



L64A-003A

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
 Development Services Department  
 1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

## Project Information

**Project Nbr:** 578143      **Title:** 304 Kolmar St Sgl Prelim  
**Project Mgr:** Vega, Jama      (619) 687-5935      jvega@sandiego.gov



## Review Information

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>Cycle Type:</b> 2 Prelim(Plan-Historic)            | <b>Submitted:</b> Deemed Complete on 10/17/2017       |
| <b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> Plan-Historic            | <b>Cycle Distributed:</b>                             |
| <b>Reviewer:</b> Francisco, Sonnier<br>(619) 685-1360 | <b>Assigned:</b> 10/17/2017                           |
| <b>Hours of Review:</b> 0.00                          | <b>Started:</b> 10/17/2017                            |
| <b>Next Review Method:</b> Prelim(Plan-Historic)      | <b>Review Due:</b> 10/24/2017                         |
|   | <b>Completed:</b> 10/17/2017 <b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b> |
|   | <b>Closed:</b> 10/17/2017                             |

Last month Plan-Historic performed 256 reviews, 98.4% were on-time, and 91.2% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

### 10-17-2017

| <u>Cleared?</u>                     | <u>Issue Num</u> | <u>Issue Text</u>  |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 1                | The applicant has submitted a preliminary review application for the property located at 304 Kolmar Street to determine whether or not the subject property is potentially historically significant and eligible for designation under one or more designation criteria. (continued...) (From Cycle 1)   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 2                | (...continued) This preliminary review has been submitted in anticipation of the potential historic resource review required by SDMC Section 143.0212, which directs City staff to determine whether a potentially significant historical resource exists on site before the issuance of a construction permit for any parcel in the City that contains a structure 45 years old or older. (continued...) (From Cycle 1)   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 3                | (...continued) More information regarding this review process can be found in Information Bulletin 580: <a href="http://www.sandiego.gov/development-services/pdf/industry/infobulletin/ib580.pdf">http://www.sandiego.gov/development-services/pdf/industry/infobulletin/ib580.pdf</a> (Informational Only; No Response or Action Required) (From Cycle 1)  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 4                | If City staff determines after review of these documents that no potentially significant historical resource exists on site, the parcel will be exempt from further historical review for five years from this date unless new information is provided that speaks to the building's eligibility for designation. (Informational Only; No Response or Action Required) (From Cycle 1)  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 5                | If City staff determines that a potentially significant historical resource exists on the site, all future modifications and additions will be evaluated to determine consistency with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Treatment of Historic Properties (Standards). If a future proposed project is consistent with the Standards, the permit process may proceed and the parcel will require additional review for all future modifications. (continued...) (From Cycle 1) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 6                | (...continued) If a future proposed project is not consistent with the Standards, the applicant may redesign the project or prepare a historic report that evaluates the building's integrity and eligibility under all designation criteria. (Informational Only; No Response or Action Required) (From Cycle 1)  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 7                | The applicant has submitted the following documentation for staff review: current photos, Assessor's Building Record, Notice of Completion, written summary of alterations, and Sanborn fire insurance maps. Staff also considered any input received through applicable public noticing and outreach and have made the following determination: (From Cycle 1)  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 8                | Based on the documentation provided, and due to previous alterations, including a large second-story addition visible from the street (1983) with a subsequent balcony addition (1996) and later balcony enclosure (ca. 2008), chimney addition (1989), and two (2) wood lattice porch enclosures obstructing views to the previously-visible primary entrance and side patio at the south-facing primary elevation (ca. 2015), (continued...) (From Cycle 1)                              |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 9                | (...continued) the property does not retain integrity and does not meet local designation criteria as an individually significant resource under any adopted Historical Resources Board criteria. Therefore, no historical research report required at this time. This determination is good for 5 years from this date unless new information is provided that speaks to the building's eligibility for designation. (continued...) (From Cycle 1)  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 10               | (...continued) Please be aware that additional historic review may be required at any time if the applicant submits a discretionary project application subject to review under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). (Info Only) (From Cycle 1)  |

