

La Jolla Community Planning Association

Regular Meetings: 1st Thursday of the Month | La Jolla Recreation Center, 615 Prospect Street

Contact Us:

Mail: PO Box 889, La Jolla, CA 92038

Web: www.lajollacpa.org

email: info@lajollacpa.org

President: Tony Crisafi

Vice President: Matt Mangano

2nd Vice President: Dave Gordon

Secretary: Suzanne Weissman

Treasurer: Mike Costello

DRAFT AGENDA – revised July 15, 2019

Regular Meeting | Thursday, 18 July 2019 – 6 p.m, Moved to 7/18/19 (due to July 4th holiday) Notice date 7/8/19

6:00 p.m. 1.0 Welcome and Call to Order: Tony Crisafi, President

This is a full agenda, recorded meeting therefore, the following rules will be enforced:

- A. Mobile devices off or on silent mode.**
- B. All public and trustee comment will be addressed to the chair.**
- C. Public and trustee comment will be limited to 2 minutes**
- D. Comments will be directed to the project or matter using third person, singular or plural when they are addressed to the chair.**
- E. Chair may ask for member votes. Please keep hands raised until the vote tally is announced.**
- F. Upon consensus, Chair will close discussion and call for a motion**

6:05 p.m. 2.0 Adopt the Agenda as modified and distributed noting the following item:

10.0 2 - T&T Action Items transcribed from 7/18/19 meeting notes

11.2 Attachments provided from public record information & applicant

2/3 Majority vote required to add action item(s) to agenda.

6:10 p.m. 3.0 Meeting Minutes Review and Approval:

3.1 02 May 2019 – Regular meeting minutes

3.2 06 June 2019 - Regular meeting minutes

4.0 Officer Reports:

If a Sign Language Interpreter, aids for the visually impaired, or Assisted Listening Devices (ALDs) are required, please contact the City's

Disability Services Coordinator at 619-321-3208 at least (5) five work days prior to the meeting date to insure availability.

4.1 Treasurer - Mike Costello's report

Beginning Balance as of 6/6/19	\$847.68
Income	
• Collections	\$ 208.60
• CD Sales	\$ <u>0</u>
Total Income	\$ 00.00
Expenses	
• Agenda printing	\$ 43.44
• AT&T telephone disconnected	\$ 00.00
• GoDaddy	\$ 308.57
• SD City Treasurer, LJ Rec Center, room use	\$ 260.00
Total Expenses	\$ <u>612.01</u>
Net Income/(Loss)	(-) \$ 403.41
Ending Balance of 6/30/19	\$ 444.27

4.2 Secretary-

5.0 Elected Officials – Information Only

- 5.1 Council District 1: Councilmember Barbara Bry.
Rep: **Mauricio Medina**, 619-236-6611, mauriciom@sandiego.gov
Torrey Pines Slope restoration – 10 minutes
- 5.2 78th Assembly District: Assembly member Todd Gloria
Rep: **Mathew Gordon** 619-645-3090 mathew.gordon@asm.ca.gov
- 5.3 39th Senate District: State Senator Toni Atkins, Senate President pro Tempore
Rep: **Chevelle Newell Tate**, 619-645-3133, Chevelle.Tate@sen.ca.gov

6.0 President's Report – Information only unless otherwise noted

6.1 The Children's Pool SCR (PTS627990) appeal to City Council docketed for Sept 17, 2019 @ 2:00

6.2 Thank Trustee Jackson for mailbox & website update.

6.3 Appeal to the Hearing Officer's decision to approve Bonair Residence Project, 744 Bonair St., Will be heard by the Planning Commission on Thursday, July 18, 2019 @ 9:00 a.m.

6.4 Brown Act Announcement: 2019 Brown Act Compliance Workshop is a training session presented by the City of San Diego Planning Department to help community planning group members to understand The Ralph M. Brown Act (Government Code sections 54950-54963, referred to as the “Brown Act”). Topics of discussion include an introduction to the Brown Act and keeping meetings and agenda’s compliant.

Please see the details of the workshop below:

Date: July 25, 2019 6:00 p.m.-7:00 p.m.

Location: 202 C street, San Diego (City Concourse, Silver Room)

Please RSVP including your name, email, and community to SDPlanningGroups@sandiego.gov or at (619) 533-6307 by July 22, 2019

6:30 p.m. 7.0 Public Comment

Opportunity for public to speak on matters not on the agenda, 2 minutes or less.

7.1 City of San Diego – Community Planner: Marlon Pangilinan, mpangilinan@sandiego.gov

7.2 UCSD - Planner: Anu Delouri, adelouri@ucsd.edu, <http://commplan.ucsd.edu/> or Robert Brown

7.3 General Public

8.0 Non-Agenda Trustee Comment

Opportunity for trustees to comment on matters not on the agenda, 2 minutes or less.

6:45 p.m. 9.0 Reports from Ad Hoc and non-LJCPA Committees - Information only unless noted.

9.1 Community Planners Committee

<http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/community/cpc/index.shtml>- Dave Gordon

9.2 Coastal Access & Parking Board <http://www.lajollacpa.org/cap.html>

9.3 UC San Diego advisory Committee

9.4 Hillside Drive Ad Hoc Committee – Diane Kane, Chair

9.5 Airport Noise Advisory Committee – Matthew Price

9.6 Playa Del Norte Stanchion Committee

7:00 p.m. 10.0 Consent Agenda – 10.1 – 10.2

The public is encouraged to attend and participate in Community Joint Committee & Board meetings before the item/project is considered by the LJCPA.

PDO – Planned District Ordinance Committee, Chair Deborah Marengo, 2nd Monday, 4:00 pm

DPR – Development Permit Review Committee, Chair Brian Will, 2nd & 3rd Tuesday, 4:00 pm

PRC – La Jolla Shores Permit Review Committee, Chair David Gordon, 3rd Monday, 4:00 pm

T&T – Traffic & Transportation Board, Chair David Abrams, 3rd Wednesday, 4:00 pm

The Consent Agenda allows the LJCPA to ratify recommendations of the community joint committees and boards in a single vote with no presentation or debate. It is not a decision regarding the item but a decision whether to accept the recommendation of the committee/board as the recommendation of the LJCPA. The public may comment on consent items.

10.1 – End of Summer Fire Run – Request for temporary street closure and No Parking on portions of Prospect Street and La Jolla Blvd for the 20th annual event on Sunday, August 25, 2019 (Gloria Goodenough).

T&T Motion to approve End of Summer Fire Run passes 8-0-0

10.2 – San Diego Triathlon Challenge – Request by Challenged Athletes Foundation for temporary street closure and temporary No Parking on Coast Blvd. between Prospect St. and Girard Ave. and Lane closure on Torrey Pines Rd. between Prospect and La Jolla Shores Dr. for the 26th annual event on Sunday, October 29, 2019 (Julia Duggan)

T&T Motion to approve San Diego Triathlon Challenge passes 8-0-0

See Committee minutes and/or agenda for description of projects, deliberations, and vote. Anyone may request a consent item be pulled for full discussion by the LJCPA.

The following agenda items, are ACTION ITEMS unless otherwise noted, and may be *de novo* considerations. Prior actions by committees/boards are listed for information only.

11.0 – 11.6 LJCPA Review and Action Matter

11.0 Letter from President to support the maintenance & repair of Kellogg Park Marine Reserve Map and access ways, and to advise DSD that this action meets Coastal Permit Exemption guidelines. On-site work to be activated after summer moratorium. Information attached.

11.1 – Time Certain 7:10 p.m.

Micro mobility parking corrals for La Jolla. City proposal for placement of numerous defined spaces within the public street for the parking of dockless scooters and bicycles. Mauricio Medina and city staff.

T&T June 19 minutes with response attached.

11.2 – 7:50 p.m

Hershfield Residence – CDP #2134597 & SDP #2134595 Project and environmental appeal.

See exhibits and documents @ <http://www.lajollacpa.org>

Action Item: To Ratify/withdraw the appeal(s) based on applicant's proposed and documented changes dated: TBD

11.3 – 8:20 p.m.

Kornberg Residence CEP 2605 Ellentown Rd., Project no. #624979, Process 3, CDP for the demolition of existing single dwelling and construction of 3,449 s.f., one-story single-dwelling unit with 462 s.f. attached garage and a 701 s.f., companion unit located at 2605 Ellentown Rd. The 0.3 acre site is in RS-1-4 zone and Coastal (Appealable) Overlay Zone within the La Jolla Community Plan area and CD1.

DPR Motion: Findings can be made and motions passes 4-1-1.

Pull from 6 June,2019 LJCPA regular meeting.

11.4 – 8:40 p.m.

Ratify appeal to City Council of the Children's Pool SCR (PTS 627990).

11.5 – 8:50 p.m.

Review of the McLaren/Coach and the Conrad billboards to advise the city that they are determined to be murals or advertisements and that they be regulated as such.

11.6 – Banners – Matt Mangano compose a summary for this?

XX. Adjourn to next regular LJCPA Meeting: Thursday, August 1, 2019 at 6:00 pm.

DRAFT MINUTES –

Regular Meeting | Thursday, 2 May 2019

Prior to meeting Tony Crisafi swore in new Trustee: Glen Rasmussen

1.0 Welcome and Call to Order: Tony Crisafi, President, 6:06 PM

Please turn off or silence mobile devices Meeting is being recorded

Quorum present

Trustees Present: Brady, Costello, Crisafi, Fitzgerald, Fremdling, Gordon, Ish, Jackson, Kane, Mangano, Manno, Neil, Rasmussen, Shannon, Will, Weissman

Absent: Little

2.0 Adopt the Agenda:

Modify agenda to remove items 11.0, Panorama Homes, 14.0 Sugarman, at written request from applicants.

Motion: To adopt agenda with modifications (Gordon/Fitzgerald) **Vote: 15-0-1 Motion Carries**

In Favor: Brady, Costello, Fitzgerald, Fremdling, Gordon, Ish, Jackson, Kane, Mangano, Manno, Neil, Rasmussen, Shannon, Will, Weissman

Opposed: 0

Abstain: Crisafi (Chair)

3.0 Meeting Minutes Review and Approval: April 4, 2019

Correction noted, add Manno to vote in favor on item 14

Motion: To approve April minutes with correction (Mangano/Will) **Vote: 14-0-2 Motion Carries**

In Favor: Brady, Costello, Fitzgerald, Fremdling, Gordon, Ish, Jackson, Mangano, Manno, Neil, Rasmussen, Shannon, Will, Weissman

Opposed: 0

Abstain: Crisfi (Chair), Kane (not present at meeting)

4.0 Officer Reports:

4.1 Treasurer – Mike Costello reports:

Beginning balance as of 4/1/19:	\$799.96
Income:	
Collections	\$123.00
C.D. Sales	<u>\$ 0.00</u>
Total Income:	\$123.00
Expenses:	
Agenda printing	\$ 70.51
At&t – telephone	<u>\$ 86.79</u>
Total expenses:	<u>\$157.30</u>
Net income/loss	\$ (34.30)
Ending balance:	\$765.66

Costello thanked Jim Fitzgerald for years of help with treasurer duties and Dave Gordon for smooth transition. All expenses are covered by anonymous cash contributions of attendees.

Gordon reported cancellation of telephone account saving \$86.79/mo.

Kane: Planning groups cannot accept donations. All donations must be anonymous, cash only.

Also some groups have bifurcated with non-profit organization that can accept donations? Could this organization do that to fund expanded activities as other groups have done?

Manno: This group looked into this several years ago and found some cost involved and difficulty dealing with bureaucracy.

Gordon: We should run this by Marlon Pangilinan; planning groups are different from other organizations. Our main expenses are printing, PO box and overtime use of room; we get money from city to help defray these costs. This group has small needs.

Courtney arrives late. He is sworn in as newly elected trustee by Tony Crisafi. His addition will be reflected in following vote counts.

4.2 Secretary- Suzanne Weissman

If you want your attendance recorded today, you should sign in at the back of the room. LJCPA is a membership organization open to La Jolla residents, property owners and local business and non-profit owners at least 18 years of age. Eligible visitors wishing to join the LJCPA need to submit an application, copies of which are available at the sign-in table or on-line at the LJCPA website: www.lajollacpa.org/. We encourage you to join so that you can vote in the Trustee elections and at the Annual Meeting in March. You can become a Member after attending one meeting and must maintain your membership by attending one meeting per year. If you do not attend one meeting per year, your membership will expire. To qualify as a candidate in an election to become a Trustee, a Member must have documented attendance at three LJCPA meetings in the preceding 12-month period. You are entitled to attend without signing in, but only by providing proof of attendance can you maintain membership or become eligible for election as a Trustee.

5.0 Elected Officials – Information Only

5.1 Council District 1: Councilmember Barbara Bry.

Rep: **Mauricio Medina**, 619-236-6611, mauriciom@sandiego.gov

Mauricio Medina: Handed out flyer, an invitation to District 1 Budget Town Hall Meeting hosted by Barbara Bry on Saturday, May 4, 9:30 to 11:30 a.m. at the La Jolla Village Community Center, 8657 Via La Jolla Drive. Right now the full Council is meeting with Budget Review Committee which Council Member Barbara Bry is chair of. It is written in the Charter that the Mayor has to release the budget by the 2nd week in April, then the Budget Review committee must review the proposed budget. This is good time for public to comment and express concerns on budget items. It can be difficult to get downtown on Wednesday morning or afternoon; that is why we are holding a meeting in the district on Saturday to be accessible to everyone. Please attend; independent budget analysts will be there to give overview of city finances and answer questions about how city operates.

This past month the City Council passed regulations for dockless scooters; having a new ordinance on books allows police to enforce. Detailed list in LJ Light. The ban on board walks which Barbara Bry supports was not allowed because it was not noticed. We are monitoring it to get it on agenda soon.

Torrey Pines Road Project will end this month. Next week, 5/6 – 5/11, and 5/13 – 5/18 1 lane will be closed down. The overlay work to repave the road will be done at night, so, hopefully, traffic flow will improve.

Merryweather: Would like to have City's official response to her letters regarding Black's Beach Fence in writing. Reply: The Council office did send out request for response.

Audience Comment: Base of Hillside still causes bumpers to scrape. Reply: Purpose of work was not to fix dip, but to help drainage. Mauricio also gets comments to leave dip as is to deter traffic on Hillside. He will work with city staff to schedule meeting in next two weeks to discuss how to fix.

Kane: Many emails with concerns about affordable housing legislation that City Council is negotiating behind scenes to suspend height limits in coastal zone and to consider the Bus 30 route to be included as a Transit Priority Area allowing affordable housing along route. Relay to Council Member that people are highly concerned and feel it is inappropriate and please say no. Reply: Council Member Bry is opposed to SB 50 and SB 330. Kane: Is the Transit Priority Area the Bus 30 Route or the Transit Station at UCSD? Reply: Mauricio will check State guidelines.

Manno and Costello also received many comments from neighbors against these bills. People are frustrated to see state taking over decisions about local neighborhoods that the communities have worked so hard to create.

Further discussion to put this as action item on CPA Agenda to write letter to state representatives with copy to B.Bry. These bills use the guise of affordable housing, but along the coast housing will not be affordable because demand for coastal property is unlimited. Also check website

raisetheballoon.org for further information and get involved with other community groups and attend June 8 rally at Rec Center to oppose these senate bills. People inland don't care about these issues so it is important for those in coastal communities to voice strong opposition.

5.2 78th Assembly District: Assembly member Todd Gloria
Rep: **Mathew Gordon** 619-645-3090 mathew.gordon@asm.ca.gov
Not present

5.3 39th Senate District: State Senator Toni Atkins, Senate President pro Tempore
Rep: **Chevelle Newell Tate**, 619-645-3133, Chevelle.Tate@sen.ca.gov
Not present.

6.0 President's Report – Information only unless otherwise noted

6.1 LJCPA and associated Subcommittee appointees will be placed on the June 6, 2019 agenda as an action item. Any interested, eligible community members should contact info@lajollacpa.org by May 24, 2019 .

6.2 Community orientation workshop is scheduled for Saturday, May 18, 2019 at the city concourse 'silver room', 202 C St. San Diego, CA 92101. Doors open at 8:30 a.m. Arrive before 9:00 a.m. to receive credit. RSVP by Friday, May 10, 2019 to SDPlanningGroups@sanidiego.gov. New trustees only required to attend.

6.3 Vehicle habitation letter sent to city per April 4, 2019; action item 14.0. Letter attached to our May 2 agenda.

7.0 Public Comment

Opportunity for public to speak on matters not on the agenda, 2 minutes or less.

7.1 City of San Diego – Community Planner: Marlon Pangilinan, mpangilinan@sanidiego.gov
Not present

7.2 UCSD - Planner: Anu Delouri, adelouri@ucsd.edu, <http://commplan.ucsd.edu/> or Robert Brown

Bob Brown: Gillman Dr. sewer project is 99% complete. Open House, May 6, at Jacobs Medical Center, 9300 Campus Point Drive, to tour new emergency room for Seniors. It is too late to register, but contact Bob Brown if you wish to attend. SIO is working on new handrails at Scripps Pier

Courtney: Asked him to look into illegal banners on city bridge overpass on La Jolla Village Drive.

Crisafi: Public can contact Anu Delouri to be put on email list for UCSD events.

7.3 General Public

Sharon Wampler shared a flyer from the Pacific Beach Planning Group with steps for success: PERSEVERE: Proactive and Planning, EcoDistrict, Reevaluate, Research, Revise, Refine, Surveillance, Evaluation, Voice, Education, Respect, Engage.

Phil Merten: representing Larry and Patty Davison on Bonair Dr. The Bonair Residences project will be heard by Hearing Officer on Wednesday, May 15. This board rejected the project. The CPA bylaws require that this body send a member to represent its concerns. If Hearing Officer approves the project, the CPA is obligated to appeal that decision to City Planning Commission. Thank you for attending.

Lily Zhou: she is running for City Council District 1. She wants to work for us, to hear our concerns, to protect and help solve problems. Formerly she was a lecturer at SDSU, 35 years as an entrepreneur and a real estate broker. Her heart is here and she wants to get things done for you.

Petia Merica-Jones: UCSD grad student, member of advisory committee for UCSD housing. She lives at Coast Apartments near Birch Aquarium. Her UCSD student advisory committee has recommended against the rent increase for these apartments and feels the students weren't given sufficient notice of plans to redevelop these apartments requiring them to move.

Comment: Are these internal issues for UCSD?

Gordon: This body has no jurisdiction over UCSD Properties. It would be best for you to contact Bob Brown. However, comments about public issues are always welcome.

Merryweather: the city has asked for us to create more parks in La Jolla, as we are 'underparked.' She would like to be on the agenda next month to discuss fixing up Pottery Canyon to be used as a public park.

8.0 Non-Agenda Trustee Comment

Opportunity for trustees to comment on matters not on the agenda, 2 minutes or less.

Courtney: Now there is a banner on the overpass on Torrey Pines Road from the Historical Society. Banners such as this on the overpass is creating clutter and will continue to bring more advertising signs creating more clutter. They are also illegal; he has contacted Code Compliance.

Rasmussen: Previously the La Jolla CPA asked the La Jolla Planned District Ordinance committee to determine if the McLaren/Coach sign on La Jolla Blvd. was a sign or a mural (artwork). He asked for a motion to ask the LJ PDO committee to make findings about that sign and also about the sign promoting the Conrad to determine their conformance with the LJ Planned District Ordinance.

The PDO response was: "How can we enforce rules about McLaren sign if we don't enforce against the Conrad sign?" Rasmussen doesn't think they can give a legal opinion like that. What they need is to make factual findings on conformance with the Planned District Ordinance. Then the LJCPA could apply the standard of legal review in accordance with the City of Indio vs Arroyo decision of 1983. *This decision indicates that anyone can put artwork on their private property, but if it has content related to the business on that property it is subject to the jurisdiction of the land use ordinance.* Since both of these signs have a content other than art associated with their business; he believes they constitute a sign and are under the jurisdiction of the PDO. If the committee gets involved deciding if a sign or art, then there will not be a resolution.

Further discussion ensued about what constitutes a sign, advertising or a mural with reference made to the definition of signs in the Planned District Ordinance. Also whether this is a Code Compliance issue. This is not Code Compliance; it is a PDO issue. The PDO sign rules are more restrictive than the City Code sign rules. since it. Will stated that it is clearly a freestanding billboard.

Manno asked for this discussion to be on next month's agenda. The above motion could not be voted on as an action item at this meeting since it was not on the agenda. **Mangano** and **Crisafi** also will seek more information from Deborah Marengo of the PDO committee.

Kane: Report on 3 interns. One finished after winter quarter. She was working on spread sheet on decisions of this body that were approved and those that were not approved. The other twointerns want to continue through spring quarter. One was working on website, but can't determine progress. The other is looking at the 50% rule. He has put together a spread sheet mapping about 80 projects mostly clustered in the Bird Rock area. Next he will be

looking for the projects' compliance with community plan. Kane is trying to determine if community review makes a difference.

Manno: Request agenda item next month to discuss SB 50 and SB 330.

9.0 Reports from Ad Hoc and non-LJCPA Committees - Information only unless noted.

9.1 Community Planners Committee <http://www.sandiego.gov/planning/community/cpc/index.shtml>- **John Shannon, or whoever Rep.**

Shannon: Regarding earlier question about vote at CPC on SB 330; that bill has not come before the CPC. A month ago there was an action item at CPC about Housing the Next One Million. SB 50 reduces the parking required for mixed use projects, but San Diego has already voted on requirements that are less restrictive. A lot of work is done in subcommittees at CPC. Other items discussed with no vote: capital improvement programs, designated children's play area, faith community housing.

9.2 Coastal Access & Parking Board <http://www.lajollacpa.org/cap.html> no report

9.3 UC San Diego advisory Committee

Gordon: Last meeting of this group, formed for input on Long Range Development Plan, was on April 15. A new committee is being formed with community representatives from La Jolla and University City to get input on projects on campus

9.4 Hillside Drive Ad Hoc Committee – Diane Kane, Chair

Kane: did not meet. Will meet May 16, at Nancy Manno's. The committee hopes for the report on a lengthy study from city on storm water issues on Hillside Dr.

9.5 Airport Noise Advisory Committee – Matthew Price no report

9.6 Playa Del Norte Stanchion Committee

Question from Public: what are small sandwich type signs on Playa Del Norte?

Gordon: might be water main, sewer main projects going on all over city.

Crisafi: Medina will check if there is a traffic control permit.

10.0 Consent Agenda – No Items

The public is encouraged to attend and participate in Community Joint Committee & Board meetings before the item/project is considered by the LJCPA.

PDO – Planned District Ordinance Committee, Chair Deborah Marengo, 2nd Monday, 4:00 pm

DPR – Development Permit Review Committee, Chair Brian Will, 2nd & 3rd Tuesday, 4:00 pm

PRC – La Jolla Shores Permit Review Committee, Chair David Gordon, 3rd Monday, 4:00 pm

T&T – Traffic & Transportation Board, Chair David Abrams, 3rd Wednesday, 4:00 pm

The Consent Agenda allows the LJCPA to ratify recommendations of the community joint committees and boards in a single vote with no presentation or debate. It is not a decision regarding the item but a decision whether to accept the recommendation of the committee/board as the recommendation of the LJCPA. The public may comment on consent items.

See Committee minutes and/or agenda for description of projects, deliberations, and vote.
Anyone may request a consent item be pulled for full discussion by the LJCPA.

The following agenda items, are ACTION ITEMS unless otherwise noted, and may be *de novo* considerations. Prior actions by committees/boards are listed for information only.

11.0 – 14.0 LJCPA Action Items

11.0 Removed from agenda. Panorama Homes – 1188 Muirlands Dr. Project No.: 620974 (Process 2) Coastal Development Permit for the construction of 2 new SFDUs on 2 vacant lots. The West House at 1188 Muirlands Drive totals 8,451 square feet and the East house at 1200 Muirlands Drive totals 8,510 square feet. The vacant lots total .56 acres and .61 acres, respectively. The site is located in the RS-1-2 Base Zone and Coastal (Non-Appealable) overlay zone within the La Jolla Community Plan area and Council District 1. Code Case CE-0502994.

DPR Motion: That findings CAN be made for a CDP as presented (Kane/Leira) Passes 4-1-1.
Pulled from April 4, 2019 consent agenda.

12.0 Ryan Lot Consolidation - 15673 Linda Rosa Ave. CDP Project #619310. LJCPA Project Appeal filed March 14, 2019.

Action Item: Motion: To ratify LJCPA March 14, 2019 appeal of Ryan lot consolidation project #619310, CDP.
(Courtney/Will) Vote: 16-0-1: Motion Carries

In Favor: Brady, Costello, Courtney, Fitzgerald, Fremdling, Gordon, Ish, Jackson, Kane, Mangano, Manno, Neil, Rasmussen, Shannon, Will, Weissman

Opposed: 0

Abstain: 1 (Chair)

13.0 Herschfeld Residence – CDP/SDP #603740, 8230 Prestwick Dr. February 2019 LJSRPC Action: Findings cannot be made 5-0-1. March, 2019, LJCPA action: Support LJSRPC action that findings cannot be made 14-1-1.

Action Item: Motion: LJCPA officer shall file the appropriate appeal of any contrary permit application decision by the city of San Diego. **(Gordon/Courtney) Vote: 16-0-1: Motion Carries**

In Favor: Brady, Costello, Fitzgerald, Fremdling, Gordon, Ish, Jackson, Kane, Mangano, Manno, Neil, Rasmussen, Shannon, Will, Weissman

Opposed: 0

Abstain: 1 (Chair)

14.0 Item removed from Agenda: Sugarman - SDP

(2nd review) Project #625569 Project manager Xavier Del Valle (619) 557-7941 xdelvalle@sandiego.gov.

Project description: (Process 3) Site Development Permit (SDP) for the construction of a two story 5,694 SF family house with 1,217 SF basement garage on a vacant lot at 8356 Sugarman Drive. The .25 acre site is located in the La Jolla Shores Planned District-Single Family Zone of the La Jolla Community Plan area and Council District 1.

LJSRPC Motion: Findings cannot be made for Project #625569 (Process 3) Site Development Permit (SDP) for construction of a two story 5,694 square foot single family house with 1,217 square feet of basement garage on a vacant lot at 8356 Sugarman Drive based on character of the neighborhood and bulk and scale.

VOTE: 5-0-1.

Action Item: Applicant requested full hearing

ADJOURN: 7:24PM

La Jolla Community Planning Association

Regular Meetings: 1st Thursday of the Month | La Jolla Recreation Center, 615 Prospect Street

Contact Us:

Mail: PO Box 889, La Jolla, CA 92038

Web: www.lajollacpa.org

Email: info@lajollacpa.org

President: Tony Crisafi

Vice President: Matt Mangano

2nd Vice President: David Gordon

Secretary: Suzanne Weissman

Treasurer: Michael Costello

DRAFT Minutes –

Regular Meeting | Thursday, 6 June 2019 – 6 p.m.

4.0 Welcome and Call to Order: Tony Crisafi, President, 6: 04 pm

Please turn off or silence mobile devices Meeting is being recorded

Quorum present: Brady, Costello, Crisafi, Fitzgerald, Gordon, Ish, Jackson, Kane, Mangano, Neil, Rasmussen, Will

5.0 Adopt the Agenda:

Motion: Adopt agenda with modifications (Gordon/Will) **Vote:12-0-0 Motion Carries**

In Favor: Brady, Costello, Crisafi, Fitzgerald, Gordon, Ish, Jackson, Kane, Neil, Rasmussen, Will

Opposed: 0

Abstain: 0

6.0 Meeting Minutes Review and Approval: Draft minutes not included in trustee packet.

Motion: Postpone approval of May draft LJCPA minutes until next meeting (Neil/Kane) **Vote: 11-0-1: Motion Carries**

In Favor: Brady, Costello, Fitzgerald, Gordon, Ish, Jackson, Kane, Mangano, Neil, Rasmussen, Will

Opposed: 0

Abstain: 1 (Chair)

4.0 Officer Reports:

4.1 Treasurer

Beginning Balance as of 4/30/19 \$765.66

Income

- Collections \$ 163.00
- CD Sales \$ 0

Total Income	\$ 163 .00
Expenses	
• Agenda printing	\$ 63.06
• AT&T telephone Final Bill	<u>\$ 17.92</u>
Total Expenses	<u>\$ 80.98</u>
Net Income/(Loss)	\$ 82.02
Ending Balance of 5/31/19	\$ 847.68

4.2 Secretary- Secretary absent. Per Crisafi and Gordon: Everyone is requested to sign in on the sheets in the back of the room to have attendance recorded. To become a member, fill in the membership forms available in the back. A member must attend at least one meeting per year to be eligible to vote, 3 meetings to be eligible to be a trustee.

Courtney arrives; reflected in vote counts.

5.0 Elected Officials – Information Only

5.1 Council District 1: Councilmember Barbara Bry.

Rep: **Mauricio Medina**, 619-236-6611, mauriciom@sandiego.gov (he arrived late and spoke after items 5.2 and 5.3)

Medina passed out June Bry Bulletin noting page 3 with schedule for remaining work on Torrey Pines Road. The most labor intensive work will be done overnight. To resurface Torrey Pines Rd. they ground the asphalt down to the concrete, took out all bad patches and put a clean layer of asphalt there. Thanks to all for your patience. Road work will continue later this month for restriping and median work. One lane will remain open during striping.

Courtney: When will they re-patch the other streets torn up by the work? **Reply:** He is trying to get more clarification on how they are coordinating scheduling.

Kane: requested that Hillside Dr. be prioritized. Where is that on budget process, how to track. **Reply:** This is a learning process on how to get a street paved, how to elevate a street to city staff to get them to come out to access it and get it on the schedule. Different streets require different treatment. Needs to coordinate with many different processes. He has tried to prioritize Hillside. **Kane:** the committee will be happy to have anything done to improve Hillside Dr. before it fails completely.

Public comment: Are you aware that the intersection of Hillside Dr. and Torrey Pines Road is worse than before? **Reply:** They replaced the cross gutter with new concrete. It was never their goal to change the grade so trucks wouldn't get stuck. As part of new repaving process, they plan to raise the level of asphalt to provide smoother transition. Mauricio has elevated this issue within the city bureaucracy. The Deputy Chief Operating Officer is looking at this issue.

Other comments: Allocation of property taxes? Problem with trucks getting stuck is with wording on signage such as terms like "kingpin" and "feet between axels" that are not understood by drivers. Need more understandable language and graphics and ability to ticket drivers. **Reply:** agreed. Crews from traffic engineering were there looking at better signage that can lead to ticketing. Some wording is regulated by state driving manuals.

Dockless scooters: The City of San Diego has proposed regulations for dockless scooter parking. They proposed corrals, 10 x 6 painted on the street to dock the scooters. He has the list of proposed suggestions for corrals within the village of La Jolla. He will be giving greater detailed presentations to the LJ Town Council, the LJ Village Merchants' Association, the LJ Shores Association and the LJ T & T. Four meetings for the public to attend to share concerns and give feedback to city staff. Also please reach out to him for more information.

Costello: Please, more effective enforcement!

5.2 78th Assembly District: Assembly member Todd Gloria

Rep: **Mathew Gordon** 619-645-3090 mathew.gordon@asm.ca.gov

Following bills of note made it out of the assembly to state senate: AB 893, Del Mar Gunshow bill would prohibit sale of guns and firearms at Del Mar Fairgrounds property beginning 2021; AB 262 which clarifies authority of local health officers to report to health officials in event of communicable disease outbreak; AB 43, increases the transparency of governmental spending on health services to address issues of mental health; AB 1588, promotes good jobs for vets in CA giving credit for time served in military.

Kane: Any update on the bills addressing affordable housing? **Reply:** Nothing with regard to SB 50. Chevelle from Toni Atkin's office will know more.

Costello: California has something like 25% of the nation's homeless, but only 12% of the nation's population. Why such a large homeless population? **Reply:** don't have an answer. The issue of homelessness is complicated issue which the legislature is trying to address. Please reach out anytime with further questions anytime and I will respond.

Gordon: SB 330 and 50 are an attempt to rectify affordable housing issues from the state level by telling local communities they no longer have control. Taxes, expenses, the cost of living is out of reach of too many people; it is unaffordable for normal people to live in CA. Way too many regulations that need to be addressed. Housing in La Jolla will never be affordable. **Reply:** I will pass these comments on. Todd Gloria does champion local control.

Kane: Does Todd Gloria have any position on these bills? **Reply:** No. **Kane:** Is he doing anything behind the scenes to shape legislation to conform to constituent's desires? **Reply:** He is asking for planning groups to share feedback; he is here to listen, write down and take back to his assembly member all comments. He has heard La Jolla's concerns.

5.3 39th Senate District: State Senator Toni Atkins, Senate President pro Tem

Rep: **Chevelle Newell Tate**, 619-645-3133, Chevelle.Tate@sen.ca.gov

Chevelle Tate for Toni Atkins: She has represented this community for 5 years. Every year we do a donation drive in partnership with Veterans Villages of San Diego. This is an opportunity to donate this year new and clean underwear for homeless vets and their families. A donation box is in the La Jolla library and will be there through 6/24. They have enough socks; they need underwear.

SB 330 is still active this year. It did pass out of the senate to the assembly. It will go through many more amendments. The bill is offered by Nancy Skinner. This bill does not suspend the height limit in the coastal regions. Should a local government implement new legislation to decrease the height limit, that government will be prohibited from doing that. The 30 ft. height limit stays in place. We have a letter from the maker's office saying that SB 330 does not affect San Diego's 30 ft. height limit. The letter is in the packet. Any development in coastal region is exempt. The premise of this bill is "do not make it more difficult to build more housing." City of SD has already implemented a policy for parking requirements that is more restrictive than required by this bill, so SD is not affected. This bill also has anti-displacement provisions to protect people in affordable housing from being displaced by new development. A new project cannot make a net loss in affordable units, it provides relocation assistance, it prohibits zoning to less intensive use, reduction in height or density, floor area ratio, or open space requirements.

Public: how is coastal zone defined? **Reply:** West of I5.

Gordon: According to Jim LaMater at Community Planners meeting, SB 330 and 50 are moving targets. One thing not mentioned according to Jim La Mater is that SB 330 takes away local community's right to have ballot provisions to change zoning. It all needs more discussion. Please check raisetheballoon.org. SB 50 has become a 2 year bill. One other issue is that this bill lifts required parking minimums in LJ Shores within ½ mile of a transit corridor. LJ Shores parking requirements are different from rest of city of San Diego. **Reply:** General definition of transit corridor is ¼ mile radius of a transit stop that runs every 10 minutes M through F. Route 30 does not meet requirements for transit corridor.

SB 50 has more specific height limit provisions, but any development in coastal region is exempt.

The bill was introduced by Senator Scott Weiner of San Francisco area. Keeping up with the amendments has been difficult. It was shelved in the Senate appropriations committee which means that between now and January there will be committee meetings about it to offer amendments. The bill creates a streamlined ministerial approval process for neighborhood multi family residential properties. It would, upon request to local government, give an equitable community incentive – a density bonus. The project would have to meet criteria, mainly it must be within ¼ mile radius of a high quality transit area and a ¼ mile radius of a job rich area. Coastal zones, high fire hazard areas and cities that have under 50,000 population are exempt. San Diego meets two of these requirements. Another amendment is ability to convert an existing single family structure into a 4 plex.

Kane: this bonus could override existing zoning which I find threatening. **Reply:** It doesn't override existing zoning; it increases maximum allowable density for that area. **Kane:** zoning is local issue; it should not be legislated at state level. **Reply:** we hear this concern as well. We need regulations to de-regulate. Years of increasing regulations have contributed to this housing crisis and increased cost of living. State is trying reverse this cycle.

Kane: Any discussion about upgrading infrastructure in concert with increased density. We are stuffing more things into neighborhoods with no way to accommodate them. Housing, transportation as well as infrastructure need to be timed together. **Reply:** SB 1 the gas tax bill sends funds to local governments for infrastructure. We are looking to see that these funds are being used to benefit our local communities.

14.0 7:00 P.M. Time Certain - Preliminary review La Jolla Children's Pool retaining wall - Project No. 627990 (Process CIP-2 SCR CST-App WBS S-00644.07.01 – Fund 400002) to determine if an already constructed 30-inch high retaining wall is consistent with CDP/SDP/CUP(PTS No. 154844), LJ Children's Pool Lifeguard Station. The retaining wall, 1) provides additional support for the gate posts, 2), retains about a foot of soil and 3) is a safety barrier for the newly constructed ramp. The CBC Section requires "guards" or barriers along open- sided walking surfaces. SCR request was prompted by CCC.

DPR Motion: Findings cannot be made for construction change consistent with the CDP and is denied 5-0-1

Presentation by Jason Grani and James Arnhart, Public works engineers:

The Children's Pool lifeguard tower was finished 2 years ago. We put in a new ADA access ramp to the lower level restrooms. To do this we put in a 30 inch retaining wall and as part of that we got a building permit for the construction change. Coastal Commission asked for a Substantial Conformance Review with DSD. The project was approved originally with an SDP and a CDP. During the design/build phase a construction change was needed in 2015. That was approved. Coastal Commission wasn't happy and asked for a SCR for the change. Construction was completed in 2017. Now we are doing the SCR in 2019. The wall has been there for the last 2 years. To complete the project and appease the CC we are here to seek approval of this SCR. We are open to questions:

Neil: Is SCR appropriate in this situation? **Reply:** In light of information bulletin 500, DSD reviewed the construction change and found it did meet the consistency requirements. **Neil:** I'm sure they did the review appropriately, I'm not sure it was the appropriate approach; it does bypass any of us (the public) being able to say anything. **Reply:** At that time it went to DSD because it was in construction for a construction change. If there had been another process, that was the time for them to tell us. **Neil:** I cannot vote to approve the SCR. It was not appropriate at that time and is not now.

Costello: At the time the project that appeared before us, it was going to leave that ramp open. **Reply:** To meet grade requirements for ADA, the whole ramp had to be lowered, to that was what created the difference. Further discussion ensued with photos to explain how the ramp had to be modified to meet ADA requirements to meet the height difference between the sidewalk and the restrooms. The current retaining wall blocks access to the beach.

Kane: Not only am I befuddled by the use of SCR to review this project, I'm befuddled by the fact that you

didn't do an appropriate environmental review. You have blocked an access to the beach that is in our Community Plan. That is a huge impact to the public. You would have to get a community plan amendment to close that beach access. It is an environmental impact that was never addressed. Either the wrong review process was used or it is incomplete. Discussion continued about how long the ramp has been there and whether it is called out in the community plan as a beach access.

Mangano: Are there any other solutions to modify the ramp to provide access to the beach? **Reply:** Previous studies were done and it was concluded nothing else would work.

Grani: The project is appealable to the Coastal Commission meeting next week and the public is invited to provide input.

Public Comment: Ken Hunrichs with power point presentation.

- He has been following the lifeguard tower construction project since its inception.
- City has been aware since 2015 that the grade needed to install a ramp to ADA restroom was going to cause problems.
- The Coastal Development Permit called for a ramp to be functional for emergency beach access. This appears to be an attempt to change the ramp from public use to emergency use only.
- Jihad Sliman, the project engineer at the time, assured him that this problem would be corrected. This could have been fixed during the construction of the life guard tower.
- April, 2017, Hunrichs filed a formal complaint with the Coastal Commission for a coastal act violation resulting in this SCR.
- A Coastal Development permit is needed to change the coastal access route and the intensity of use to a California beach. These changes have not been permitted.
- When the CCC voted 5 years ago to establish the beach closure during harbor seal pupping season, one commissioner recommended that the City explore ways to improve handicapped access to the beach. This wall does not improve access and the concrete stairs called for in the permit have not been built.
- Several slides and historic photos followed showing how the retaining wall does not conform to the original Coastal Development permit and has taken away public access that had been established long ago.
- Asks CPA to reject notion that this SCR is in compliance with the CDP and if need be appeal it to the City Council.

Reply: Lifeguards are not using the ramp for access. The City cannot allow people to use a ramp that is not safe.

Motion: Confirm DPR motion to deny approval of the SCR. **(Costello/Brady) Vote: 12-0-1 Motion Carries**

In Favor: Brady, Costello, Courtney, Fitzgerald, Gordon, Ish, Jackson, Kane, Mangano, Neil, Rasmussen, Will

Opposed: 0

Abstain: 1 (chair)

Costello: Tomorrow is last day to appeal to the City. He has prepared an appeal with only a few modifications to the DPR Report.

Motion: Add filing appeal of SCR to agenda as action item due to time restraint. (Neil/Kane) **Vote: 12-0-1 Motion Carries**

In Favor: Brady, Costello Courtney, Fitzgerald, Gordon, Ish, Jackson, Kane, Mangano, Neil, Rasmussen, Will

Opposed: 0

Abstain: 1 (chair)

Motion: File appeal to City of denial of approval of SCR (Neil/Kane) **Vote: 12-0-1, Motion Carries**

In Favor: Brady, Costello Courtney, Fitzgerald, Gordon, Ish, Jackson, Kane, Mangano, Neil, Rasmussen, Will

Opposed: 0

Abstain: 1 (chair)

Public Comment: This whole issue emphasizes the importance of community review. What seemed like a minor change when the project was being designed that bypassed community review could have been resolved much differently early on in the process.

7.0 Public Comment (moved ahead out of order to hear large group in audience.)

Opportunity for public to speak on matters not on the agenda, 2 minutes or less.

7.3 Public Comment:

Mike Pallomary, licensed surveyor and geomatic engineer: He represents several neighbors regarding the project at 7830 E. Roseland Dr. proposing a second story remodel of a single family unit. It was approved 8-0-0 by PRC, approved on consent. Issues raised by Mr. Pallomary:

- Project plans included a number of violations of La Jolla Shores PDO and state laws. The plans that were reviewed were misrepresented.
- Neighbors were never notified as was stated during the review and in the newspaper
 - City staff advised applicant to sanitize plans and violate state laws
- Mr. Pallomary showed how submitted plans were misleading.
- Mr. Pallomary and neighbors submitted 4 public records requests and forced a meeting with city staff
- City staff ignored the neighbors' statements of violations stating that the plans reviewed are just preliminary.
- Now they are doing extensive grading and demolition on the site that is not shown on plans. Photos were provided.
- Mr. Pallomary filed complaint with code compliance. The complaint was closed denying that grading or demolition is being done.
- Project violates La Jolla Shores PDO and city is not going to make effort to correct it.
- Applicant's consultant told city they dug a trench 90 ft. long, 11 ft. deep, 5ft wide at rear of property. There is no evidence of this trench.
- Documented Rose Canyon earthquake fault running through property.
- City ignored evidence and will do nothing.
- Project has been appealed to Planning Commission meeting next week. Urges public to attend.

Gordon: Of whom from city staff are you speaking? **Reply:** high level staff; he has list. Gordon chairs the La Jolla Shores Permit Review Committee who reviewed these plans. Before any project is reviewed Gordon confirms that the requirements have been fulfilled, one of which is neighbor notification. This was verified with Pancho Mendosa by letter dated Aug. 19. Also received copy of posted notice. We did our due diligence. Replies from neighbors in audience saying no notice received.

Crisafi: This information is unclear. Notice would have been the Notice of Application for review of development plans. This project looks like it is under construction. If it is being appealed there must have been a Hearing Officer hearing or a Notice of Decision that was appealed. **Reply:** grading and demolition is going on now.

Crisafi: He will ask someone from the CPA to attend the hearing and someone from the Permit Review Committee to review the plans that were reviewed. This is the most the CPA can do to help the situation.

Gordon: The plans the PRC reviewed did not include demolition, so there is something fishy going on.

7.1 City of San Diego – Community Planner: Marlon Pangilinan, mpangilinan@sandiego.gov No Report

7.2 UCSD - Planner: Anu Delouri, adelouri@ucsd.edu, <http://commplan.ucsd.edu/> or Robert Brown

Anu Delouri: UCSD Community group updates are in the back of the room

All are invited to the Community Open House on Wednesday, June 19, at the Faculty Club from 5 to 7 PM. It is a capital projects open house to share with the community our recently approved 2018 Long Range Development Plan and to explain our housing strategy to become a residential campus with 65% of our students living on campus. Other projects in the pipeline are a future Living and Learning neighborhood in the initial planning stage now. Other minor projects are the restoration and revitalization of pedestrian and bicycle paths which includes landscaping and replacing the railing on the SIO Pier. The railing is 30 years old. We have applied for a CDP from the Coastal Commission which should be approved next week. We will present a similar program at the La Jolla Shores Association.

7.3 General Public: (see above)

6.0 President’s Report – Information only unless otherwise noted

6.1 New City wireless guidelines from City DSD:

[Wireless Communication Facilities Webpage](#) | [Informaion Bulletin 536](#) | [WCF Questionnaire/Checklist \(DS-420\)](#) [Wireless Ordinance](#) (see Page 29)
[WCF Guidelines](#) | [Information Bulletin 545 \(Small Cells\)](#) | [Submittal Manual](#)

6.2 Appointments for community groups, sub and joint committees – for ratification by Trustees – action item. Ratify the following appointees of the La Jolla Community Planning Association and the other parent organizations (La Jolla Town Council, La Jolla Shores Association, La Jolla Business Improvement District, Bird Rock Community Council) to the Joint Committees and Boards for 2019 – 2020.

I. La Jolla Development Permit Review Committee

LJCPA Appointees:

Brian Will
Mike Costello
John Fremdling
Eamon Callahan
Gregory Jackson

LJTC (Town Council) Appointees:

Bob Collins
Diane Kane
Angeles Leira
Matthew Welsh
Lawrence Zynda

II. La Jolla Shores Permit Review Committee

LJCPA Appointees:

Andy Fotsch
David Mandelbaum
Dave Gordon

LJSA (Shores Association) Appointees:

Janie Emerson
Myrna Naegle
Angie Preisendorfer
Matt Edwards
Ted Haas

III. Traffic & Transportation

LJCPA Appointees:

Dave Abrams
Tom Brady

BRCC (Birdrock Comm Council Appointees:

Erik Gaenzle
Patrick Ryan

LISA (La Jolla Shores Assoc.) Appointees:

Brian Earley
Ross Rudolph

IV. La Jolla Planned District Ordinance

LJCPA Appointees:

Joe Parker
Deborah Marengo

LJTC (Town Council) Appointees:

LJBID (La Jolla Bus Improvement District:

V. Community Planners Committee

Representative – Dave Gordon
Alternates – Matt Mangano
Tony Crisafi

VI. UCSD Liason Subcommittee

Dave Gordon
Tony Crisafi
Lisa Kriedeman - Alternate

VII. Coastal Access & Coastal Parking Board

Deborah Marengo
Ray Weiss
Tom Brady

Discussion:

Courtney: Objects to all or nothing approach. He questions whether trustees were contacted. Request to modify to appoint by each committee or individually.

Costello: would rather have a selection committee review each committee’s needs and make selections. One person on this list is deceased, one person is controversial, another who has been a stellar member of DPR was not included. There needs to be a better way to do this.

Brady: I assume you (Crisafi) received emails with requests; we will be tied up forever if we have individual appointments.

Crisafi: The motion should be to not ratify the whole list or approve the list with the exceptions of ?.

Pangilinan: Changing the process of selection would require bylaw change. Existing bylaws state standing committees and ad hoc committees are appointed by CPA chair and trustees ratify. The joint boards are appointed by outside groups and do not need ratification by CPA trustees. It would be possible to ratify appointees from the other organizations; they are already appointed. Then work on the CPA chair’s appointees.

Costello: The reason for ratification is for members to be indemnified.

Gordon, Pangilinan: Confirmed that bylaws state that LJCPA appointments are made by chair and ratified by trustees.

Neil: Could we do this with two votes; one to ratify outside group appointees, one to ratify CPA chair’s

appointees?

Public Comment:

Merten: I never have spoken out about appointees before, but this time is different. I would urge the association not to ratify the full component of the LJ Shores Permit Review Committee. That appointee has not only demonstrated that he is not familiar with the rules and regulations that apply in LJ Shores; because he currently has a project within the Shores. His actions have demonstrated that he has no intention to comply with the La Jolla Shores regulations and has disdain for those members who have questioned the project. Merton asks chair to reconsider this appointment and come back next month with a new slate.

Desiree Kellogg. I oppose the nomination of David Mandelbaum to the Permit Review Committee. He has harassed and terrified our neighborhood. Do not discount the women who are here tonight to talk. She continued with several examples of this harassment.

Two further public comments about David Mandelbaum's conflict of interest if he were on the PRC.

Motion: Approve appointees on joint committees appointed by groups outside the LJCPA (Kane/Courtney) **Vote: 11-0-**

2, Motion Carries

In Favor: Brady, Costello, Courtney, Fitzgerald, Ish, Jackson, Kane, Mangano, Neil, Rasmussen, Will

Opposed: 0

Abstain: 2 (Chair), Gordon

Motion: (as amended) Approve appointees of LJCPA with exception of David Mandelbaum and Eamon Callahan. (Jackson/Fitzgerald) **Vote: 11-1-1 Motion Carries**

In Favor: Brady, Costello Courtney, Fitzgerald, Gordon, Ish, Jackson, Kane, Mangano, Rasmussen, Will

Opposed: Neil

Abstain: 1 (chair)

Costello: Mrs. Gaenzle should be included on DPR. Mrs. Gaenzle confirmed she wants to be on DPR committee.

Kane: Could we move Eamon Callahan to PRC?

Crisafi: I will not move anyone to the list. I will agree to remove Callahan from DPR. I was not notified of Mrs. Gaenzle's wish until the list was finished.

Neil: I will vote "no"; the whole proceeding is irregular.

Crisafi: we need to do this tonight. Sub-committees are important. I will fill the vacancy next month. Motion amended as shown above.

Motion: Appoint Elizabeth Gaenzle to DPR. (Costello/Mangano) **Vote: 12-0-1, Motion Carries.**

In Favor: Brady, Costello Courtney, Fitzgerald, Gordon, Ish, Jackson, Kane, Mangano, Neil, Rasmussen, Will

Opposed: 0

Abstain: 1(chair)

La Jolla Community Planning Association Sub-Committee Appointments

Ratified on June 6, 2019

Development Permit Review Committee:

Appointed by LJCPA:

Brian Will

Appointed by La Jolla Town Council:

Bob Collins

Mike Costello

Diane Kane

John Fremdling

Angeles Leira

Gregory Jackson

Matthew Welsh

Elizabeth Gaenzle

Lawrence Zynda

La Jolla Shores Permit Review Committee:

Appointed by LJCPA:

Andy Fotsch

Appointed by La Jolla Shores Association:

Janie Emerson

Dave Gordon

Myrna Naegle

Angie Preisendorfer

Matt Edwards

Ted Haas

La Jolla Traffic & Transportation Committee:

Appointed by LJCPA:

Dave Abrams

Appointed by Birdrock Community Council:

Erik Gaenzle

Tom Brady

Patrick Ryan

Appointed by La Jolla Shores Association:

Brian Earley

Ross Rudolph

La Jolla Planned District Ordinance Committee:

Appointed by LJCPA:

Joe Parker

Appointed by La Jolla Town Council:

Deborah Marengo

Appointed by La Jolla Business Improvement District:

Appointed by LJCPA:

Community Planners Committee:

Dave Gordon

Matt Mangano - alternate

Tony Crisafi - alternate

UCSD Liason Committee:

Dave Gordon

Tony Crisafi

Lisa Kriedeman - alternate

Coastal Access & Coastal Parking Board:

Deborah Marengo

Ray Weiss

Tom Brady

6.3 Community orientation workshop (COW) is available online at

www.sandiego.gov/planning/community/resources Work must be completed & form submitted to LJCPA

Secretary by July 31, 2019

6.3 Report May 15, 2019 Bonair Townhouses action—applicant offered to compromise –

Hearing represented by Diane Kane. Applicant offered to discuss a compromise. Item was removed from the agenda. No further information.

Hershfield CDP/SDP appeal filed today. Please send any information you have regarding that project.

6.4 Transit Zone height limit SB50 – Information request not approved by Senate

6.5 Hershfield CDP/SDP approved on HOH consent May 28, 2019. Applicant has contacted LJCPA

President to work toward a design resolution. (noted at end of meeting)

8.0 Non-Agenda Trustee Comment

Opportunity for trustees to comment on matters not on the agenda, 2 minutes or less.

Costello: Several hearings at Planning Commission and one at the Coastal Commission next Thursday. 5251 Chelsea, could we ask to continue so someone can be there? Hope many of you will attend these hearing.

Neil: Could someone send the dates and place of these meetings to all the trustees? **Crisafi:** Secretary will do when she returns.

Emerson: La Jolla Shores Association Community forum will be held on June 12. Future development at the University and updates on the La Jolla Shores undergrounding project will be discussed. Lisa from Cooper's will host the reception so please come to show your support.

Courtney: Hopes murals will be on the agenda next month. He is referring to the murals – art or advertising -- not the banners which can be confusing.

Gordon: We need to be open and fair in our discussions. It is not appropriate for people to do things behind the scenes. It came to my attention that a member of our community spoke to several trustees about whom to vote for as officers. Please do not talk to anyone about CPA business outside of the meeting. This can be a violation of city council policy called collective concurrence.

Jackson: Our website needs work; your comments are welcome. More discussion next time. We are not going to have a group discussion online, but I will bring back more sample next time.

Ish: I cannot represent the CPA on the Ryan lot consolidation next Thursday at Planning Commission because it is within 500 feet of where I live. I need a trustee to fill in for me. He has all necessary information. Also I would like to be on the agenda next meeting regarding issues of serial permits and garage/carports.

Crisafi: I have a letter prepared by Melinda Merryweather requesting Pottery Canyon maintenance and improvement which I will send on to Park & Recreation if no objections. Hearing no objections, I will send it.

10.0 Consent Agenda – 10.1 – 10.9

The Consent Agenda allows the LJCPA to ratify recommendations of the community joint committees and boards in a single vote with no presentation or debate. It is not a decision regarding the item but a decision whether to accept the recommendation of the committee/board as the recommendation of the LJCPA. The public may comment on consent items.

10.1 – NAU Companion Unit – 441 Palomar Ave. Project No. 618029 (Process 2) Coastal Development Permit for the construction of a 540 square foot one story companion unit on a lot with an existing single-family residence at 441 Palomar Avenue. The 0.11- acre site is located in the RM-1-1 base zone, Coastal (Non-Appealable) Overlay zone within the La Jolla Community Plan Area. Council District 1.

DPR Motion: Findings can be made and motion passes 5-0-1

10.2 – Lillian/Lentell Cottage-7762 Bishop's Lane Project No: 560771 (Process 4) Site Development Permit, Neighborhood Dev Permit and Coastal Development Permit for relocation of designated historic resource (HRB no.1062), at 461-square feet, from site at 7762 Bishops Ln to 817 Silverado St Lane, construct new garage addition with study above for 841 square feet and deviate from Tandem Parking Regs. The 0.04-acre site is located in the LJPD-5 Base Zone within the Coastal (Non-Appealable) Overlay Zone in the La Jolla Community Plan area. In CD 1.

DPR Motion: Findings can be made and motion passes 5-0-1

10.3 - Ngala Residence -1550 Via Corona Project No. 542954 Extension of time to project no. 524954 / CDP approval no. 1611273 / PDP approval no. 1611271

DPR Motion: Findings can be made and motion passes 5-0-1

10.4 – Kornberg CEP 2605 Ellentown Rd. Project No. 624979 (Process 3) CDP for the demolition of existing single dwelling and construction of 3,449-sf, one-story single-dwelling unit with 462-sf attached garage, and a 701-sf companion unit located at 2605 Ellentown Rd. The 0.3-acre site is in the RS-1-4 zone and Coastal (Appealable) Overlay Zone within the La Jolla Community Plan area and CD1.

DPR Motion: Findings can be made and motion passes 4-1-1

10.5 – Kelman 1264 La Jolla Rancho Rd. Project No. 627119 (Process 2) Coastal Development Permit for an addition to an existing 1,802 SDU, and the construction of a 500-SF attached companion unit at a site located at 1264 La Jolla Rancho Road. In addition to the companion unit, the scope of work includes a 154-SF dining room addition and a 382-SF bedroom and bath addition. The 0.23-acre site is located in the RS-1-4 zone and Coastal (Non-Appealable) Overlay Zone within the La Jolla Community Plan area, and Council District 1.

DPR Motion: Findings can be made and motion passes 5-0-1

10.6 – Grossman SDP (1st review) Project No. 629308 (Process 3)) Site Development Permit (SDP) for a renovation and two story addition to an existing single family dwelling unit for a total of 1,384 square feet of construction at a site at 8914 Nottingham Place for a completed structure of 3,752 square feet and FAR of 0.47. The 0.18 acre site is located in the La Jolla Shores Planned District (LJSPD-SF) base zone of the La Jolla Community Plan area and Council District 1.

LJPRC Motion: Findings can be made and motion passes 6-0-1 in addition to proposed and the following: the project is designed to mitigate the second story massing by incorporating vertical articulation and setting the addition behind the existing house, thus meeting the intent of the La Jolla Shores Planned Development Ordinance and the La Jolla Design Manual. Motion by Tony Crisafi, 2nd by Andy Fotsch.

10.7 – Resident request to eliminate parking spaces south side of Torrey Pines Rd. east of Park Row (Robby Robinson)

T&T motion passes to contact the City Traffic Engineers and request they investigate the accident history from the parking spaces on Torrey Pines Rd between Exchange Place and Park Row, with the feasibility of realigning the road to eliminate the three parking spaces on the North side of Torrey Pines Rd: 9-0-0

10.8 – La Jolla Presbyterian Church Harvest Festival - Request for Temporary Street Closure and No Parking on Draper Ave between Kline and Silverado Streets for the 6th annual event on Sunday November 3, 2019 (Erika Hill)

T&T motion to approve La Jolla Presbyterian Church Harvest Festival request for Temporary Street Closure and No Parking on Draper Ave between Kline and Silverado Street for the 6th annual event on Sunday November 3, 2019: 10-0-0

10.9 - Taste of the Cove- Request by San Diego Sports Medicine Foundation for Temporary No Parking on Coast Blvd adjacent to Scripps Park for the 18th annual event on Thursday September 5, 2019

T&T motion to Approve Taste of the Cove request by San Diego Sports Medicine Foundation for Temporary No Parking on Coast Blvd adjacent to Scripps Park for the 18th annual event on Thursday September 5, 2019: 10-0-0

See Committee minutes and/or agenda for description of projects, deliberations, and vote.

Anyone may request a consent item be pulled for full discussion by the LJCPA.

Crisafi: request to pull item 10.4, Kornberg – issue with parking

Motion: Approve consent agenda with the exception of item 10.4. (Will/Gordon) Vote: 12-0-1

Motion Carries

In Favor: Brady, Costello Courtney, Fitzgerald, Gordon, Ish, Jackson, Kane, Mangano, Neil, Rasmussen, Will

Opposed: 0

Abstain: 1(chair)

The following agenda items, are ACTION ITEMS unless otherwise noted, and may be *de novo* considerations. Prior actions by committees/boards are listed for information only.

11.0 – 15.0 LJCPA Action Items

11.0 Panorama Homes – 1188 Muirlands Dr. Project No.: 620974 (Process 2) Coastal Development Permit for the construction of 2 new SFDUs on 2 vacant lots. The West House at 1188 Muirlands Drive totals 8,451 square feet and the East house at 1200 Muirlands Drive totals 8,510 square feet. The vacant lots total .56 acres and .61 acres, respectively. The site is located in the RS-1-2 Base Zone and Coastal (Non-Appealable) overlay zone within the La Jolla Community Plan area and Council District 1. Code Case CE-0502994.

DPR Motion: That findings CAN be made for a CDP as presented (Kane/Leira) Passes 4-1-1.
Pulled from April 4, 2019 consent agenda.

Presentation by Tim Golba, Project architect: This is the lot known as 1136 Muirlands Dr. on old maps. There is a wide house on the property that crossed the property lines. The new owner removed part of the house to free up all 3 lots. The contractor doing the demolition grubbed the site. Code compliance cited the owner for “grubbing.” Grubbing is grading that pulls plants out with roots attached. An erosion control plan was submitted to the city to correct that situation. The erosion control was approved and installed. The city also required that when coastal permits come in you will be required to get a grading permit. That permit has now been submitted.

Merten: As of June of last year, the site has been 95% grubbed and also has been graded. Showed photos. The City issued a demolition permit to demolish two structures. Under Municipal Code, demolition is considered development and a CDP required. When I asked City staff why no CDP obtained, response from city was that improvements to existing buildings are exempt from CDP. How is complete demolition of a building an improvement and therefore exempt from a CDP? Couldn't get an answer. Finally the City issued the Code Compliance citation for illegal grading/grubbing.

Your responsibility is two things: to review a project for compliance with regulations and the Community Plan and to review the environmental document produced. This site was so completely denuded of all vegetation including large trees that it changed the character of the site making it different from the rest of the community. The Community Plan says that development should preserve and enhance the environment and maintain community character. The Muirlands are characterized by large, mature trees. Also the removal of large trees affects the habitat of various species.

Please hold off on any decision on this project until you are aware of the full environmental impact of the grubbing, and if an environmental impact report is required. Applicant has not obtained the required grading permits by the date required. The City has not enforced any penalties.

Golba: The house was cleared of any historicity. There are two grading permits active now. One for the lot for sale and one for the two lots being developed. The photo shown was 12 years old. It does not represent the current state of the site; it is green now. Newer photo shown. The structures demolished were accessory structures. A Coastal permit is not required to get an accessory structure built; why would you need one to tear one down? Structures demolished were shanties, falling down, illegally built.

Motion: Support DPR findings to approve the project. (Gordon/Kane) Vote: 10-2-1, Motion Carries

Courtney: What was DPR's view?

Will: You can't get a grading permit without this process to get CDP.

Vote: 10-2-1: Motion Carries

In Favor: Brady, Courtney, Fitzgerald, Gordon, Ish, Jackson, Kane, Mangano, Rasmussen, Will

Opposed: Costello, Neil

Abstain: 1 (chair)

12.0 Sugarman - SDP

(2nd review) Project #625569 Project manager Xavier Del Valle (619) 557-7941 xdelvalle@sandiego.gov.

Project description: (Process 3) Site Development Permit (SDP) for the construction of a two story 5,694 SF family house with 1,217 SF basement garage on a vacant lot at 8356 Sugarman Drive. The .25 acre site is located in the La Jolla Shores Planned District-Single Family Zone of the La Jolla Community Plan area and Council District 1.

LJSPRC Motion: Findings cannot be made for Project #625569 (Process 3) Site Development Permit (SDP) for construction of a two story 5,694 square foot single family house with 1,217 square feet of basement garage on a vacant lot at 8356 Sugarman Drive based on character of the neighborhood and bulk and scale. **VOTE: 5-0-1.**

Presentation by Claude Anthony Marengo, Project Architect:

Original house built over two lots. His client purchased the lots with the intent of building a 2nd home. An existing house with empty lot next to it. Showed original plans for home. He then presented a new plan with some modifications to the PRC at the 2nd meeting addressing some of their comments, but it still didn't satisfy the committee and the plan was denied. 2nd story was the issue. Homes in this area are single level about 13 ft.6 in in height. Marengo asked the committee: what could be done to achieve a larger size home and be viable in this neighborhood? The possibility of pushing the house further down the slope was discussed. Marengo presented further revised plans showing how the house has been pushed down.

- A lot line adjustment was provided to free up the site
- Home placed with 20 ft front setback, 7 ft. 8 in on one side, 11 ft. 8 in to 8 ft. 10 in. on the other side
- Added space from top level to the basement level to reduce bulk and scale.
- Pulled the 2nd story to the back and recessed the windows.
- The majority of the building viewed from the front will be similar in height to adjacent houses.
- The 2nd story has been pushed into the slope. No one will see the rear view.
- Overall height is 23 ft., well below height limits.
- All drainage is handled on site.

Detailed plans were shown to demonstrate changes made and how the home now fits into the neighborhood.

Costello: Why not go back to the PRC? **Reply:** Trying not to delay process; I was not able to attend the last PRC meeting so decided to come here.

Gordon: The real issue at PRC was bulk and scale and relation to other houses. Marengo has done a lot to make the house fit in.

Rosanna, a neighbor on Sugarman: This is a vast improvement. What is size now: **Reply:** 4665 Sq. ft. not including 3355 sq. ft. basement. Marengo then answered several more of her questions explaining the changes made to meet her concerns.

Gordon: Main issue was how it fit into the neighborhood

Crisafi: Looks like a significant improvement. Views between yards are private issues and owners seem willing to work with you. It would be best to work this out on your own.

Fitzgerald: You have made a lot of changes especially moving the bulk back into the slope. We can't continue to build houses the sizes of those built in the 60's and 70's.

Rosanna: This is a vast improvement, but still too large the neighborhood. Other remodels don't overpower. She fears more giant homes.

Courtney: How big is the basement? **Reply:** 3355 sq. ft. It includes a 3 car garage, pool equipment, storage and

bedrooms. The total is 8010 sq. ft.

Crisafi: It is truly not visible from the street.

Will: We are tasked with assessing bulk and scale as it appears from the public right of way. That 2nd floor will be invisible from the street. The house doesn't appear to be significantly wider or closer to the street than others on the street. Not perceptively larger. Private views, privacy in your back yard are not within our purview. Our concern is community character from the public right of way.

Crisafi: Also there is more articulation in the front from building setbacks.

Motion: Support PRC denial (Courtney/Neil) **Vote: 3-10-0: Motion Fails**

In Favor: Courtney, Neil, Costello

Opposed: Brady, Crisafi, Fitzgerald, Gordon, Ish, Jackson, Kane, Mangano, Rasmussen, Will

Abstain: 0

Motion: Approve revised design (Will/Kane) **Vote: 10-3-0: Motion Carries**

In Favor: Brady, Crisafi, Fitzgerald, Gordon, Ish, Jackson, Kane, Mangano, Rasmussen, Will

Opposed: Courtney, Neil, Costello

Abstain: 0

13.0 Ratify appeal of 5/15/2019 Hearing Officer Hearing decision of Project No. 579587, Bonair Residence 744/746 Bonair St. Filed on May 28, 2019

Motion: Ratify appeal of Hearing Officer decision of Bonair Project. (Kane/Brady) Vote: 12-0-1

Motion Carries.

In Favor: Brady, Costello, Courtney, Fitzgerald, Gordon, Ish, Jackson, Kane, Mangano, Neil, Rasmussen, Will

Opposed: 0

Abstain: 1 (chair)

15.0 City response from Bill Harris in response to our request for a decision on Black's Beach Overlook Fence Sent on 5/29/19 is that no changes will be made to the current fence.

Information only

Action Item: Whether to demand review and action at the LJPDO regular June 2019 meeting to determine if the McLaren/Coach and The Conrad billboards are murals or advertisements. The decision will be forwarded to the LJCPA for consideration and action at the regular July meeting.

Motion: Demand response from LJPDO committee regarding McLaren/Coach and The Conrad

In Favor: Brady, Costello, Courtney, Crisafi, Gordon, Ish, Jackson, Kane, Mangano, Rasmussen, Will

Opposed: 0

Abstain: 1 (chair)

Adjourn: 9:55 PM

LA JOLLA DEVELOPMENT PERMIT REVIEW COMMITTEE

LA JOLLA COMMUNITY PLANNING ASSOCIATION

1. *Public comments are an opportunity to share your opinion with the committee members. Comments should not be directed at the applicant team*
 2. *Plans are available for in-depth review by contacting the project manager at the city's Development Services Department before the meeting.*
 3. *Public comments will be strictly limited to 2 minutes per person. Please review the following meeting minutes. If another member of the public has already said the same thing tonight or at a previous meeting, please move on to new information. It is not necessary to repeat previous comments.*
 4. **Applicants:** *Please present your project as succinctly as possible. Speak clearly and CONCISELY.*
-

1. NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT

- 2 minutes per person
-

2. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES

- Meeting May 21, 2019
-

3. PRELIMINARY REVIEW 6/11/2019

Project Name: Bird Rock Condos – 5656 La Jolla Blvd
Permits: CDP/TM
Project No.: 595139 DPM: Pancho Mendoza
Zone: Applicant: Robert Bateman
Project Info: <https://opensd.sandiego.gov/Web/Projects/Details/595139>

LA JOLLA: (Process 3) Coastal Development Permit and Tentative Map for the creation of four residential condominium units and two commercial condominium units under construction at 5656 La Jolla Boulevard. The 0.17-acre site is in Zone 4 of the La Jolla Planned District, Coastal (Non-Appealable) overlay zone within the La Jolla Community Plan Area. Council District 1.

6/11/2019 – APPLICANT PRESENTATION - Bateman

- 4 residential + 2 commercial condos
- No different construction, project received CDP recommendation from this committee.
- Drawings for building have not changed. Just tentative map for condos.
- Lift for parking added significant cost. They would not have used it if they could fit another space.

6/11/2019 – PUBLIC COMMENT

- Alcorn – This is the standard procedure to make condos, seems appropriate.
- Alcorn – Who is architect (response: Marengo Morton)
- Alcorn – Lift as last resort is expensive but how practical? 600sf loading area is USUALLY vacant (which could add potential parking).

6/11/2019 – COMMITTEE DELIBERATION

- Leira: Any comment from city on affordability? (response: no comments from city, condos still add a lower entry point to ownership)

- Gaenzle: First floor parking security vs public access? How does public use tandem spaces? (response: unknown, one space is actually a 3 car lift)
- Leira: Would like owner to explain how parking can be utilized. (response: Condo plan will include the data of which parking spaces are designated to which units) Would like to see allocation of common space, private outdoor space, parking designations.
- Jackson: Employee parking needs to be reasonably convenient to prevent employees parking on street.
- Will: walkability is adequate for commercial patrons, but don't want employees parking in residential zones all day long.
- Leira: How is additional area in rear used? (response: 600sf Loading area and striped walking for ADA parking.)
- Jackson/Leira: Where is trash pick-up? (response: rear of condo)
- Gaenzle: Bird Rock Station parking is similar, added large gate, no customer parking available.
- Collins: How does tandem parking work for commercial
- Leira: Project approved as apartment and commercial is different than condos. Management can "manage" parking issues. Want to work to resolve future problems with 6 independent owners.

6/11/2019 – DELIVER FOR NEXT PRESENTATION

- Heavy color pen to identify allocation of parking, outdoor area, trash (per unit)
- How will owner handle parking security? How enforce designated parking? With/Without Gate?
- Persuade us that the parking plan works.
- APPLICANT WILL RETURN NEXT WEEK

4. PRELIMINARY REVIEW 6/11/2019

Project Name: 2677 Brookmead Ln
 Permits: CDP
 Project No.: 630967 DPM: Xavier Del Valle
 Zone: RS-1-2 Applicant: James Alcorn
 Project Info: <https://opends.sandiego.gov/Web/Projects/Details/630967>

LA JOLLA - (Process 3) Coastal Development Permit for the construction of a new residential single dwelling unit and attached garage for a total of 11,100 square feet of construction on a vacant lot located at 2677 Brookmead Lane. The 1.28-acre project site is located in the RS-1-2 zone and Coastal (Appealable) Overlay Zone within the La Jolla Community Plan area, and Council District 1.

6/11/2019 – APPLICANT PRESENTATION - Alcorn

- 1.28 acre site in LJ Farms. 9,500sf house, 1,600sf garage, 11,000sf total under single story
- Architectural element to shade (cool) roof and support PV panels
- 16' max interior volume height 24' to underside of roof shade structure
- Tennis court, high landscaping on Black Horse boundary
- Any tennis court lights will be shielded from neighbors
- Low sunshades on South elevation windows

6/11/2019 – PUBLIC COMMENT

- What is total height of shade structure (response: approx 25' total) Where is motor for swimming pool (response: They will not affect Black horse. Applicant's wife is head of HOA at Black Horse and will never hear the end of it if it does.)

6/11/2019 – COMMITTEE DELIBERATION

- Leira – is that a canary palm (response: yes, and it will remain)

- Gaenzle – Floor Plan? Is it really that big (response: yes, rooms are very large) Is phantom floor doubling the area? (response: no, under the threshold for phantom floor counting as GFA)
- Gaenzle: How controlling western sun? (response: not too much glass on West, Good shade from line of trees on West PL)
- Leira – does it fit the neighborhood?
- Gaenzle – Show us where the 25’ high shade structure is in plan. (presented)
- Will – How close is tall shade structure to western PL? approx. 20-25’
- Gaenzle – Distance to Torrey Pines? (approx. 600’)
- Leira – How relate to Black Horse? Tighter

6/11/2019 – DELIVER FOR NEXT PRESENTATION

- Aerial photo with proposed footprint in the middle.
- Site photos from lot to East (of Black Horse)

5. PRELIMINARY REVIEW 6/11/2019

Project Name: Vale Soil Nail Wall – 1643 Valdes Dr
 Permits: Variance - NDP
 Project No.: 621967 DPM: Pancho Mendoza
 Zone: RS-1-7 Applicant: Mahmoud Oriqat
 Project Info: <https://opensds.sandiego.gov/Web/Projects/Details/621967>

LA JOLLA- (Process 3) Variance and Neighborhood Development Permit for nonstandard soil nailing wall, encroaching into the public right of way, to stabilize the eroded area on Property with existing single-family house at 1643 Valdes Dr. the 0.13-acre site is located in the RS-1-7 Base Zone, Coastal overlay (non-appealable) of the La Jolla Community Plan Area. Council District 1.

6/11/2019 – APPLICANT PRESENTATION

- **APPLICANT DID NOT PRESENT**

**LA JOLLA TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION BOARD
 Regular Meeting: Wednesday June 19 2019**

Members Present: Dave Abrams (Chairperson) LJCPA, Brian Earley (Vice Chairperson) LJSA, Tom Brady LJCPA, Donna Aprea LJTC, Nancy Warwick LJTC, Natalie Aguirre LJVMA, Robert Mackey LJVMA, Ross Rudolph LJSA

Members Absent: Patrick Ryan BRCC, Erik Gantzel BRCC

Approve Minutes of: May 15 2019 Motion to Approve: Brady, Second: Mackey 7-0-1 (Earley)

Public Comments on Non- Agenda LJT&T Matters:

Daphne - they are local ninth grade Girl Scout Cadets Troop 23859 working to complete their Silver Award. Their goal is to have an accessible pedestrian signal (APS) installed at an intersection in their community they feel will benefit from the device. An APS is an audible device that helps visually impaired pedestrians safely cross the street by instructing them to wait, informing them when it is safe to cross, and

then begin to count down the amount of time they have left to safely cross the street. The APS device would benefit all pedestrians for the same reasons.

Ines- they consulted with former City Council Member Sherri Lightner, Kris McFadden Director of the Department of Transportation and Storm Water and the Deputy Director for the Department of Transportation and Storm Water, in addition they visited the Braille Institute and learned how people who are Blind deal with their everyday lives. They realized how an APS device could aid people dealing with sight loss to safely cross the street. They visited the White Sands Retirement Community with a survey to gain feedback on the importance of an APS device and what intersection would be most beneficial to them. They initiated a petition drive at the La Jolla open- aire market for the installation of an APS at the intersection of Girard Ave and Torrey Pines Rd and over fifty people signed their petition.

Scarlet- they chose the Girard Ave at Torrey Pines Rd intersection for an APS installation because they believe the signal device at that intersection to be unfair. The crosswalk going north on Girard is confusing because pedestrians step off when the Light turns green which they are not supposed to because of Drivers making the Right turn. This intersection is also frequently populated by Students from the many Schools in the area. They want to know if it is feasible to install an APS device at this location and if so, they request to be placed on the unfunded needs list.

Mauricio Medina advised that there is an APS device installed on Draper Ave at Pearl Street. The Girl Scout Cadets are advocating for the device to be installed at Girard Ave and Torrey Pines Rd as well. Dave will follow up with City Staff and if there are good results, he will place it on the July Agenda.

Ira Parker is proposing to be placed on the July Agenda to discuss the feasibility of identifying one or two board members to make up a subcommittee with him to brainstorm how to reinforce the safety measures of the blinking flashing lights on La Jolla Blvd. Recently, a young girl on a scooter was clipped in the crosswalk with the blinking lights flashing. The blinking lights are giving pedestrians a false sense of security because when Drivers see the blinking lights, they speed up to make it through the crosswalk before the pedestrians do in an effort to avoid having to stop for them. He is suggesting that the yellow bulbs inside the blinking lights be replaced with red ones forcing drivers to stop much like the red blinking lights on Torrey Pines.

Dave will place his proposal on the July Agenda.

Agenda Item 1: End of Summer Fire Run-Request for Temporary Street Closure and No Parking on portions of Prospect Street and La Jolla Blvd for the 20th annual event on Sunday August 25 2019 (Gloria)

Action Item

End of Summer Fire Run 4Mile, Sunday August 25 2019 this is the 20th annual run/walk from La Jolla to Pacific Beach. The event benefits San Diego Area High Schools: La Jolla High School, Mission Bay High, Clairemont High, University City High and Patrick Henry High.

Event Set-up 8/25/19 5:30 am
Event Starts 8/25/19 8:00 am
Event Ends 8/25/19 10:00 am
Dismantle 8/25/19 11:00 am

20th Annual END OF SUMMER FIRE RUN 4MILE RUN/WALK

Course Description & Road Closures:

Prospect from Girard to Faye:

*Entire block is closed from 6:30-8:15am
Faye south from Prospect is open for traffic
Prospect from Faye to La Jolla Blvd closed from 7:45am-8:15am.
Runners travel Westbound to La Jolla Blvd
Turn south on La Jolla Blvd to Pearl (section closed from 7:45-8:15am)
Runners now move into the southbound lanes of La Jolla Blvd,
Northbound traffic is allowed to flow from Turquoise to Pearl.
Runners remain in southbound lanes of La Jolla Blvd until Mission Blvd.
Runner cross over the street & run south in the northbound lanes.
(traffic would be allowed to move southbound on Mission Blvd from Beryl on)
ONCE the runners have cleared Prospect Street from Girard to La Jolla
And from La Jolla Blvd to Prospect the SD Police Department will open
the street for regular traffic.
The event is a 4-mile run/walk ending in Pacific Beach just south of Felspar.
Mission Blvd North from Grand to Loring will be impacted from 6:30am-9:30am.
There are 30 San Diego Traffic Controllers and 55 Volunteers throughout
The course at every intersection and alley way to ensure vehicles do not
enter the streets the runners will be on.
They will allow vehicles to cross over the street during the break of runners.
Since this is a “rolling” course, once the runners past the area the police
Will allow traffic to flow except southbound on La Jolla Blvd from Prospect
to Mission; this will be impacted until approximately 9:30.
Again, vehicles can cross the intersection and connect to another southbound street or travel north.*

Nothing has changed from the previous Events; the Course remains the same.
Dave asked if they had any issues or complaints from last years Event and Gloria responded there were no issues or complaints last year.

Motion to Approve End of Summer Fire Run Request for Temporary Street Closure and No Parking on portions of Prospect Street and La Jolla Blvd for the 20th annual event on Sunday August 25 2019: Brady, Second: Rudolph 8-0-0

Agenda Item 2: San Diego Triathlon Challenge-Request by Challenged Athletes Foundation for Temporary Street Closure and Temporary No Parking on Coast Blvd between Prospect St and Girard Ave and Lane Closure on Torrey Pines Rd between Prospect and La Jolla Shores Drive for the 26th annual event on Sunday October 29 2019 (Julia Duggan) Action Item
Event comprises a 1-mile swim, 44-mile bike ride, and 10-mile run. In addition to the race there is an Expo, kids run, stationary bikeathon, 5K and yoga. All Events start and Finish at Scripps Park at La Jolla Cove.
Event set up: 10-18-19 at 5am
Event starts: 10-20-19 at 7am
Event ends: 10-20-19 at 5pm
Dismantle: 10-20-19 at 9pm

They are expecting 600 participants and an attendance of around 2,000 family and friends of the participants. Natalie asked Julia if they suggest in their advertising materials using the available parking structures in the Village. Julia responded that LAZ is providing Valet Parking but most of the attendees stay in the Village Hotels and walk to the Cove. Many athletes and their families stay at the La Jolla Beach &

Tennis Club and a shuttle bus is provided back and forth for them. They notify ACE Parking when their Event comes up to expect more parking on Event day.

Traffic and Parking Overview San Diego Triathlon Challenge Sunday, October 20, 2019

Road Closure 5:00am to 4:00pm - Coast Boulevard The closure is from point of split with Prospect Blvd until intersection with Girard (midpoint through Scripps Park). Traffic barricades and traffic monitor personnel posted at each end of the closure

Lane Closure 8:00am to 10:00am – The number 1 lane of North Torrey Pines road from Prospect to La Jolla Shores Dr. SDPD monitor this lane closure.

Intersections 8:00 am to 10:00am - Course Marshalls placed in the village of La Jolla at stop sign intersections to help direct cyclists through village. • Girard and Prospect St. • Girard and Wall St. • Wall St and Ivanhoe St. • Ivanhoe St. and Cave St. • Cave St. and Prospect St.

No Parking • No parking is posted on Coast Blvd. on Friday PM and Saturday for 10 spaces, includes ADA spot relocation, for set up. • No parking on Coast Blvd on Sunday from 4:00 am to 6:00 pm from South Coast Blvd to the end of the 2 way traffic on Coast at the La Jolla Cove. • Two spots reserved for a vendor loading zone on Coast Blvd, the first two spots after the intersection of Coast Blvd and Girard.

Resident Access Residents that fall within the road closure on Sunday will be issued a resident access pass and allowed escorted access to and from their homes from the barricades by security and/or race staff.

Parking A portion of participants are guests at hotels in the village of La Jolla, no parking at race site needed. Many challenged athletes are guests at La Jolla Beach and Tennis Club and a shuttle will be provided for their transfer over to the Cove.

Sally Miller asked Julia if they presented this Event to La Jolla Parks and Beaches for the use of the Park. Julia responded they did not and Sally advised her she had to bring this Event to their attention.

A woman in the Audience brought up the problems the Village is having with the Scooters which may interfere with their Event. She lives at 939 Coast Blvd and see's the problems the Scooters are creating down at the Cove on the sidewalks and street. Julia responded they had not considered the interference the Scooters may cause them and thanked the woman for bringing it to her attention.

Tom noted that Robin Williams supported this Event and Julia responded that his family continues to support it.

Motion to Approve San Diego Triathlon Challenge Request by Challenged Athletes Foundation for Temporary Street Closure and Temporary No Parking on Coast Blvd between Prospect St and Girard Ave and Lane Closure on Torrey Pines Rd between Prospect and La Jolla Shores Drive for the 26th annual event on Sunday October 29 2019: Mackey, Second: Brady 8-0-0

Agenda Item 3: MCASD Construction Traffic Plan- Overview related to construction activity on the remodel of the Museum of Contemporary Art on Prospect Street (Jack Fanning) **Discussion Item**

Mr. Fanning informed the Board that we will be neighbors for the next couple of years as the expansion of the Museum of Contemporary Art gets underway and slated for completion by August 2021. His Group met with Jodi Rudick and members of the La Jolla Village Merchants Association last week regarding their traffic control plans and how it will impact the Village. Barricades will soon be installed on the Prospect Street frontage to protect construction workers and will steer pedestrians and vehicles to their appropriate path. Down the line there will be a temporary closure of Cuvier Street for the relocation of the Norfolk Pine which is presently on the corner of Coast and Cuvier but will be shifting East 40-50' to a new location. They want to be good neighbors and will keep LJT&T in the loop when those activities begin to take place. Sally Miller asked if they will provide parking when the expansion is completed. Mr. Fanning responded that they will be installing an underground garage off of Cuvier Street to reduce the need for street parking but it will be for museum employee parking.

Catharine suggested putting the MCASD construction timeline on nextdoor.com. Most of the Residents in the Community are reading nextdoor.com and it will keep them informed of the time line. Mr. Fanning was open to that suggestion and Catharine will help him to get on nextdoor.com.

Agenda Item 4: Micro-Mobility Parking Corrals for La Jolla-City proposal for placement of numerous defined spaces within the public street for the parking of dockless scooters and bicycles (Mauricio Medina)
Action Item

A new City Ordinance prohibits operation of the dockless vehicles on sidewalks effective July 1. As a result of this Ordinance the City wants to expand their parking corrals program City wide so there are places, not on the sidewalk, where riders can park the bikes and scooters on the public street. The proposed parking corrals already started downtown and are generally white painted squares with painted pictures of scooters and bikes inside the square that are adjacent to red zones in the street. City Staff is reaching out to Council Offices to help facilitate public input on a list of candidate locations for parking corrals of dockless scooters and bikes. Their initial list had around 158 corral locations in the village of La Jolla and the surrounding neighborhoods and they requested feedback by June 24th. Mauricio shared this list with the chairs and presidents of the La Jolla community planning groups and met with them in order to organize how best to facilitate public input to send back to City staff. At that meeting, there was strong pushback against placing these corrals in residential neighborhoods. As a result, he went through the list and took out candidate locations that he saw as residential. He is asking La Jolla Traffic and Transportation to vote on which option to send to City staff, the list with residential parking corrals, or the list without residential parking corrals.

There are many people in the Audience who have come to speak on the matter and Dave explained that the parking corrals are not an option that they can ban or request that no parking corrals be established in La Jolla. The City will be proceeding with these things in some fashion and is open to community guidance and recommendations on specific locations but not an outright rejection of them.

Mauricio explained that the parking corrals were established within the new regulations for the dockless scooters and bikes. The regulations become effective July 1, the start of their fiscal year. City Staff reached out to the District Council Office for La Jolla identifying 158 parking corrals for the village. They used data from the Operators on where rides were starting and where they were ending and where Operators were staging them. Using that data and identifying red curb areas surrounding it make up the 158 parking corrals. These corrals will not take away from parking because vehicles cannot park in red zones and they will not be blocking fire hydrants. City Staff then met with Mauricio and asked him to get some feedback from the Community. Mauricio reminded the Group that LJT&T is comprised of Representatives from 5 Community Groups, LJCPA, LJTC, LJVMA, LJSA, and BRCC so there are many hands on this proposal from different parts of the Community.

There is tremendous pushback from the Community Groups to keep these parking corrals out of residential area's and Mauricio identified about 22 parking corrals that were in residential zones that he pulled from the original list. City staff agreed to it however they told him that their goal is to get the bikes and scooters off the sidewalks and Mauricio is trying to do that but in the least impactful way to La Jolla. He has two lists of parking corrals; the original list with the 158 that city staff identified and the revised list of 81 parking corrals that Dave, Catharine and other committee members identified as acceptable for parking corrals.

Their list was pared down to 71 corrals but in the course of their research through the village they identified 10 locations that would be more suitable for a parking corral than the 10 that they removed from the list.

Catherine advised the group that every single location on the list was looked at and there was difficulty reaching a consensus but they came up with 81 parking corrals. Now Mauricio is asking LJT&T to decide which list he submits to city staff. He noted that the parking corrals will be painted on the Streets in various sizes from the smallest size of 10 by 6, to 14 by 6, to the largest size of 20 by 6.

Dave will take public comments but advised the audience to keep the comments just to the parking corrals.

Ira Parker asked what happens if these parking corrals are painted on the street but users continue to leave them on the sidewalk. There is no incentive for users to leave them in the corrals. Mauricio responded that the permits issued to the Operators last only 6 months and then are renewed. Permits are renewed in January and June. City Staff are going to look at their compliance rate to determine if their permit should be renewed. A new tool for residents will be if they see an improperly parked scooter or bike outside of a corral, they can use the get it done mobile app to alert city staff. City Staff will report it to the operator and the operator has 3 hours to come out and remove it. If the operator does not remove it within 3 hours the city will come and pick it up and charge the operator \$65.00 for storing it. Ira suggested that the City contract with a third-party vendor to monitor them because he does not believe the city will have the time to do that.

Susan Monk- red curbs are red for as reason and wants to know how they can be repurposed. Natalie responded for Mauricio and told Ms. Monk that she saw some of the red curbs on the list and they cannot support a car being parked there. Some of the corrals about an angled parking spot.

Rosa Garrett- lives at 245 Coast Blvd and heard a proposed corral is being considered in front of her building. She opposes a corral outside her building due to safety, services and available alternatives. Since beach visitor parking on Coast is in high demand, the red zone in front of 245 Coast is often the only place for Fed Ex and UPS to stop to deliver to her building and for emergency services to park. Garbage and recycling containers are also placed at the red zone to the side of the driveway. Many of the residents of 245 Coast must reverse out of the garage requiring great care for oncoming traffic less visible due to the street curve to the south, bicycle riders and scooters and jay walkers with dogs, strollers, toddlers and/or surf boards. There are approximately 20 cars entering and leaving 245 Coast parking garage so why here? The better solution is to place the corral at the large concrete public area a few hundred yards north on Coast at the beach which will not cause trouble to the residents.

Sally Miller-wants to follow the money; who is paying for the corrals. Mauricio responded the operators may be paying for it through fees assessed for infrastructure. She suggests that San Diego Meter Maids enforce the rules and regulations. They are in every part of the City so if they see a device improperly parked they can write a ticket, companies will have to pay it, and that money could benefit San Diego.

A woman in the Audience spoke about seeing a parking corral in downtown San Diego. She saw 2 scooters parked inside the corral but there were many scooters parked on the sidewalk above the corral and some scooters were parked in the Street. There is very little compliance about where users are leaving them. She also had a scooter block her driveway. She called the Company and asked them to remove it, after 8 hours when it was still in her driveway, she picked it up and threw it in the trash. She believes the users should take responsibility not the Company.

Dave mentioned that in six months the City is going to review the Ordinance and its performance and some things will probably be tweaked. It's a nuisance issue that the City has to work out and we have been tasked with trying to help them.

A woman in the Audience asked if it's up to the users to find a parking corral is it possible for the operators to put on their website a map of where La Jolla parking corrals are. Mauricio responded he would hope so but he doesn't believe a user would visit the website.

Another question was asked about where can the public see the list of proposed locations by our Committee. Dave responded that it will be going to LJCPA for further review, they will be making a final decision on it, and it should be posted shortly thereafter. However, Mauricio asked Rec Center Staff if they would make copies of our list of proposed locations for members of the audience, staff made the copies, and Mauricio passed them out to the Audience.

A member of the audience asked Mauricio if the operators can post a sign on their devices asking the user to return it to a parking corral and Mauricio responded that there will be a sign on the devices not to use them on sidewalks so he will suggest to city staff if that can be added as well.

Gail Forbes suggested putting parking corrals by the bus stops since these scooters are intended for the last mile. If every bus stop was permitted to have scooters at its locations that would be a universal place throughout the city and the bus zones are already red. Dave responded that in theory the mobility devices were intended as a last mile but in practice it has just been recreational and the parking corrals may interfere with the bus zones.

Dave pointed out to the audience that the life of these devices is very short, they burn out after a few months. The Ordinance calls for permit fees of \$5,000.00 plus additional fees for the devices themselves. We may not be seeing as many of them as we do now after July 1. The City expects revenue of around 3m so there is a balance of the cost of these parking corrals vs the fees they will bring in to the city.

A question was directed at Mauricio about staging devices where there are no parking corrals and Mauricio responded that operators would be allowed to stage on the sidewalk in what the city calls the 4 by 40 rule; users and operators will only be able to park devices on sidewalks in groups of four, with at least 40 feet between groups. This is why there is a need for parking corrals. There has to be a balance of parking corrals so the operators can stage them on the streets. Mauricio cannot return to the City with a list of 20 parking corrals for La Jolla because operators are going to be expected to comply with the ordinance and they will not be able to comply if there are not enough parking corrals for them to stage their devices.

Board Discussion

Tom believes it was inappropriate of the City to issue a 10-page Ordinance on micro-mobility devices just three days before our Meeting and he hopes there will be pushback to the City when it goes before LJCPA. In the interim he offered a Motion that was taken from a Letter written to LJT&T stating that *“Dockless bikes and scooter stations are appropriate for commercial areas of Pacific Beach, La Jolla, and La Jolla Shores with a mix of stores, restaurants, and theaters, but not in the neighborhoods.”* His Motion was not seconded. There is so much confusion surrounding this issue and we would be remiss if this Board did not give a clear recommendation that we do not want them in the neighborhoods only in the business districts.

Dave advised that we are trying to do that but we need a Motion to recommend our List to the LJCPA. Ross made a Motion that our List be Approved by the Board and forwarded to the LJCPA. Dave asked if he would add an addendum that included Tom’s Motion and Ross agreed. Natalie seconded Ross’s Motion. During Board discussion on the Motion Robert asked Mauricio if we were in the beach impact zone or the impact overlay zone because he is reading the Ordinance *Staging of Shared Mobility Devices (83.0310(a)(2)* and it says there is an exception to the size of the corrals if we were in a beach parking impact zone. There are too many unanswered questions regarding these parking corrals and his suggestion is not to have a motion to adopt this list with all of these large numbers. To paint 71 parking corrals on the street is premature until we see how these Companies step forward. Companies are currently loading up this area with hundreds of these devices but in another Month when they have to pay \$150.00 for each one of them there may be substantially less of them. The City should wait to see how many permits are paid for and then return to this Board with a reasonable number of parking corrals. Ross responded that right now all we want is to get them off the sidewalks and that needs immediate action, we can sort out how many of them later.

Dave restated the Motion- to recommend the edited list of 81 parking corrals to the LJCPA with consideration that dockless bikes and scooter stations are appropriate for commercial areas of Pacific Beach, La Jolla, and La Jolla Shores with a mix of stores, restaurants, and theaters, but not in the neighborhoods: Rudolph, Second: Aguirre 4-4-0 Motion Failed

Robert suggests that a subcommittee be formed to pare down the list of 81 parking corrals to a smaller number that would be more beneficial to the Village but still allow the City to comply with Operator contracts.

Mauricio advised the Board that the City will be extremely reluctant to proceed with the parking corrals in phases and it is possible they may just revert back to their original list of 158 because their goal is to get the devices off the sidewalks.

Motion: to Authorize a subcommittee to reduce the list of 81 parking corrals to a smaller number and present this list to LJCPA: Mackey, Second: Warwick 4-4-0 Motion Failed

Natalie reminded the Board that the City asked for our input and they did not have to do that. Every location on our List of 81 parking corrals has been thoroughly researched one by one. She is concerned that if this Board does not approve the List of 81 then the City is going to come back at us with their original list of 158 parking corrals because for liability issues, they need to get these devices off the sidewalks. Robert responded that if the City was all that concerned about liability issues, they would not be having these micromobility devices at all.

Dave noted that there has been no rules and regulations in the past on these devices and they have been allowed to run rampant on our sidewalks. Now, there is an Ordinance and it will be put into effect on July 1. The City wants to make it work by asking us to agree to install parking corrals on our Streets to get the devices off the sidewalks and we are duty bound to give it to them.

Nancy agrees with the need for parking corrals but she disagrees with their original figure of 158. These devices are staged everywhere in La Jolla and the operators are picking these devices up from everywhere in La Jolla so of course the data suggests parking corrals for everywhere in La Jolla but it becomes a false number and could become an eyesore. Users are not required to park the devices in the corrals so it is conceivable that we will have all these parking corrals painted on our streets and all of the devices remain parked on the sidewalks. She likes the idea of painting one of them on the street to see if it will work. If there is a parking corral painted on the street and the devices are parked above it on the sidewalk the Board should know that before agreeing to paint another 80 of them on the Streets.

Robert would support a Motion if the parking corrals could be installed in phases. Phase 1 could have 25 corrals be painted from the approved list of locations and monitored for usage. If the usage is there and more of them are needed then a second group of 25 could be added. He will not support any Motion where all 81 or 158 are painted on our Streets when we do not know if they will be used. Dave reminded that Mauricio has already informed the Board the City is against installing them in phases.

Board Members grew concerned that with no clear recommendations to offer LJCPA the City would install the 158 parking corrals as opposed to our 81 but when the idea of a third Motion was offered by Dave no one seemed willing to change their Vote. Mauricio advised that the Ordinance goes in effect July 1 but the City agreed to wait until after LJCPA meets before proceeding with the parking corrals, so that leaves 18 days to monitor how the Ordinance is working out and perhaps LJT&T will have a better view of the situation. LJT&T next Meeting is July 17 and LJCPA meets on July 18 so the offer of a continuance to try again to reach a consensus was made and accepted.

Motion to Continue to July Meeting: Mackey, Second: Brady 7-1-0 (Rudolph)

Ann Kerr Bache, President of La Jolla Town Council, requested that the La Jolla Town Council Resolution be read into the LJT&T Minutes:

La Jolla Town Council June 13th, 2019 Resolution Regarding Micro-mobility Vehicles and Devices: The La Jolla Town Council resolves that the number and locations of Micromobility Corrals as currently denoted on SD Micro-mobility Corral Maps are unworkable and the LJTC is opposed to their implementation. The number of corral locations should be reduced in number and limited to commercial zones with none in residential areas. Enforcement of current laws is essential to maintain public safety. We encourage the LJ Traffic and Transportation Board to consider our resolution in its deliberations and feedback to CD1 and the city officials.

Agenda Item 5: Nomination of Officers- Nominations from the Floor for the positions of Chairperson, Vice Chairperson, and Secretary. Election of Officers to take place at the Regular Meeting in July. Robert made the Motion to continue with our same Leadership:

Chairperson: Dave Abrams

Vice Chairperson: Brian Earley

Secretary: Donna Aprea

Adjournment: 5:41 pm
Next Meeting: July 17 2019
Respectfully Submitted: Donna Aprea, Secretary

La Jolla Planned District Ordinance Committee

June 10,2019 4 p.m.

La Jolla Rec Center - room 1 ,615 Prospect Street

Meeting was called to order

Present: Marengo, Murphy, Bellavia, Forbes

Guests: A. Macklin, J. Rudick

1. Public Comment A brief discussion of the possible detrimental effects from SB 946. The new law permits street vendors and street vendor trucks to set up on City streets at parks and beaches. The Parks and Beaches Committee chaired by Ann Dynes has drafted a response and suggestions for new city regulations that conform to state law but that respond to the health and safety concerns if street vendors are not regulated . Gail Forbes agreed to forward the draft to the members of the Committee.

2. Chair Report/ Board Discussion

a. Review of minutes. Motion to approve - passed with one abstention (Forbes absent)

b. Issues- none

3. Recommendations to CPA

A. Project :7840 Girard Ave. Zone 1 LJPDO :Danielle Koch, applicant; (Aviators) To install new sign and building facade. NO SHOW

B. Project :Murals in PDO applies in all zones of PDO.

Review of murals at request of LJ CPA to determine if murals constitute a sign or a mural.

A quick review of the history of the request from CPA ,prompted by neighborhood complaints that some "murals " have assumed a role that might be construed as advertising due to the subject matter of the mural and the juxtaposition of a business that relates to the murals subject.

After discussion of how well regulated and detailed the sign ordinance is in the Planned District the committee was inclined to avoid treating murals as signs. In some respects the technology of mural imprints and mural installation overlap the qualities of a sign and the installation of a sign. Sign regulations have not kept apace and do not include any mural regulations. The committee was unwilling to regulate the content of murals ,citing censorship concerns and free speech rights. Furthermore, the consensus was that the Mural Program was a great benefit to the community, and was being well husbanded by the Athenaeum.

Consensus report to the CPA: The Planned District Ordinance Committee will consider a mural as a project if the installation of a mural involves issues with safety, lighting, or view corridor setbacks. Lighting must meet code and ordinance restrictions . Most murals would not fall under our purview as L.J. Planned District Ordinance Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

Gail Forbes

Temporary secretary