from agenda

Item 4.5, 8405 Paseo del Ocaso pulled to be heard next month

Change order to hear item 5.4, La Jolla View Reservoir first, item 5.3, Coastal Rail Trail second, item 5.2, 416 Nautilus third.

Comment closed on 5.3, 5.4 comment on Chat or lajollacpa.org

Motion: Approve agenda as modified. (Boyden/Steck) **Vote:** unanimous. Motion carries.

1.2. Approve Minutes (action)

Motion: Approve minutes as presented. (Boyden/Courtney) **Vote:** unanimous. Motion carries.

2. Non-Agenda Public Comment (discussion)

Opportunity for public to speak on matters not on the agenda, 2 minutes or less. No votes or action unless requests have been submitted to the President in writing and properly noticed at least 72 hours in advance.

Rasmusen: Coast Walk parking spaces and turnaround: Calif. Coastal Commission has requested City of SD to conduct survey on how to determine configuration of parking spaces, required ADA parking spaces and how to make turnaround safe.

Emerson: UCSD is bringing deed restriction request to Land Use and Housing on Feb. 18. Contact me if you want to speak on this.

3. Election Update (action and information)

3.1. Process (action, Emerson)

Approve proposed procedures for March Trustee election

Emerson: To set the election process during Covid the election committee studied our bylaws, incorporated suggestions from Mike Costello from his group and the City recommended protocols. Our two goals were to make it simple and follow the bylaws and keep everyone safe.

Motion: Ratify election protocol as posted in Materials & Comments on website. (Jackson/Costello). **Vote:** unanimous: Motion carries.

3.2. Trustee candidate statements (information)

List of candidates at <https://lajollacpa.org/about/elections/2021-elections/>

Emerson: Anyone can declare intention to run until the end of this meeting.

Statements were heard from: Kane, Hostomska, Marengo, Rasmussen, Rudick, Shannon, Will (read by Emerson).

4. Consent Agenda (consolidated action)

The Consent Agenda enables LJCPA to ratify recommendations from joint Committees or Boards that findings CAN or CANNOT be made. Those recommendations thereby become LJCPA's. The public may comment on consent items, but there is no presentation or debate. Anyone may request a consent item be pulled for full discussion by LJCPA at a subsequent meeting.

4.1. 9044 La Jolla Shores Ln (667987, Trettin)

(Process 4) After-fact SDP for the Emergency Agreement (PTS# 660175) issued for the relocation of failed coastal bluff materials that threatened ancillary residence and tram landing at the base of the coastal bluff located at 9044 La Jolla Shores Ln. within the RS-1-1 and RS-1-4 Base Zones, and Coastal (Appealable), Sensitive Coastal Bluff, and MHPA Overlay Zones and Geo Hazard Area 41 within the La Jolla Community Plan. Council Dist. 1

- **DPR: findings CAN be made 7-0-1**

4.2. 1228 Park Row (619886, Kivel)

(Process 2) – A NDP and amendment to CDP No. 1217056 for new work at 1228 Park Row that includes a steel lattice structure, barbeque, metal rods at roof parapet, vehicle gates that encroach into the public ROW, and air conditioning condensers on the garage roof. Fences, retaining walls, trees in ROW, and carport opening identified in the IC notice dated 4/17/19. The 0.22-acre site is located in the RS-1-7 Zone, and Coastal Overlay Zone (Non-App.) within the La Jolla CPA, and CD 1.

- **DPR: findings CANNOT be made 6-0-1**
- **Measurements to be verified by independent, certified surveyor; Park Row gate encroaches on public way, unsafely designed in contravention of public interest;**

proposed ADU not yet noticed, therefore not properly permitted; design of gates inconsistent with neighborhood

4.3. 7007 Country Club Dr (508125, Coston)

(Process 3) Tentative Map, Coastal Development Permit, and Site Development Permit to create two lots with existing single dwelling unit on a lot and new lot with construction of a new 14,226 SF two-story single dwelling unit with attached garage, pool house, and pool on a site containing ESL. The 8.77-acre site is located at 7007 Country Club Dr. within the RS-1-4 zone and the Coastal Overlay Zone (Non-Appealable Area 1) of the La Jolla Community Plan area. CD 1.

- **DPR: findings CAN be made 7-0-1**

4.4. 8561 El Paseo Grande (670093, Fortune)

(Process 3) Coastal Development Permit and Site Development Permit to demo an existing dwelling unit and construct a new 6,153 sf two story dwelling unit with basement parking garage. The .015-acre site is located at 8561 El Paseo Grande in the LJSPD-SF zone and the Coastal (N-App) Overlay Zone within the La Jolla Community Plan Area and Council District 1.

- **PRC: findings CANNOT be made 6-0-1**
- **Bulk and scale**

4.5. 8405 Paseo del Ocaso (560839, Wilson) PULLED

(Process 3) Coastal Development Permit and Site Development Permit to demolish an existing single dwelling and construct a 4,430 sq-ft two story single to tie into an existing garage located at 8405 Paseo De Ocaso. The 0.12-acre site is in the Coastal Overlay Zone (non-appealable) in the SF zone(s) of the La Jolla Shores Planned District of the La Jolla Community Plan area. CD 1.

- **PRC: findings CAN be made 5-1-1**

4.6. 8216 Caminito Maritimo (629762, Sammon)

(Process 3) Site Development Permit and Neighborhood Development Permit for the addition of 4,515 square feet to an existing single residential condominium unit of 4,771 square feet for a total of 9,286 square feet located at 8216 Caminito Maritimo. The 0.18 acre site of the LJSPD-SF base zone of the La Jolla community plan area with prior development approval SDP#630146 & NDP# 644794. CD 1.

- **PRC: findings CANNOT be made 6-0-1**
- **Bulk and scale, 29'6" retaining wall, substandard driveway length**

4.7. MTS Route #140 bus line

Approve the MTS (Metropolitan Transit System) proposed Route #140 bus line connecting the Village to the new Mid-Coast trolley's Balboa Station, and request electronic informational signage be included at the Village terminus on Silverado Street between Girard Avenue and Herschel Street.

- **T&T: Approve 8-0-0**

Item 4.5, 8405 Paseo del Ocaso Pulled

Motion: Approve Consent items 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.6, 4.7. (Jackson/Boyden) **Vote:** unanimous, Motion carries.

5. Project Reviews (action)

These may be *de novo* considerations. Actions by committees are listed for information only. Written comments can be submitted via the Materials & Comments page, link above. In general, applicants for each project have 10-15 minutes to present, an individual representing organized opponents (if there are such) has 10 minutes to respond, and members of the public have 15 minutes for 2-minute comments not already covered in presentations. Trustees then discuss the project for 20 minutes, at which point the President may call for motions and votes.

5.1. ~~7595 Hillside (522708, Gonzales)~~

~~(Process 3) Site Development Permit and Coastal Development Permit to demolish an existing dwelling and construct a 7,091 sq ft 2-story over basement dwelling located at 7595 Hillside Dr. The 0.797-acre site is in the single family (SF) zone of the La Jolla Shores Planned District, Coastal (Non-appealable) overlay zone within the La Jolla Community Plan Area. CD 1.~~

- ~~● **PRC: Findings CANNOT be made 5-1-1**~~
- ~~● **Height of structure and lack of drawings showing solid guardrail and metal fence at street**~~

5.2. La Jolla View Reservoir (331101, Oriqat/Eicher)

Coastal Development and Site Development Permit Process CIP-2 (WBS# S-15027.02.06) for a proposed 3.11 million gallon circular concrete reservoir to replace the existing reservoir, replace the existing Muirlands Pipeline in County Club Drive with a larger 30" PVC pipeline; and to demolish the existing La Jolla View Reservoir. Coastal Non App 1, Council District 1, Notice Cards 3. Notice of Final Decision to go to CCC.

This is NOT a full project review. The project previously reviewed by DPR & Trustees has not changed. However, the CEQA-related Environmental Document has evolved from an MND to an EIR. **Discussion will focus solely on potential project impacts and mitigation measures identified in the EIR.** Draft LJCPA response to EIR to be discussed/ratified.)

- **DPR: findings CANNOT be made 7-0-1**
- **EIR inadequate: contractor oversight, evaluation of access alternatives, traffic management, pedestrian and other nearby resident safety, excavation soil handling, trail access and restoration, how and where species mitigation best benefits the community, revegetation, and handling of properties project will vacate; extend comment period by at least 60 days for EIR revision in collaboration among relevant City and community organizations.**

Kane: This item has been heard twice at DPR with extensive public comment. A list of issues came out of these meetings. Bilal Oriqat is here to address those questions, things not clarified in the EIR. We are not discussing the merits of the project.

McGrory: I own a home on Remley Pl. net to this park. I am associated with a group of residents below and above Exchange Pl. in the Country Club area. Extensive discussions were held with staff going from the MND to the full EIR. With the EIR coming out on Jan. (sic) 31, with 45 days to review expiring Feb. 14. This loosely knit group felt they needed more time to review and work with City staff. James Negaforth, City Engineer in charge of Capital Improvements, agreed today to support a 45 day extension and more if needed if we would ask for it. We, as a community, are willing to put off the EIR for 45 days from Feb. 14, to continue to work with Bilal, James and City staff to try to work out solutions to the many problems we have identified. This is a big project, \$25m, to close down a natural park for 2 or 3 years with traffic impacts that need a lot of time. I think City staff will agree. I suggest a coalition of community groups – CPA, Parks & Beaches, T & T, DPR and neighborhood residents to meet weekly to go over all these impacts and find solutions. We need the benefit of enhanced reservoir but must also recognize reality of impacts on community and environment.

Motion: Ask City to extend review period of EIR for an additional 45 days. (Jackson/Weiss)

Vote: unanimous. Motion carries

Discussion: Arnhart: A typical extension is 14 days. DSD has authority to set extension time. We ask that when you ask for 45 day extension also ask DSD for support stating that Engineering Capital projects supports the 45 day extension. Ask Catherine Rom in writing and follow up with a phone call.

McGrory: I am confident the 45 day extension will be approved.

Motion: Authorize Diane Kane to appoint an appropriate committee to work with City and community on this project. (Jackson/Boyden) **Vote:** unanimous: Motion carries.

Motion: Defer/continue/ postpone further discussion of this project until next available meeting of this group. (Jackson/Ahern) **Vote:** 8-9-0: Motion fails.

In favor: Costello, Courtney, Davidson, Fitzgerald, Jackson, Mangano, Manno, Weissman.

Opposed: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Ish, Kane, Neil, Shannon, Steck, Weiss (*Kane breaks tie*)

Abstain: none

Staff Presentation: Replies to questions on EIR.

- Contractor Oversight: **Oriqat:** Resident engineer, City inspector will be on site full time. Also, construction manager hired by City to inspect full time. Constant checking of site. Contractor is liable; we are incorporating community comments into contract.
- Evaluation of Access Alternatives: **Mulvey:** Contractor can use conveyor belts, means of soil handling which best fits his operations. Access road required for large earth working equipment, cement trucks etc. **Sanchez:** Alternative routes considered; route chosen in EIR is most direct and affects least residential streets. Alternative Route from Hillside Dr. was considered; it is 1 1/2 mile longer, has more curves, part on road with no trucks over 5 tons allowed.
- Traffic Management: **Mulvey:** Country Club Dr. used only in pipeline phase 2 to 3 months. One lane always open for ingress and egress of residents and emergency vehicles. Traffic control measures for safety of bicycle and pedestrians. Remainder

of construction activity is responsibility of contractor. City requirements and oversight of contractor described.

- Pedestrian & Other Nearby Resident Safety: **Oriqat:** We are beginning a preliminary analysis of where we can incorporate sidewalks safely. We will begin after this project separately because this topic is important to community.
- Excavation Soil Handling: **Mulvey:** Minimizing truck trips; will keep as much soil on site as possible; back fill existing LJ View Reservoir to historical grade to place soil there and also place soil to existing Exchange Pl site. We are requesting contractor to submit plan to keep as much soil on site to reduce total number of trips.
- Trail Access & Recreation: **Arnhart:** Showed plan to provide temporary access during construction and are revising restoration plans to restore trails as part of the revegetation efforts to retain access after completion.
- Biological Mitigation Within Community & Revegetation: **Eichler:** Described EIR section 5.5 on mitigation, monitoring and reporting program that addressed biological resource impacts. Mitigation of sensitive habitat required 2:1. After review of several alternative locations the Los Penasquitos location best met the criteria. Revegetation Plan also described.
- Handling of Properties the Project Will Vacate: **Cetin:** We cannot make any binding statements for future of this property. For now, Public Utilities Department plans to keep it within their use.

McGrory: City does not prequalify contractors as evidenced by prior projects. I must see plans before project begins. This is 42 acres of pristine environmental land that will be destroyed by keeping dirt on site. I do not agree with City mitigation plans on EIR.

Ahern: Agree

Kane: Thanked City reps for hard work and willingness to work with community.

Hadley: Our Council member has asked for input from larger community to find consensus. He will be very appreciative of action tonight to form ad hoc committee. Thanks to staff for continuing to work with us.

5.3. Coastal Rail Trail/Gilman Bike Track (Gonzalez Nava)

This project creates a One-Way Cycle Track (Class IV) along both sides of Gilman Drive. The project proposes the following: protected North and South bound one-way cycle track (Class IV), continuous sidewalk along the west side, retains street parking, street lighting, traffic signal modifications, and new signal at La Jolla Village Drive. The project connects in the north to UCSD and the Genesee Avenue Class I Bikeway. The project connects in the south to the existing Class I bikeway under I-5 and SANDAG's Class I Bikeway along Santa Fe Street.

- **T&T: Approve 8-0-0**
- **Subject to adding sharrows in the southbound lane on Gilman**
- **University City CPG: Approve 17-0-1**

Presentation to address concerns: Alejandra Gonzalez:

Described goals and location of project. Improvements included a painted buffer and parking between cyclists and traffic; at the intersection with I-5 improved signals and dedicated space for cyclists, striping for crossing, red arrow and no turn on red signs. Similar improved signals, striping and signage at major intersections; painted buffer warning signs and no parking near driveways. Details see minutes of T & T meeting in Materials & Comments.

Kane: There are two audiences: experienced cyclists and casual cyclists. Experienced cyclists want to be in main traffic lanes. I recommend sharrows in north and southbound lanes. Gilman Dr. from LJ Village Dr. to I-5 needs fundamental rethinking and restructuring to accommodate and balance its evolving uses for commuting and recreation including transit, autos, other motorized vehicles, bicycles and pedestrians in the long term. The planning process should consider traffic mix, land use, transit loading platforms and include pedestrian crosswalks, median enhancements and include revising Gilman's functional classification with the goal of lowering speeds to accommodate multi-modal transportation.

Motion: Accept T & T motion to approve City's Coastal Rail Trail Improvement Project for Gilman Drive between I-5 and LJ Village Drive with the addition of sharrows on the southbound side with the exception of adding sharrows along the northbound side as well. (Boyden/Brady)

Vote 11-5-1: Motion carries

In Favor: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Ish, Jackson, Manno, Neil, Shannon, Steck, Weiss, Weissman

Opposed: Costello, Courtney, Davidson, Fitzgerald, Mangano

Abstain: Kane (chair)

Motion: The City should begin working immediately with the LJCPA, UCPG, UCSD and other community stakeholders to develop a more comprehensive plan for Gilman Dr. by 2026.

Vote: 14-2-1. Motion carries.

In Favor: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Costello, Davidson, Fitzgerald, Ish, Jackson, Mangano, Manno, Shannon, Steck, Weiss, Weissman

Opposed: Courtney, Neil

Abstain: Kane (chair)

5.4. 416 Nautilus (669815, Marengo)

(Process 2) Coastal Development Permit to convert an existing 263 sf room over a 449 sf detached garage into a Companion Unit at 416 Nautilus St. The project includes adding 104 sf for a Companion Unit totaling 367 sf. The 0.072-acre site contains 2 detached residences on a single lot at 414 and 416 Nautilus Street. The site is in the RM-1-1 Zone, the Coastal (Non-App.-2) Overlay Zone, the Geo Hazard Zone 53, and the Transit Priority area within the La Jolla CPA, and CD 1.

- **DPR: Findings CAN be made 5-2-1**

Neil Hytinen, Attorney for Brian Teel: Issues raised by opponent are about set back requirements in rear and side yard, and whether you can have an ADU on this lot. City staff supports our position; City staff will look into the historicity of garage

unit fronting an alley on Nautilus.

Marengo: Showed location and layout of lot. Narrow lot, widening the garage for larger car. ADU above garage described. Code says structure can be on both rear and side property lines. Roof deck steps back further. Materials will match main structure.

Merten: Showed ADU and garage. Garage is not existing, still under construction. Previously a ministerial permit was approved to demolish existing garage and construct a new garage. Plans showed 72% of existing exterior walls to be demolished. Since over 50% of walls were demolished, a CDP was required. Staff noted their error and asked for an after the fact CDP for demolition of previous garage. New garage also requires a CDP. It is not in compliance with the SDMC because of setbacks. Staff will review and add decision to next cycle review. An accessory unit can extend into a rear setback line OR a side setback line, not both. Since garage structure is still under consideration, it is premature to consider the ADU to be built above.

Neil: What if we did approve this today and the City later decided the permits were in error. Confusion is about email from Denise Vo saying City will review. **Reply:** We are allowed to fluctuate while we are under construction.

Jackson: Code language use of OR is an inclusive use.

Boyden: Response from City sounded like they were considering bundling this whole thing into one CDP. It may be worthwhile to wait until City resolves.

Motion: Deny because garage requires a CDP and does not meet current SDMC requirements for setbacks (Costello/Manno)

Jackson: We never wait for final cycle before.

Ahern: Previous setback precedents, DPR approval and need for housing, it is reasonable to support Project.

Marengo: Anything that comes out of City review will be packaged into the CDP that already exists. Further comments that setback issue resolved; City is looking into historicity issue only.

Vote: 5-11-1 Motion fails.

In Favor: Costello, Davidson, Ish, Manno, Neil

Opposed: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Courtney, Fitzgerald, Jackson, Mangano, Shannon, Steck, Weiss, Weissman

Abstain: Kane (chair)

Motion: Findings can be made to approve project. (Steck/Jackson) **Vote:** 11-5-1 Motion carries

In Favor: Ahern, Boyden, Brady, Courtney, Fitzgerald, Jackson, Mangano, Shannon, Steck, Weiss, Weissman

Opposed: Costello, Davidson, Ish, Manno, Neil

Abstain: Kane (chair)

6. Non-Project Discussions & Reviews (action as noted)

none

7. Officer Reports (information, discussion, or action as noted)

7.1. Treasurer (see [Materials & Comments](#) for report)

No activity. Balance \$793.21

7.2. Secretary

If you register and attend an online meeting your attendance will count towards your meeting attendance requirement

LJCPA is a membership organization open to La Jolla residents, property owners and local business and non-profit owners at least 18 years of age.

Eligible visitors wishing to join the LJCPA need to submit an application, copies of which are available at on-line at the LJCPA website: www.lajollacpa.org/. In the interest of time, I refer you to the website for further details on membership and the updated attendance records.

Those in attendance tonight who are not already members are encouraged to join during February and be eligible to vote in the election in March. Check the Membership page on the LJCPA website for the membership application form.

7.2.1. Draft Annual Report (discussion), Weissman; see [Materials & Comments](#) for text)

I also want to draw the attention of the Trustees to the draft Annual Report for 2020/2021 in the Materials & Comments page. Let me know of any corrections or additions to this report. I would like this to be a joint effort of all Trustees to tell of our accomplishments during the past year. We will vote to approve this report next month to send to the city.

7.3. President (action) as noted, see [Materials & Comments](#) for details)

7.3.1. Authorize appeal of 8423 El Paseo Grande project pending Hearing Officer decision scheduled on Feb 10 (action, Kane)

Weisman: Let Hearing Officer know we are party of interest to file appeal.

Comments that as a planning group we may automatically be eligible to appeal.

Bylaws say we must appeal a contrary decision.

Motion: Approve email to HO that LJCPA is interested party. (Boyden/Courtney) **Vote:** unanimous: Motion carries.

7.3.2. Approve letter supporting PARC revisions to Master Parks Plan (action, Kane)

Motion: Approve letter (Jackson/Manno) **Vote:** unanimous: Motion carries

7.3.3. Approve changes in appointees to Coastal View Corridor Ad Hoc Committee (action, Kane)

Mary Lynn Hyde, a BRCC appointee, is being replaced by Joe Terry. The LJSA has appointed Ted Haas to the committee.

Motion: Approve appointees to Coastal View Corridor ad hoc Committee (Jackson/Weiss) **Vote:** unanimous: Motion carries.

8. Representatives of Officials, Agencies, & Other Entities (information)

8.1. Council 1 (Joe LaCava): Steve Hadley, 619-236-6611, srhadley@sandiego.gov

Hadley: In October, City Council approved extension of services of PATH, an organization that works with homeless. Two teams, one a local response and a rapid response team as part of a request for social services to help police address and help homeless people and not just looking for crime. Perhaps they can help individuals in LJ who are not committing crime but need help. The outdoor dining pathway between tables has to be only 4' wide for ADA compliance in answer to complaints that not enough sidewalk space is available. Restaurants can stay open past 10 pm when people are eating or waiting for food until 11 pm.

8.2. SD Mayor's Office (Todd Gloria): Matt Griffith, griffithm@sandiego.gov not present

8.3. Assembly 78 (Chris Ward): tbd not present

8.4. Senate 39 (Toni Atkins): Miller Saltzman, 619-645-3133, Miller.Saltzman@sen.ca.gov not present

8.5. SD Planning: Marlon Pangilinan, mpangilinan@sandiego.gov not present

8.6. UCSD Planning: Anu Delouri, adelouri@ucsd.edu

Delouri: Report on University Covid efforts to remain in most restrictive mode and is doing research about virus. Low level of cases on campus is continuing. New vaccination site will open on Feb. 8, at REMAC site on campus. La Jolla Innovation Center project described. On Feb. 5, the draft EIR will be released open for public comment from Feb. 5, through March 22. A public hearing will be held on Feb. 25, from 6 to 7 pm. with important information on project. commplan@ucsd.edu for information

9. Non-Agenda Trustee Comment (discussion)

Opportunity for Trustees to comment on matters not on the agenda, 2 minutes or less

Boyd: Could we have a current list of ad hoc committees and when they were established? Kane will provide.

Mangano: When should we start thinking about capital improvement list? **Hadley:** Usually August or September.

Courtney: We should limit time spent on major city projects at our meetings

10. Reports from Standing, Ad Hoc, and Other Committees (discussion)

Courtney: Playa del Norte committee: The second crosswalk moving forward.

11. Adjourn to next LJCPA meeting

Regular meeting 1 April 2021, 6pm